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## PREFACE

The 2008 Reproductive Health Survey (RHS) Young Adults Report is one of three volumes of the final report that is based on the findings from the survey conducted in Jamaica in 2008. The survey was designed to provide information to update measures of contraceptive use and fertility among women 15-49 years and men 15-24 years in order to determine the extent to which programme goals and objectives are being realised. It also provides a wide range of information on the knowledge, attitude and practices related to Reproductive Health among young people.

The findings outlined in the Young Adults Report provide additional information that will assist the National Family Planning Programme (NFPB) in the planning and evaluation of the general Reproductive Health of the country. In this regard, the Board's principal concerns are related to the Sexual and Reproductive Health behavior of young adults.

Today's generation of young people bears both the challenges and the consequences of choice. Their decisions will influence the timelines within which poverty alleviation occurs; whether equality and equity will be established between women and men; and the effect population growth will have on natural resources and the global environment, including as we have been observing in recent times, climate change. How best to meet young people's Reproductive Health needs must, of necessity, remain high on the health agenda of this country.

Olivia McDonald
Executive Director, NFPB
February 2010
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The 2008 Reproductive Health Survey (RHS) is a continuation of a series of surveys commissioned by the National Family Planning Board (NFPB) with financial support from the United Nations Fund for Population Activity (UNFPA). The Statistical Institute of Jamaica (STATIN) conducted the survey with technical assistance from the Division of Reproductive Health of the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The survey was designed to provide information on Reproductive Health issues in Jamaica among women aged 15-49 years and men 15-24 years and to update measures of fertility and contraceptive use.

The Young Adults Report covers a wide range of topics which includes background characteristics comprising age structure, educational attainment, socio-economic and employment status, attendance at religious services and union status. In addition topics such as birth history, contraceptive knowledge and usage, attitudes towards reproduction as well as towards the opposite sex and behavioural risks are included.

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

- Over two-thirds of the female respondents received information from their parents/guardians about menstruation ( $71 \%$ ) or pregnancy before menarche (69\%).
- The degree of difficulty having discussions concerning pregnancy with parents/guardians was found to be easy by 65 per cent of young adult females and 67 per cent of male respondents. This is very similar to reporting on the degree of difficulty expressed by young adults when discussing methods of contraception and menstruation with parents/guardians.
- Approximately 72 per cent of female and 73 per cent of male respondents between the ages of 15-24 years attended a class or course on sex education in school. Of all the topics identified by the survey for inclusion in school-based sex education courses, most female respondents ( $95 \%$ ) said they received information on pregnancy and how it occurs while most male respondents (95\%) said they received information on HIV/AIDS.
- Parents/guardians were identified as the most preferred source of information by 27 per cent of young adult females and 27 per cent males, while teachers were thought to be the most helpful by 67 per cent of female and 66 per cent of male respondents.
- Approximately 92 per cent of women and 86 per cent of men knew where to get information on sexual health issues and 93 per cent of young adult females and 91 per cent young adult males knew where to go for the treatment of sexually transmitted diseases.
- Just about two thirds of the young adult females (66\%) and three quarters of the young adult males (75\%) reported that they had ever had sexual intercourse. The mean age at sexual debut was 16.1 years for females and 14.5 years for males.
- More young adult women ( $80 \%$ ) than men ( $57 \%$ ) used contraceptives at first intercourse. For those who used contraceptives at first intercourse, 95 per cent of the women and 98 percent of the men used a condom.
- Condoms were regarded as the most popular form of contraception for all types of relationship status. The highest usage ( $77 \%$ ) was by women who reported their union as Boyfriend. The pill (33\%) and injectable contraceptive ( $25 \%$ ) were the next popular form of contraception and were used mainly by married women or women in common-law union.
- There was an increase in the proportion of both male and female in the 15-19 year age group who ever used condoms
- The majority of women (96\%) who were married or in common-law relationship and who had sexual intercourse in the last three months reported that they had one sex partner. Over one half ( $57 \%$ ) of the men reported that they had one sex partner.
- The percentage of young women who have ever been pregnant declined from 38 per cent in 2002 to 36 per cent in 2008. The percentage of young adult women who reported having a live birth declined from 34 per cent in 2002 to 30 per cent in 2008.
- Fertility among young women $15-19$ years ( 72 births per 1,000 women) was lower than that for women between the ages of 20-24 years (124 births per 1,000 women). In general, the highest proportion of pregnancies within the five year period prior to the survey were said to be mistimed (52\%).


## CHAPTER 1

## BACKGROUND

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

The 2008 Reproductive Health Survey Young Adults Report is one of the three volumes of the final report that is based on the findings from the Reproductive Health Survey (RHS) conducted in Jamaica in 2008. The 2008 RHS is a continuation of a series of surveys commissioned by the National Family Planning Board (NFPB) with financial support from the United Nations Fund for Population Activities (UNFPA). The Statistical Institute of Jamaica (STATIN) conducted the survey with technical assistance from the Division of Reproductive Health of the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The survey was designed to provide information on Reproductive Health issues in Jamaica among women aged 15-49 years and men 15-24 years.

Over the years, a number of Reproductive Health-related surveys were conducted to provide data to monitor the population and health situation in Jamaica. Since 1975, the Jamaica Fertility Survey (JFS) was carried out within the programme of the World Fertility Survey (WFS). This was followed by Contraceptive Prevalence Surveys (CPSs) in 1983, 1989 and 1993 and the RHS in 1997 and 2002. In addition, a Young Adults Reproductive Health Survey (YARHS) focusing on the level of fertility and other related Reproductive Health issues among 15-24 year olds was conducted for the first time in 1987.

From 1997 the report of the RHS has been presented in three volumes consisting of the Final Report, the Young Adults Report and the Regional Report. For this report, young adults are classified as between the ages of 15 and 24 and because of their significance to the present and the future of the country constitute an important socio-demographic group in Jamaica. The study of this group is important not only from the standpoint that it accounts for approximately 17 per cent of the Jamaican population but it also represents a growing segment of the population.

This report highlights the sexual behaviour and the usage of contraceptives among young men and women in their adolescent and early adult years, in addition to their exposure to family life and sex education. It covers a wide range of topics which include, background characteristics comprising age structure, educational attainment, socio-economic and employment status, attendance at religious services and union status. In addition, topics such as birth history, contraceptive knowledge and usage, attitudes towards reproduction as well as towards the opposite sex and behavioural risks are included.

### 1.2 OBJECTIVES OF THE YOUNG ADULTS REPORT

The main objective of the 2008 YARHS is to provide updated information on the Reproductive Health of young adult males and females in Jamaica. Specifically, the report is designed to:

- Provide information to update measures of fertility and contraceptive use among young adult men and women aged 15-24 years;
- Provide a wide range of information on the knowledge, attitude and practice related to Reproductive Health; and
- Assess other conditions which may affect reproductive behaviour so that programme interventions may be improved.

These objectives are designed to provide information which will be useful in the development of policies related to population growth and the improvement of Maternal and Child Health.

### 1.3 METHODOLOGY

## SAMPLE DESIGN

The 2008 RHS was conducted in all parishes in Jamaica and was designed to provide information on a large number of indicators of the Reproductive Health of women and men. The target population for the survey is the population of females in the 15-49 year age group and males in the 15-24 year age group living in private households. Excluded from the sample are non-private households including group dwellings, e.g. military camps, boarding schools, mental institutions, hospitals, etc. The expected number of respondents was 7,200 females and 2,400 males. The male and female samples were selected independently creating two distinct samples.

The survey used a three-stage probability sampling design with the first stage being a selection of geographic areas called Enumeration Districts (EDs), with probability proportionate to the number of dwellings recorded in the 2001 census. In the second stage of sampling, dwellings were selected within the EDs chosen in the first stage with separate dwellings selected for the male and female samples. In the third stage of selection, one female aged 15-49 years was randomly selected from the female sample and one male aged 15-24 years from the male sample in each household. Where there was more than one eligible respondent in a household, one person was randomly selected.

## QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT

A total of four questionnaires were developed for the 2008 RHS:

1. A female household questionnaire;
2. A male household questionnaire;
3. An individual female questionnaire; and
4. An individual male questionnaire

## HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRES

The Household Questionnaires (Form RHS 1A for females and Form RHS 1B for males) were developed to collect identical information on the members in the selected households. Basic information on the characteristics of each of the persons listed including age, gender and education was collected. The information provided by the questionnaire was used to identify the eligible respondents, from which one person was randomly selected for interviewing.

## INDIVIDUAL QUESTIONNAIRES

The Individual Questionnaires (Form RHS 2 for females and Form RHS 3 for males) were divided into ten sections and vary only in a few of the sections. The ten sections common to both questionnaires are as follows:

Section 1: Respondent's Background
Section 2: Relationship Status and Partnership History
Section 3: Fertility
Section 4: Men's/Women's Health
Section 5: Contraceptive Knowledge and Use
Section 6: Sexual Activity and Early Childbearing
Section 7: Family Life and Sex Education
Section 8: Attitudes Towards Contraception and Childbearing
Section 9: Gender and Violence
Section 10: HIV/AIDS and other STDs
An exercise to pre-test the draft questionnaires was conducted with a team of approximately 16 interviewers and three (3) supervisors from STATIN. The objectives of the pre-test were to:

- Test the flow and sequence of the questionnaire;
- Test the suitability of the wording and the language in general, i.e. to find out if the respondents had any difficulty understanding the questions;
- Test the appropriateness of the skip instructions; and
- Estimate the average time taken to complete an interview.

Following the data collection exercise, a debriefing session was done and based on the feedback received, a number of modifications were made to both the questionnaires and the training manuals.

### 1.4 DATA COLLECTION

## TRAINING OF TRAINERS

The Training of Trainers Workshop took place over the period May 13-15, 2008 by representatives from the CDC. A total of 16 members of STATIN's staff was trained; among them were persons to be used as trainers or resource personnel who would assist with quality checks in the field. The training included a discussion on the sampling
methodology, concepts, definitions and a review of both the household and the individual questionnaires. Techniques for interviewing were emphasised and mock interviews were conducted in groups then reviewed.

## TRAINING OF INTERVIEWERS

The recruitment and training of the interviewers was the responsibility of STATIN. As done traditionally, it has been the practice to use female interviewers to interview both male and female respondents but male interviewers could only interview male respondents. This is due to the sensitive nature of the questions that were asked in the survey.

Two residential training workshops for supervisors and interviewers were held with the assistance of the representatives from CDC. The first took place over the period May 1930, 2008 in Ocho Rios where approximately 100 individuals were trained. The second session took place in Kingston over the period May 25-30, 2008 where a total of 39 persons were trained.

During the training, supervisors and interviewers were tested and graded on each module of the questionnaire. A number of the trainees failed to reach the required standard; as a result, a retraining exercise was subsequently undertaken in order to obtain the required numbers. In the end, a total of 16 supervisors and 99 interviewers were contracted for the survey.

## FIELD WORK

The data collection for the main survey took place over a six month period from June to November 2008. The public was sensitised about the survey through the media and the use of "Town Criers" in selected communities.

A number of challenges were experienced during the data collection period. These included:

- Locating the selected respondents for interviewing. (Especially the young men who were most often not at home);
- The passing of hurricane Gustav over the island in late August 2008, which temporarily disrupted the lives of both the interviewers and the respondents, and made access to some of the areas selected for enumeration, impossible;
- Poor weather conditions which persisted during the months of September and October 2008;
- Outbreak of violence in about two of the selected EDs in St. James; and
- Delay in data collection in some parishes due to threats to and injury of interviewers.

The quality of the responses was monitored constantly throughout the survey. The common errors of omissions were tabulated and corrective instructions were sent to the field officers. The more specific errors were immediately dealt with at the personal level
and some of the interviewers were again briefed on the areas in which they were weak. The data collection period was extended for a month as assessments of the questionnaires received in office indicated that the required number of questionnaires for some parishes was not met. In these parishes additional households were selected and revisits were made to households which did not respond.

### 1.5 DATA PROCESSING

The development of the data entry and edit programs for the RHS was a collaborative effort between STATIN and CDC using the U.S. Census Bureau's CS-PRO 3.3. Unlike the 2002 RHS, where the questionnaires were scanned, the questionnaires for the 2008 RHS were manually keyed by ten data entry operators. This was done over the periods July to December 2008 and February to March 2009. At the end of data entry, the edit program was run on the keyed data file to generate an error report. All errors were corrected until a clean dataset was produced in July 2009.

### 1.6 CHARACTERISTICS OF THE 15-24 YEARS SURVEY POPULATION

This section provides information on the background characteristics of the young adults in the survey. The information on the characteristics of the population found in the survey is important for the interpretation of the survey findings and can shed some light on the representativeness of the survey.

## AGE DISTRIBUTION OF THE SURVEY POPULATION

In 2008 approximately 17 per cent of the total population of Jamaica was made up of young adults. Table 1.4.1 gives a comparison of the age and gender distribution of the sampled population found in the 2008 RHS with that of the 2008 estimated population of Jamaica. The table shows that for both males and females the percentage found in the survey was similar to the estimated population for each age group. For the 15-19 year age group in particular, 53 per cent of the women and 60 per cent of the men were found in the sample. This was similar to that of the $15-19$ population of Jamaica which accounted for 53 per cent of the females and 56 per cent of the males. The fact that the distribution of the respondents was consistent with the census estimates increase confidence that the result of the study can be generalized to the Jamaican population.

TABLE 1.4.1

## Percentage Distribution Of Young Adult Women And Men By Age Group

| Age Group | 2008 | Women |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Men } \\ \text { RHS }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-17$ | 32.4 | 32.8 | 37.4 | 35.0 |
| Population |  |  |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}2008 <br>

RHS\end{array} ~ $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { 2008 } \\
\text { Population }\end{array}
$$\right]\)

Table 1.4.2 compares the age distribution of the male and female samples in the 2008 RHS with that of the 2002 and the 1997 RHS. There was a 2.2 percentage points reduction in the sample of women who were in the 15-19 year age group. This was larger for the men where there was a 2.3 percentage points increase in the sample for the same age group.

TABLE 1.4.2
Age Distribution Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24
Compared With 2002 And 1997 RHS (Percent Distribution)
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

|  | FEMALES |  |  | MALES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected Characteristics | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ |
| Age Group | 52.5 | 54.7 | 52.4 | 59.6 | 57.3 | 53.5 |
| $15-19$ | 32.4 | 31.5 | 31.1 | 37.4 | 34.9 | 34.2 |
| $15-17$ | 20.1 | 23.2 | 21.3 | 22.2 | 22.4 | 19.3 |
| $18-19$ | 47.5 | 45.3 | 47.6 | 40.5 | 42.7 | 46.5 |
| $20-24$ | 26.7 | 28.6 | 30.4 | 22.9 | 27.4 | 28.8 |
| $20-22$ | 20.8 | 16.7 | 17.2 | 17.6 | 15.3 | 17.7 |
| $23-24$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,343 | 1,926 | 1,991 | 2,775 | 2,437 | 2,279 |

## EDUCATION LEVEL

The educational levels of the young adult population are shown in Table 1.4.3. The information contained in the table reflects the responses to the question "How many years did you attend school, not including years spent in infant and basic school?"

The table shows that 72 per cent of the females and 70 per cent of the males received between 10 to 12 years of education and nine (9) per cent of the females and five (5) per cent of the males received 13 or more years of education. Of note, there were more males ( $24.8 \%$ ) than females ( $19.6 \%$ ) with nine (9) and under years of education. However, as the years of education increased the proportion of females became larger than that of males. The pattern shown from the data is that young women in general are more likely than young men to attend school for longer periods.

TABLE 1.4.3
Educational Level Of Men And Women By Five Year age groups (Percentage Distribution) 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

|  |  | Unknown/ <br> 0-9 <br> Years |  |  |  | 10-12 <br> Years |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age Group |  |  | 13 Years <br> or More | FEMALES <br> Stated | Total | N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19.6 | 71.5 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,343 |
| 15-19 Years | 25.1 | 70.9 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,229 |
| 15-17 Years | 35.9 | 63.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 758 |
| 18-19 Years | 7.7 | 83.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 471 |
| 20-24 Years | 13.5 | 72.1 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,114 |
| 20-22 Years | 13.1 | 72.2 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 626 |
| 23-24 Years | 14.2 | 71.9 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 488 |


| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 24.8 | 70.1 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 2,775 |
| 15-19 Years | 30.4 | 67.3 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,652 |
| 15-17 Years | 41.7 | 57.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,037 |
| 18-19 Years | 11.4 | 83.7 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 615 |
| 20-24 Years | 16.4 | 74.2 | 8.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,123 |
| 20-22 Years | 15.9 | 74.5 | 9.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 635 |
| 23-24 Years | 17.0 | 73.8 | 8.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 488 |

## SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS

In previous RHSs and CPSs socio-economic status was categorised using high, medium and low. The 2008 RHS for the first time used a Wealth Index (a proxy for the measurement of socio-economic status) commonly used in the Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) conducted in other countries. This index was constructed using
information collected on the household questionnaire and applied to the principal component analysis (PCA). For its construction, the assets of each household were summed and given a score. Each person in the household was ranked according to the total score accumulated by the household in which he/she resided (Rutstein \& Johnson, 2004). The number of persons in the sample was then divided into five groups (quintiles) with an equal number of individuals in each group. These groups were ranked from one which represented lowest to five which represented highest.

Table 1.4.4 presents the percentage distribution of the young adult respondents in the five socio-economic groupings which are ranked from poorest to richest. Approximately 45 per cent of the males and an equal percentage of the females were in the two poorest quintiles. Within the two richest quintiles there were 35 per cent males and 36 per cent females. There were no sharp contrast in the differences in gender between the age groups and the gender of the respondents as it relates to the quintiles.

TABLE 1.4.4
Percentage Distribution Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years By Socio-Economic Quintiles

|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 3 - 2 4}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quintiles | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Lowest | 23.8 | 21.0 | 20.5 | 22.1 | 24.1 | 25.1 | 21.7 | 24.2 |
| Second | 24.0 | 21.4 | 21.5 | 19.5 | 21.4 | 22.7 | 21.1 | 24.2 |
| Middle | 19.0 | 20.8 | 19.2 | 19.5 | 15.1 | 21.7 | 20.7 | 18.2 |
| Fourth | 17.6 | 20.4 | 18.5 | 24.4 | 23.1 | 16.9 | 19.3 | 19.9 |
| Highest | 15.5 | 16.4 | 20.3 | 14.4 | 16.2 | 13.6 | 17.2 | 13.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

## RESIDENCE

The classification used for residence consists of Kingston Metropolitan Area (KMA), Other Urban and Rural. The KMA includes all the urban centres of the parishes of Kingston and St. Andrew. Other Urban consists of the parish capitals and the urban centres in all the parishes except Kingston and St. Andrew and Rural consists of the rural areas of all the parishes.

Figure 1: Percentage Distribution of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years by Residence


Figures 1 and 2 give the percentage distribution of the young adult women and men by area of residence. The majority of the sampled respondents for both women and men (59\%) were from the rural areas. This pattern is also evident in all the age groups but is more pronounced in the $15-17$ year age group where 61 per cent of the women and 62 per cent of the men were from the rural areas.

Figure 2: Percentage Distribution of Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years by Residence


## EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Respondents in the 2008 RHS were asked what they were doing during the week preceding the survey, in order to determine their employment status. Table 1.4 .5 shows the percentage distribution of young adult women and men by their employment status for the 2008 and 2002 RHS. The table exhibits the expected trend that both males and females in the upper age group (20-24 years) were more likely to be employed than those in the lower age group (15-19 years).

Another expected pattern that emerged from the data is that a larger percentage of males ( $55.5 \%$ ) than females ( $35.8 \%$ ) were in the labour force. Unemployment for women and men increased for all age groups in 2008 when compared to 2002. The largest increase was observed in the 20 to 22 year age group where unemployment increased from 15 per cent in 2002 to 22 per cent in 2008 for women and from 19 per cent in 2002 to 27 per cent in 2008 for men.

## RELATIONSHIP STATUS

Information on the current relationship status is presented in Table 1.4.6 for both males and females. The categories Boyfriend/Girlfriend With Sex and Boyfriend/Girlfriend Without Sex which were asked in 1997 were omitted from the 2002 survey. These categories were reintroduced in the 2008 survey to provide information on this vital area.

Approximately a third of the women and 30 per cent of the men reported that they were in no steady relationship. There was significant gender differences in the responses related to visiting relationship as a larger percentage ( $33.4 \%$ ) of the women than the men $(20.7 \%)$ reported that they were in this type of union. Similarly, although 30 per cent of the men were in Girlfriend With Sex relationship only nine (9) per cent of the women were in Boyfriend With Sex relationship. An examination of the data by age group shows that the majority ( $63.3 \%$ ) of the females and 43 per cent of the males in the age group $15-17$ years reported that they had no steady partner.

For the age group 18-19 years, 42 per cent of the men and nine (9) per cent of the women were in Girlfriend/Boyfriend With Sex unions. For the same age group 37 per cent of the females and 19 per cent of the males were in Visiting Partner relationships. As the age group of the young adults increased to $20-22$ years, one (1) half ( $52.1 \%$ ) of the women and 35 per cent of the men reported that they were in a Visiting Partner relationship. In the 23-24 year age group, six (6) per cent of the women and one (1) per cent of the males were married. In general, the majority of women (34.3\%) had no steady partner while the majority of men ( $29.9 \%$ ) reported that their relationship status was Girlfriend With Sex.

TABLE 1.4.5

## Employment Status By Age Group And Sex - Young Adult Women And Men <br> Aged 15-24 Compared With 2002 RHS <br> (Per cent Distribution) <br> 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY


.. Either not covered in the Survey or not published

TABLE 1.4.6
Relationship Status By Current Age And Sex - Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Compared With 2002 RHS (Per cent Distribution) 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age Group | RELATIONSHIP STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MarriedCommon Law Union |  | Visiting Partner | Boyfriend With Sex |  | Boyfriend Without Sex |  | No Steady Partner | Total | N |
|  | W |  | O M | E | N 2 | 0 | 08 |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.7 | 15.0 | 33.4 |  | 8.2 |  | 7.5 | 34.3 | 100.0 | 2343 |
| 15-17 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 8.0 |  | 11.7 |  | 15.1 | 63.3 | 100.0 | 758 |
| 18-19 | 0.1 | 13.8 | 37.2 |  | 8.8 |  | 5.7 | 34.4 | 100.0 | 471 |
| 20-22 | 2.0 | 18.7 | 52.1 |  | 6.1 |  | 4.1 | 16.9 | 100.0 | 626 |
| 23-24 | 6.2 | 33.9 | 44.9 |  | 4.4 |  | 1.2 | 9.3 | 100.0 | 488 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 | ) 02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2.1 | 11.9 | 38.6 |  | . |  | . | 47.4 | 100.0 | 1926 |
| 15-17 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 20.5 |  | .. |  | . | 78.0 | 100.0 | 568 |
| 18-19 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 45.3 |  | . |  | .. | 46.2 | 100.0 | 421 |
| 20-22 | 3.0 | 20.4 | 48.4 |  | .. |  | .. | 28.2 | 100.0 | 569 |
| 23-24 | 7.7 | 21.9 | 46.5 |  | . |  | . | 23.9 | 100.0 | 368 |


| RELATIONSHIP STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | Married | Common <br> Law Union | Visiting Partner | Girlfriend With Sex |  |  | Girlfriend Without Sex |  | No Steady Partner | Total | N |
|  |  |  | M E | N | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.3 | 5.1 | 20.7 |  | 29.9 |  |  | 15.6 | 28.4 | 100.0 | 2775 |
| 15-17 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.2 |  | 24.2 |  |  | 29.1 | 42.5 | 100.0 | 1037 |
| 18-19 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 19.2 |  | 42.4 |  |  | 12.1 | 25.2 | 100.0 | 615 |
| 20-22 | 0.5 | 6.5 | 34.6 |  | 32.6 |  |  | 6.9 | 18.9 | 100.0 | 635 |
| 23-24 | 0.8 | 19.5 | 39.8 |  | 22.5 |  |  | 2.6 | 14.8 | 100.0 | 488 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.5 | 3.4 | 42.1 |  | . |  |  | .. | 54.0 | 100.0 | 2437 |
| 15-17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.5 |  | .. |  |  | .. | 75.5 | 100.0 | 901 |
| 18-19 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 47.6 |  | .. |  |  | . | 52.0 | 100.0 | 531 |
| 20-22 | 1.0 | 4.9 | 55.5 |  | .. |  |  | - | 38.6 | 100.0 | 653 |
| 23-24 | 2.4 | 12.9 | 50.0 |  | . |  |  | . | 34.7 | 100.0 | 352 |

.. Category not covered in the 2002 Survey

## CHAPTER 2

## FAMILY LIFE EDUCATION AND KNOWLEDGE OF CONTRACEPTION AND SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES

Chapter 2 specifically focuses on family life and sex education, the preferred sources of such information and the perceived helpfulness of these sources. The chapter also presents information on knowledge, choice and affordability of contraception; knowledge about Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STDs) and the level of difficulty experienced in discussing these and other Reproductive Health issues with parents/guardians. The final sections of this chapter, give a description of the level of exposure to specific programmes organized by the NFPB, the participation of young adults in extra-curricula activities and perceptions about the effectiveness and safety using specific contraceptives.

### 2.1 FAMILY LIFE AND SEX EDUCATION

Concerns about teenage pregnancy, Sexual and Reproductive Health and the possible influence of the heavy sexual content in dancehall music continue to stimulate public debate in the Jamaican society. At the heart of the discussion is the influence of dancehall music vis-à-vis primary agents of socialisation and questions about who should be responsible for sex education. The discussion often emphasises the role of parents, the school and the church in promoting and upholding moral values.

The RHS attempts to determine the extent to which young adults are exposed to family life and sex education by way of certain social agents whether at home, in a school based programme or some other formal channel outside of school. Young adult females were specifically asked if they have had discussions with their parents/guardians about pregnancy, condoms and STDs and the level of difficulty encountered in having such discussions. These questions seek to determine how parents relate to their children, since there is evidence to suggest that parent-child communication is positively associated with reduced levels of risk-taking among young adults. The results are presented by selected characteristics in Table 2.1.1. In 2008, a little over two-thirds of the female respondents said they received information from their parents/guardians about Menstruation ( $71 \%$ ) or pregnancy before Menarche ( $69.2 \%$ ), while 47 per cent of the female respondents acknowledged that they received information about methods of birth control before their first sexual intercourse.

When disaggregated by age group, a slightly higher percentage of the respondents within the age group 15-17 years received information on Menstruation (78.9\%) and pregnancy and how it occurred before Menarche ( $77 \%$ ) when compared to other age groups. The percentage was higher for this age group and the cohort immediately above it (18-19), suggesting that parents may be initiating these discussions earlier. However, the largest percentage of individuals who reported receiving information on methods of birth control before they had their first sexual intercourse was for the age group 20-22 years (49.9\%). Based on the results in Table 2.1.1, parents/guardians were less likely to convey information about methods of birth control before their child's sexual initiation than they
were discussing Menstruation and pregnancy. Respondents with 13 years or more education reported receiving information about Menstruation before Menarche and on methods of birth control before their first sexual intercourse. An examination of the responses by quintile showed that the largest proportion of respondents in the fourth quintile reported having information on Menstruation and on pregnancy before Menarche. The largest proportion of females who received information on methods of birth control before their first sexual encounter was from the highest quintile (53.9\%). More young adult females, who attended church frequently, at least once per week, had information on Menstruation before Menarche (79.3\%) and on methods of birth control before their first sexual encounter (51.4\%).

While the discourse on family life and sex education may vary from one household to another, curriculum-based school (formal) courses are known to provide more accurate and consistent information. Jamaica has had several school-based, structured initiatives since the 1960s. In 2007, the Ministry of Education partnered with the Ministry of Health in implementing the Health and Family Life Education Programme (HFLE) in some primary and secondary schools. This initiative was the outcome of a recommendation put forward by CARICOM for regional application which focuses on sexuality and Sexual Health. The aim of HFLE is to have the programme fully integrated into the curriculum of all Jamaican schools by 2012.

During the 2008 RHS, young adults were asked about their source of family life and sex education, length of classes, the person who delivered the training and most important, some specific Reproductive Health topics included in the course. Table 2.1.2 shows that about 72 per cent of female respondents and 73 per cent of male respondents between the ages of 15-24 years attended a class or course on sex education in school. Additionally 17 per cent of females and 10 per cent of males received training in a formal setting both in and out of school. Less than two (2) per cent of the young adult females and males attended a sex education class or course outside of school. When the information was disaggregated by age group, a higher proportion of males participated in school-based sex education courses at a younger age ( $74.7 \%$ ) when compared to females ( $71.8 \%$ ). An analysis of the results over the three (3) most recent surveys (1997, 2002 and 2008), showed a decline in female participation in 2002 compared to 1997 but an increase in 2008. In the case of the male respondents, there was an increase in the participation in school-based family life and sex education courses over the same three (3) consecutive surveys.

Table 2.1.3 shows the percentage of young adult women and men whose school-based course on family life or sex education included specific topics such as contraception, Menstruation, reproduction and abstinence. Most female respondents (94.7\%) received information on pregnancy and how it occurs during school-based sex education courses while more males reported receiving information on HIV/AIDS (95.3\%). In general, females were more exposed to selected Reproductive Health topics identified in the survey, with the exception of STDs, condom and AIDS during the school-based programmes when compared to their male counterparts. Modern contraceptive methods
appear to be the least likely Reproductive Health issue to be covered in the school-based programmes ( $72.9 \%$ females and $71.1 \%$ males).

Respondents were also asked whether or not the school-based programmes included information on specific services available to adolescents such as counselling, clinic, and distribution of contraceptives. A little over two thirds of the females (74.1\%) and males ( $68.3 \%$ ) said that information on counselling services was included. Just about one half of the female ( $54.6 \%$ ) and male ( $52.8 \%$ ) respondents said that their school-based course included information on the availability of clinic services. Information on the distribution of contraceptives was least highlighted in the school based sex education programmes.

When disaggregated by age group, there were marginal differences in the responses between the $15-19$ and the 20-24 year olds (Table 2.1.4). From the reporting, it was evident that pregnancy and how it occurs, as featured in school based sex education courses, resonated with the females and for most males, information on AIDS across all age groups.

Table 2.1.5 shows the age at which respondents had their first school-based family life and sex education course. Just about a half of the male respondents (51.4 \%) and about 45 per cent of the female respondents had their first sex education class between the ages of 13 and 14 years. In general, 98 per cent of the females and the same proportion of male respondents who had a school-based class or course about family life and sex education completed the course whether during their primary or secondary level education by the age of 17 years.

## PREFERRED SOURCES OF INFORMATION

The survey enquired of respondents about their preferred source of information on topics related to family life or sex education as shown in Table 2.1.6. Parents/guardians and teachers were identified as the two (2) most preferred sources of information by young adult females and males alike. Parents/guardians were identified as a preferred source of information by a significantly higher proportion of young adult females and males in 2002; with 45 per cent and 42 per cent respectively declining to 27 per cent for young adult females and 27 per cent males in 2008. In the 2008 survey, peers and siblings were also identified as preferred sources of information on family life education by one (1) in five (5) young adult male and about 17 per cent of females.

The preferred sources of information about family life or sex education are shown in Table 2.1.7 by age group and sex of respondents. Teachers (46.5\%), Counsellor (44.5\%) and Parents/guardians ( $42.2 \%$ ) were identified as the three (3) most preferred sources for females within the youngest age group (15-17 years). For males 15-17 years, the three (3) most preferred sources were Counsellor (52.9\%), Teachers (48.6\%) and Sisters/Brothers $(43.2 \%)$. The television was the preferred source identified by the highest proportion of females and males 18-19 years. The highest proportion of female respondents within the age group $20-22$ years identified the radio as a preferred source ( $54 \%$ ) while the largest proportion of males within the same age group identified the Internet as the preferred
source (51\%). The Internet (39.6\%) and printed materials (31.6\%) were the two most preferred sources for most females between the ages of 23-24 years, while the radio ( $39.5 \%$ ) and the Internet ( $31.3 \%$ ) were the two (2) preferred source identified by young adult males within this same age group.

## HELPFULNESS OF SOURCES

All of the specified sources were thought to be very helpful or somewhat helpful for the majority of the respondents as shown in Tables 2.1.8 and 2.1.9. Teachers were identified as being the most helpful by the largest proportion of female and male respondents ( $66.7 \%$ and $66 \%$, respectively). In contrast, most respondents were of the perception that Youth Information Centre and the Telephone Counsellor (Marge Roper) were not sources of information on family life or sex education. When the data were disaggregated by age group, Teachers and Parents/Guardians were considered to be the most helpful sources by females and males between the ages of 15-19 years. Females 20-24 years considered Teachers, Television and Printed Materials to be most helpful while males in the same age group regarded Teachers, Parents/Guardians and the Television as the most helpful source on information about family life or sex education.

### 2.2 KNOWLEDGE OF CONTRACEPTION AND SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES AND RELATED PRACTICES

The Ministry of Health in its 2006-2010 Strategic Plan outlined its commitment to the prevention and control of communicable diseases. In the same vein, the NFPB as the agency of the government responsible for preparing, carrying out and promoting sustainable family planning services has made it an objective to improve access to Reproductive Health information to adolescents and youth. In order to assess the extent of the exposure to family life and sex education, respondents' were asked during the survey, if they knew where to go for information on sexual health issues, treatment of sexual disease and the methods of contraception they have heard about.

Table 2.2.1 presents the proportion of young adult women and men who knew where to go for information on sexual health issues by selected characteristics. In 2008, approximately 92 per cent of women and 86 per cent of men knew where to get information on sexual health issues almost comparable to the 91 per cent of women and 85 per cent of men in 2002. As expected, knowledge increased with age for both males and females. For female respondents, awareness was highest in the uppermost quintiles $(94.2 \%)$ while for males there was some variation based on socio-economic status. The highest proportions of males in the second and fourth quintiles reported that they knew where to go for information on sexual health issues. Females and males who attended a family life or sex education course had an advantage in knowing where to go to get information on sexual health issues when compared to respondents who did not.

When respondents were asked if they knew where to go for treatment on a STD, 93 per cent of young adult females and 91 per cent young adult males said they knew where to go. The percentages remained high across age groups although older persons were more
knowledgeable about where to go for treatment. This was similar to the 2002 RHS findings. Attending a family life or sex education course made a minute difference in exposing female respondents to information on where to go for treatment on a sexually transmitted disease ( $93.6 \%$ ) when compared to 91 per cent of those who did not attend a class on sex education. The difference was greater for young adult males with 93 per cent who attended a family life or sex education course reporting that they knew where to go to get treatment for STDs when compared to 81 per cent of those who did not attend any sex education course.

Awareness of certain contraceptive methods based on whether the respondent attended a class or course on sex education - which included information on contraceptive methods is shown in Table 2.2.3. All female respondents have heard about the condom and the pill, regardless of whether the respondents had a class on family life or sex education that included topics on contraception. All the male respondents have heard of the condom and almost all have heard about the pill ( $99.4 \%$ ). Although a fairly new product on the market, the female condom was known to most respondents; 97 per cent of the females and 89 per cent of the males who attended a class or course in sex education and 96 per cent of females and 86 per cent males who did not attend a class or course. Similarly, the injection and Tubal Ligation were methods the majority of respondents were aware of. This was found to be true across gender and did not vary significantly based on whether one had attended a class or not. Among contraceptive methods, the awareness about spermicides, natural method (rhythm) and implants continued to be lowest as was the case in 2002. For young adult females who attended a course, there was an increase in the awareness of all methods except IUD. While for males awareness decrease for all methods except the male condoms and the Morning After Pill. For young adult females who did not attend a class, there were increases in the awareness of all methods except injection, IUD, Diaphragm, Vasectomy and spermicides over data for 2002. For young adult males there was a decrease in awareness for all but five (5) methods; male condoms, injection, Morning After Pill, spermicides and implants (See Table 2.2.3).

When the data were disaggregated by age group, there was not much difference in the reporting. Across all age groups, the male condom and the pills were still the most heard of method of contraception. Older persons (age 20-24 years) who attended a class on sex education were more aware of the different methods than younger persons. This was the same for those who did not attend a class or course on sex education with the exception of knowledge about Diaphragm and spermicides for females and Diaphragm, Vasectomy and spermicides for males; which younger persons were more aware of these methods. (See Table 2.2.4)

## CONTRACEPTIVE CHOICE AND AFFORDABILITY

In the 2008 survey, respondents were asked which methods of contraception were the most appropriate to avoid pregnancy and whether they could afford the method perceived as the most important. Males, and to a lesser extent females, continued in 2008 to perceive the male condom as the most appropriate method of contraception for young adults (Table 2.2.5). For females and males in the age group 15-19 years, abstinence was
reported as the second most appropriate method of contraception (to avoid pregnancy) and the pill for respondents between the ages of 20-24 years. Tables 2.2.6 and 2.2.7 show the results of the question regarding the affordability of three (3) methods of contraception: the condom, pill and the injection. The majority of respondents felt that they could afford all three (3) methods. The largest proportion of the young adult women $(93.6 \%)$ reported the injection as most affordable. When disaggregated by age group, the injection was the most affordable for females between the ages of 15-19 years; while for women between the ages of 20-24 years, a marginally higher proportion reported that the pill was the most affordable. This is very different from the 2002 results which showed the condom as being affordable for the largest proportion of females across the same age groups. Most male respondents also identified all three (3) methods as being affordable. However, unlike females, the largest proportion of males reported the condom as being affordable regardless of age group; 88 per cent of male respondents in the age group 1519 and 94 per cent of male respondents within the age group 20-24. This was very similar to the results from the 2002 survey.

Table 2.2 .8 shows by age group and sex, the percentage of respondents who had taken a class or course in family life or sex education that included information on contraception. In general, almost all of the respondents who had taken a course on family life or sex education did so by the age of 14 years regardless of whether or not it included information on contraceptives. There was more variation in the reporting for females than for males across the two (2) groups. Approximately 57 per cent of the female respondents who attended a class or course that included information on contraception and 37 per cent of those whose class or course did not include information on contraception took the class before the age of 13 years. The difference was much smaller for males with 26 per cent of those respondents whose course included information on contraceptives and 29 per cent of those whose class did not include information on contraceptives, reportedly taking the course before the age of 13 .

### 2.3 LEVELS OF DIFFICULTY EXPERIENCED IN DISCUSSING REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH ISSUES WITH PARENTS/GUARDIANS

During the survey, young adult females were asked about the degree of difficulty they had discussing Menstruation, pregnancy and birth control with parents/guardians. Males were asked questions concerning the degree of difficulty they experienced in discussing pregnancy and condoms. The results of these questions are presented by the respondent's socio-demographic characteristics in Tables 2.3.1 to 2.3.5. Of all female respondents, 72 per cent stated that it was easy to discuss Menstruation with parents/guardians; 22 per cent said it was somewhat difficult; and six (6) per cent said it was extremely difficult. There was some variation based on area of residence; 81 per cent of females in the KMA, 74 per cent in other urban areas and 66 per cent in rural areas stated that it was easy to have the discussions about Menstruation with parents/guardians. Female respondents from rural areas found it more difficult to discuss Menstruation with parents/guardians.

Older females (age 20-24 years) and persons in the highest quintile said that it was easy to have discussions about Menstruation with parents/guardians. Females with 13 years
and more education (79.8\%) and persons who were married at the time of the survey ( $76.2 \%$ ) also said that it was easy having such discussions with parents/guardians. Females in Health Region 1 had the highest proportion of respondents who thought that it was easy to have such discussions (79.1\%), while those in Region 3 had the highest proportion of females who said it was somewhat difficult ( $32.0 \%$ ) to have discussions concerning Menstruation with parents/guardians.

With regard to discussions concerning pregnancy, 65 per cent of young adult females stated that it was easy to discuss this issue with parents/guardians; 26 per cent said it was somewhat difficult; and about 10 per cent thought that it was extremely difficult. For the male respondents, just about two thirds of them ( $66.6 \%$ ) said it was easy; 26 per cent found it somewhat difficult; and seven (7) per cent said it was extremely difficult to have discussion about pregnancy with parents/guardians. Although the proportion of women who found it easy to discuss pregnancy with parents/guardians was lower than those who stated that it was easy speaking about Menstruation, the reporting was very similar for health region, area of residence, age, education and socio-economic status. When the data were disaggregated by relationship status, more than one half of the female respondents who were married reported that it was somewhat difficult to engage in discussions concerning pregnancy with parents/guardians. Persons in less stable relationship found it easier to have discussions concerning pregnancy with parents/guardians; 68 per cent of the young adult females with no steady partner and almost the same for women with a boyfriend whether sexually involved or not.

Discussing methods of birth control with parents/guardians was easy for 69 per cent of women (Table 2.3.3). There was no variation between age groups 15-19 years and 20-24 years ( $69.4 \%$ ). Although further disaggregating of these age groups into two (2) year intervals, showed little variation, respondents in the oldest age group (23-24 years) had the most difficulty having discussions about methods of birth controls with parents/guardians. Further analysis by relationship status showed that married women had some difficulty discussing birth control with parents/guardians, as they did with pregnancy. Women with 13 years or more education found it easier and women in the poorest two (2) quintiles had the highest level of extreme difficulty discussing birth control with parents/guardians (Lowest quintile 11.4\% and Second quintile 11.5\%).

Young adult men were specifically asked during the 2008 RHS about the degree of difficulty discussing condoms with parents/guardian. Approximately 73 per cent found it easy; 21 per cent said it was somewhat difficult; and 5.1 per cent said it was extremely difficult having the discussions. Males in Health Region 1 and males living in the KMA had the least difficulty discussing condoms with parents/guardians, while males with no steady partner had the most difficulty having the discussions. Four (4) out of every five (5) males or 80 per cent with 13 or more years of education found it easy having discussions regarding condoms, as was the case for male respondents in the highest quintile.

### 2.4 EXPOSURE TO NFPB PROGRAMMING IN THE MEDIA AND PARTICIPATION IN EXTRA-CURRICULA ACTIVITIES

Tables 2.4.1 and 2.4.2 present information on the percentage of women and men 15-24 years who have seen or heard specific NFPB programmes in the last six months of the survey year by selected characteristics. The NFPB continues to target adolescents and youth through media releases, time signals for radio, television commercials, 'Teen Seen' and the Marge Roper Counselling Services, in an effort to spread awareness about Reproductive Health information. The most popular programme identified by young adult females was television commercials (83.1\%) and radio commercials (74.8\%). This was very similar to the reporting from the male respondents. Less than a half of the females ( $47 \%$ ) and a little over one third of the male respondents ( $37.5 \%$ ) reported having seen the NFPB television programme 'Teen Seen'.

When examined by different characteristics such as area of residence, region, age, socioeconomic status and education, there was still a greater level of exposure to television and radio commercials. The level of exposure also did not differ for females whether they were using contraception or not. A similar pattern emerged in the case of young adult males who were more exposed to radio and television commercials followed by 'Teen Seen'. Of all the methods, male respondents were least exposed to the Marge Roper Radio programme and the NFPB Youth Forum, as were females. Females who were not currently using contraception were more exposed to all NFPB programmes, with the exception of the Marge Roper programme for which there was no difference in the reporting by the two groups (currently using contraceptives $11.5 \%$ and not currently using 11.1\%).

## BEHAVIOUR MODIFICATION RESULTING FROM EXPOSURE TO NFPB PROGRAMMES

When the respondents were asked if any of the programmes heard or seen had caused them to behave differently, only 25 per cent of females and 27 per cent of males answered in the affirmative. Of those persons who were influenced by the NFPB programmes, the television programme - 'Teen Seen' - seem to have had the most impact on behaviour modification for both males and females; 24 per cent of females and 26 per cent of males. For males, television commercials (22.9\%) and NFPB Youth Forum ( $18.6 \%$ ) also caused behavioural changes; while the Marge Roper Radio programme ( $19.0 \%$ ) and television commercials ( $17.9 \%$ ) also affected the behaviour of female respondents. The 'Teen Seen' programme had the greatest impact on males living in the KMA and on females living in urban areas outside of the KMA.

When asked specifically about the JA-STYLE programmes, 76 per cent of the female respondents and 69 per cent of males reported that they had never seen or heard any of the programmes. Only 23 per cent of women and 26 per cent of men were exposed to the programme. The parish of Westmoreland recorded the highest level of exposure for females and males ( $49.3 \%$ and $60.3 \%$, respectively) as shown in Table 2.4.5. The second highest level of exposure for females was reported in the parish of St Catherine (31.6\%),
and for young adult males the second highest level of exposure was reported in St . Elizabeth (59.7\%). In respect of the YOUTH.NOW programme, females between the ages of $20-22$ years ( $32.8 \%$ ) were slightly more exposed to the programme, followed by those between the ages $15-17$ years ( $31.8 \%$ ). This was quite the opposite for males as males between the ages of $15-17$ years ( $36.3 \%$ ) were more exposed to the YOUTH.NOW programme followed by males within the age group 20-22 years ( $23.9 \%$ ).

Involvement in youth clubs or participation in extra-curricular activities which targeted adolescents was low for both males and females. Only 19 per cent of females and the same proportion of males have participated in any of the specified types of extracurricular activities. Of all the activities specified in the survey, female respondents participated more in church activities while the males participated more in sporting activities. When disaggregated by age group, respondents between the ages of 15 and 17 years participated more in extra-curricula activities when compared to respondents 20-24 years. Table 2.4.9 shows the percentage of young adult women and men who have been involved in extra-curricula activities by two (2) year age groups. Females 15-17 years old were the most active participants in all the specified activities. This was also the case for males, with the exception of educational activities, which was more popular with the 1819 year old group, and debating and youth advocacy, which was more popular with the 20-22 year old group.

### 2.5 BELIEFS ABOUT EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY OF SPECIFIC CONTRACEPTIVE METHODS

Table 2.5.1 displays information on certain beliefs about specific contraceptive methods held by respondents who have taken a class or course on family life or sex education that included information on modern birth control methods. The results are compared with the 2002 RHS. Condoms were perceived to be very effective at preventing STDs if used correctly by 42 per cent of females who attended a sex education class and by 46 per cent of those who did not attend. The opposite was true for males with a slightly higher proportion reporting that the condom is effective if used correctly by those who attended a class. Females who attended a class or course on sex education perceived the pills as more effective for preventing pregnancy and being safe for a woman's health than those females who never attended a class. Overall, males who attended a sex education class had a better perception in all four areas when compared to those who have never attended a sex education course or class.

When the data were disaggregated by age there were no significant differences. For both age groups, 15-19 years and 20-24 years, a slightly higher proportion of respondents who attended a class or course on sex education perceived condoms to be very effective at preventing STD and pregnancy if used correctly. For females the percentages were higher for those who did not attend a class or course on sex education while for men the reporting remained higher for those who attended a class or course on sex education.

TABLE 2.1.1
Percentage Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Who Before The Age Of Menarche Received Information From Their Parents/Guardians On Menstruation And How Pregnancy Occurs And Who Before Initiating Sexual Relations Received Information On How Pregnancy Occurred By Selected Characteristics 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristics | Before Menarche <br> Had Information <br> On Menstruation <br> Percent | Before Menarche <br> Had Information <br> On Pregnancy <br> Percent | Before 1st Sex <br> Had Information <br> On Birth Control <br> Percent | N |

[^0]TABLE 2.1.2
Percentage Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years
Who Took A Course In Family Life Or Sex Education
Based On Where Course Was Taken By Age Group
Compared With 2002 RHS And 1997 CPS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age Group | Place Where Course Was Taken |  |  |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In School Only | $\begin{gathered} \text { In/Out } \\ \text { Of } \\ \text { School } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Outside School Only | None/ Not Stated |  |  |
|  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 1997 | 70.6 | 13.8 | 1.9 | 13.8 | 100.0 | (1991) |
| Total 2002 | 69.4 | 18.8 | 1.0 | 10.8 | 100.0 | (1925) |
| Total 2008* | 72.3 | 17.1 | 1.4 | 9.3 | 100.0 | (2342) |
| 15-19 | 71.8 | 18.5 | 1.7 | 8.0 | 100.0 | (1229) |
| 20-24 | 72.8 | 15.4 | 1.1 | 10.7 | 100.0 | (1113) |
| 15-17 | 72.7 | 17.4 | 2.2 | 7.6 | 100.0 | (758) |
| 17-19 | 70.4 | 20.3 | 0.8 | 8.5 | 100.0 | (471) |
| 20-22 | 74.1 | 14.2 | 1.6 | 10.1 | 100.0 | (625) |
| 23-24 | 70.8 | 17.3 | 0.3 | 11.6 | 100.0 | (488) |
|  | MEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 1997 | 64.5 | 9.7 | 2.2 | 23.6 | 100.0 | (2279) |
| Total 2002 | 67.1 | 13.9 | 2.2 | 16.8 | 100.0 | (2437) |
| Total 2008 | 72.7 | 10.0 | 1.2 | 16.1 | 100.0 | (2775) |
| 15-19 | 74.7 | 10.6 | 1.0 | 13.7 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| 20-24 | 69.8 | 9.2 | 1.4 | 19.6 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| 15-17 | 76.2 | 9.3 | 1.2 | 13.2 | 100.0 | (1037) |
| 17-19 | 72.1 | 12.6 | 0.7 | 14.6 | 100.0 | (615) |
| 20-22 | 68.6 | 9.7 | 1.6 | 20.2 | 100.0 | (635) |
| 23-24 | 71.6 | 8.4 | 1.2 | 18.8 | 100.0 | (488) |

[^1]TABLE 2.1.3
Percentage Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Whose School-Based Class Or Course On Family Life Or Sex Education Included Various Topics Compared With 2002 RHS And 1997 CPS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | WOMEN |  |  | MEN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2002 | 1997 | 2008 | 2002 | 1997 |
| Topics Presented In The Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A Woman's Menstrual Cycle | 94.2 | 95.2 | 96.1 | 74.2 | 82.9 | 84.7 |
| Modern Birth Control Methods ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 72.9 | 92.0 | 72.8 | 71.1 | 72.7 | 75.3 |
| The Human Reproductive System | * | 91.0 | 91.3 | * | 85.3 | 88.7 |
| Diseases That Can Result From Sexual Contact | 90.8 | 87.2 | 87.8 | 92.9 | 91.5 | 93.7 |
| Condoms | 90.1 | 84.5 | 83.5 | 93.8 | 93.0 | 93.5 |
| Pregnancy And How it Occurs | 94.7 | 75.0 | 93.8 | 87.5 | 94.5 | 93.5 |
| AIDS | 91.9 | * | 75.0 | 95.3 | * | 82.7 |
| Abstinence | 89.9 | * | * | 81.5 | * | * |
| $\underline{\text { Services Available To Adolescents }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Counselling | 74.1 | 72.4 | 67.1 | 68.3 | 69.7 | 68.3 |
| Clinic Services | 54.6 | 44.6 | 45.9 | 52.8 | 49.1 | 45.6 |
| Distribution Of Contraceptive | 51.6 | 33.1 | 34.6 | 45.4 | 39.8 | 33.6 |
| No. Of Cases | (2078) | (1697) | (1626) | (2287) | (1971) | (1665) |

* Information was not collected.
$\dagger$ The question reads: "...such as the pill, IUD or injections"

TABLE 2.1.4
Percentage Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Whose School-Based Class Or Course On Family Life Or Sex Education Included Various Topics By Age Group
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | WOMEN |  | MEN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 15-19 | 20-24 |
| Topics Presented In The Course |  |  |  |  |
| A Woman's Menstrual Cycle | 93.9 | 94.5 | 72.7 | 76.6 |
| Modern Birth Control Methods* | 73.9 | 71.8 | 69.4 | 73.8 |
| Diseases That Can Result From Sexual Contact | 91.9 | 89.6 | 93.2 | 92.4 |
| Condoms | 91.2 | 88.8 | 94.5 | 92.8 |
| Pregnancy And How it Occurs | 94.3 | 95.2 | 89.0 | 85.1 |
| AIDS | 92.9 | 90.8 | 96.2 | 93.7 |
| Abstinence | 90.1 | 89.6 | 80.6 | 82.9 |
| Services Available To Adolescents |  |  |  |  |
| Counselling | 74.0 | 74.2 | 66.2 | 71.5 |
| Clinic Services | 53.9 | 55.4 | 52.5 | 53.4 |
| Distribution Of Contraceptive | 52.4 | 50.7 | 45.9 | 44.6 |
| No. Of Cases | (1115) | (963) | (1396) | (891) |

TABLE 2.1.5
Percent Distribution Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years
By Age At Which School-Based Class Or Course
On Family Life Or Sex Education Was First Taken
Compared With 2002 RHS And 1997 CPS
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age First Sex Education | WOMEN |  |  | MEN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course Taken In School | 2008 | 2002 | 1997 | 2008 | 2002 | 1997 |
| Under 13 | 38.3 | 37.0 | 35.2 | 26.4 | 31.4 | 30.5 |
| 13-14 | 44.8 | 35.3 | 38.6 | 51.4 | 42.6 | 42.3 |
| 15-17 | 14.5 | 15.1 | 22.8 | 20.3 | 23.3 | 31.5 |
| 18-24 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Unknown | 1.8 | 12.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (2078) | (1926) | (1624) | (2287) | (1988) | (1664) |

TABLE 2.1.6
Percent Distribution Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years By Preferred Source Of Information On Family Life Education Topics Compared With 2002 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Source Of Information | WOMEN |  | MEN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2002 | 2008 | 2002 |
| Parents / Guardians | 27.2 | 44.9 | 27.4 | 41.9 |
| Peers / Friends / Siblings | 17.3 | 17.4 | 19.7 | 3.8 |
| Print Media / Television / Radio | 16.3 | 15.4 | 11.9 | 12.2 |
| Teachers | 22.5 | 12.1 | 28.7 | 21.6 |
| Health Professionals Incl. NFPB Staff | 13.0 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 1.1 |
| Other | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 19.4 |
| No Preferred Source | 0.6 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases* | (2342) | (1926) | (2775) | (2437) |

[^2]TABLE 2.1.7
Preferred Source Of Information About Family Life Or Sex Education Topics Young Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years By Age Group 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Source Of Information | Age Group |  |  |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-22 | 23-24 |  |  |
|  | W O M E N |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Sources* | 32.6 | 20.5 | 28.6 | 18.2 | 100.0 | (2342) |
| Parents / Guardians | 42.2 | 21.3 | 23.1 | 13.4 | 100.0 | (605) |
| Sisters Or Brothers | 30.2 | 23.8 | 25.6 | 20.3 | 100.0 | (72) |
| Other Relatives | 30.3 | 12.6 | 27.7 | 29.4 | 100.0 | (71) |
| Counsellor (incl. Marge Roper) | 44.5 | 15.3 | 28.4 | 11.8 | 100.0 | (61) |
| Teachers | 46.5 | 18.7 | 22.7 | 12.1 | 100.0 | (509) |
| Clinic/Doctors/Health Centre | 15.5 | 20.4 | 40.9 | 23.3 | 100.0 | (256) |
| Peers / Friends | 24.2 | 22.5 | 33.3 | 20.0 | 100.0 | (255) |
| Radio | 16.6 | 17.7 | 54.0 | 11.6 | 100.0 | (27) |
| Television | 13.6 | 32.2 | 33.7 | 20.4 | 100.0 | (99) |
| Internet | 14.8 | 6.0 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 100.0 | (37) |
| Printed Materials | 14.3 | 21.3 | 32.8 | 31.6 | 100.0 | (278) |
| Other | 38.1 | 16.8 | 30.2 | 14.9 | 100.0 | (46) |
| Don't know | 31.1 | 19.9 | 34.6 | 14.5 | 100.0 | (26) |
|  | M E N |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Sources | 37.2 | 21.9 | 24.3 | 16.5 | 100.0 | (2775) |
| Parents / Guardians | 40.4 | 23.6 | 21.0 | 15.0 | 100.0 | (761) |
| Sisters Or Brothers | 43.2 | 21.5 | 27.2 | 8.2 | 100.0 | (25) |
| Other Relatives | 36.9 | 11.5 | 37.6 | 14.0 | 100.0 | (56) |
| Counsellor (incl. Marge Roper) | 52.9 | 26.0 | 17.7 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (64) |
| Teachers | 48.6 | 22.5 | 17.9 | 11.0 | 100.0 | (822) |
| Clinic/Doctors/Health Centre | 28.7 | 20.2 | 26.4 | 24.7 | 100.0 | (99) |
| Peers / Friends | 30.6 | 19.4 | 28.1 | 21.9 | 100.0 | (502) |
| Radio | 13.1 | 2.9 | 44.4 | 39.5 | 100.0 | (27) |
| Television | 16.0 | 28.6 | 40.1 | 15.2 | 100.0 | (125) |
| Internet | 3.9 | 13.8 | 51.0 | 31.3 | 100.0 | (29) |
| Printed Materials | 21.2 | 19.8 | 34.6 | 24.4 | 100.0 | (135) |
| Other | 27.2 | 20.0 | 25.7 | 27.1 | 100.0 | (59) |
| Don't know | 32.3 | 22.4 | 18.2 | 27.1 | 100.0 | (71) |

[^3]TABLE 2.1.8

> Degree Of Helpfulness Respondents Found Specific Sources Of Information About Family Life Or Sex Education Topics By Age Group - Young Women Aged 15-24 Years 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Source Of Information | Degree Of Helpfulness |  |  |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very Helpful | Somewhat Helpful | Not Helpful | Not A Source |  |  |
|  | ALL YOUNG WOMEN * |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parents / Guardians | 56.1 | 23.4 | 14.5 | 6.0 | 100.0 | (2342) |
| Other Relatives | 35.2 | 38.8 | 17.3 | 8.7 | 100.0 | (2342) |
| Teachers | 66.7 | 24.4 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (2342) |
| Television | 58.7 | 32.9 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 100.0 | (2342) |
| Printed Materials | 56.0 | 28.3 | 6.5 | 9.2 | 100.0 | (2342) |
| Radio | 45.5 | 39.4 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 100.0 | (2342) |
| Peers / Friends | 36.4 | 41.1 | 14.7 | 7.8 | 100.0 | (2342) |
| Youth Information Centre | 13.6 | 14.1 | 13.6 | 58.7 | 100.0 | (2342) |
| Telephone Counsellor (Marge Roper) | 7.3 | 7.5 | 12.1 | 73.2 | 100.0 | (2342) |
|  | 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parents / Guardians | 62.4 | 19.7 | 11.9 | 6.1 | 100.0 | (1229) |
| Other Relatives | 38.5 | 38.4 | 14.3 | 8.8 | 100.0 | (1229) |
| Teachers | 69.6 | 23.2 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 100.0 | (1229) |
| Television | 57.7 | 33.0 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 100.0 | (1229) |
| Printed Materials | 54.3 | 29.2 | 6.9 | 9.6 | 100.0 | (1229) |
| Radio | 43.5 | 38.7 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 100.0 | (1229) |
| Peers / Friends | 34.3 | 40.5 | 16.0 | 9.2 | 100.0 | (1229) |
| Youth Information Centre | $14.7$ | $13.2$ | $13.0$ | $59.0$ | $100.0$ | (1229) |
| Telephone Counsellor (Marge Roper) | $6.1$ | $5.6$ | 10.0 | 78.3 | 100.0 | (1229) |
|  | 20-24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parents / Guardians | 48.9 | 27.7 | 17.4 | 6.0 | 100.0 | (1113) |
| Other Relatives | 31.4 | 39.2 | 20.8 | 8.6 | 100.0 | (1113) |
| Teachers | 63.4 | 25.8 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 100.0 | (1113) |
| Television | 59.9 | 32.8 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 100.0 | (1113) |
| Printed Materials | 57.9 | 27.3 | 6.1 | 8.7 | 100.0 | (1113) |
| Radio | 47.8 | 40.3 | 4.6 | 7.3 | 100.0 | (1113) |
| Peers / Friends | 38.7 | 41.9 | 13.2 | 6.2 | 100.0 | (1113) |
| Youth Information Centre | 12.3 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 58.3 | 100.0 | (1113) |
| Telephone Counsellor (Marge Roper) | 8.6 | 9.6 | 14.5 | 67.3 | 100.0 | (1113) |

[^4]TABLE 2.1.9
Degree Of Helpfulness Respondents Found Specific Sources Of Information About Family Life Or Sex Education Topics By Age Group - Young Men Aged 15-24 Years 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Source Of Information | Degree Of Helpfulness |  |  |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very <br> Helpful | Somewhat Helpful | Not <br> Helpful | Not A Source |  |  |
|  | ALL YOUNG MEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parents / Guardians | 54.6 | 27.0 | 12.3 | 6.1 | 100.0 | (2775) |
| Other Relatives | 27.7 | 44.5 | 18.6 | 9.1 | 100.0 | (2775) |
| Teachers | 66.0 | 24.7 | 5.9 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (2775) |
| Television | 47.0 | 44.5 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 100.0 | (2775) |
| Printed Materials | 35.1 | 40.9 | 11.3 | 12.7 | 100.0 | (2775) |
| Radio | 37.0 | 46.6 | 9.2 | 7.2 | 100.0 | (2775) |
| Peers / Friends | 36.2 | 48.5 | 11.4 | 3.9 | 100.0 | (2775) |
| Youth Information Centre | 12.0 | 16.2 | 23.7 | 48.1 | 100.0 | (2775) |
| Telephone Counsellor (Marge Roper) | 4.0 | 10.2 | 24.0 | 61.8 | 100.0 | (2775) |
|  | 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parents / Guardians | 55.1 | 26.8 | 11.2 | 7.0 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Other Relatives | 27.0 | 44.8 | 19.4 | 8.8 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Teachers | 69.3 | 22.8 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Television | 45.2 | 45.5 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Printed Materials | 34.0 | 40.9 | 12.2 | 12.9 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Radio | 34.3 | 47.5 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Peers / Friends | 32.8 | 50.4 | 12.5 | 4.2 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Youth Information Centre | 12.0 | 15.6 | 24.2 | 48.2 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Telephone Counsellor (Marge Roper) | 3.9 | 8.1 | 24.9 | 63.1 | 100.0 | (1652) |
|  | 20-24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parents / Guardians | 53.8 | 27.3 | 14.1 | 4.8 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Other Relatives | 28.8 | 44.2 | 17.5 | 9.5 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Teachers | 61.2 | 27.3 | 7.6 | 3.9 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Television | 49.7 | 43.0 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Printed Materials | 36.7 | 40.8 | 10.1 | 12.3 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Radio | 41.0 | 45.3 | 9.7 | 4.0 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Peers / Friends | 41.0 | 45.9 | 9.8 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Youth Information Centre | 12.0 | 17.0 | 23.0 | 48.0 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Telephone Counsellor (Marge Roper) | 4.0 | 13.4 | 22.8 | 59.9 | 100.0 | (1123) |

TABLE 2.2.1
Proportion of all Young Adult Women and Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Know Where To Go For Information On Sexual Health Issues By Age Group, Socio-Economic Status And Whether Had Family Life Or Sex Education Course Compared With 2002 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | $\underline{2008}$ |  |  |  | $\underline{2002}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WOMEN |  | MEN |  | WOMEN |  | MEN |  |
|  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N |
| $\underline{\text { Total }}{ }^{\dagger}$ | 91.9 | (2342) | 86.1 | (2775) | $\underline{91.3}$ | (1906) | $\underline{85.4}$ | (1965) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 88.2 | (1229) | 84.3 | (1652) | 88.8 | (981) | 83.3 | (1025) |
| 20-24 | 96.0 | (1113) | 88.9 | (1123) | 94.3 | (905) | 87.7 | (940) |
| 15-17 | 85.9 | (758) | 83.0 | (1037) | 87.8 | (563) | 84.0 | (553) |
| 18-19 | 91.8 | (471) | 86.1 | (615) | 90.2 | (418) | 82.4 | (472) |
| 20-22 | 95.8 | (625) | 88.4 | (635) | 94.9 | (566) | 88.9 | (608) |
| 23-24 | 96.3 | (488) | 89.9 | (488) | 93.1 | (359) | 85.9 | (332) |
| $\underline{\text { Socio-Economic Status }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 87.8 | (538) | 86.3 | (632) | * |  | * |  |
| Second | 89.9 | (513) | 90.3 | (620) | * |  | * |  |
| Middle | 93.2 | (475) | 80.3 | (512) | * |  | * |  |
| Fourth | 93.7 | (473) | 90.3 | (538) | * |  | * |  |
| Highest | 94.2 | (343) | 86.2 | (473) | * |  | * |  |
| Had Family Life Or Sex Education Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 92.5 | (2112) | 86.1 | (2315) | 92.7 | (1707) | 87.8 | (1618) |
| No | 85.8 | (230) | 0.0 | (460) | 79.2 | (199) | 74.3 | (347) |

* Information was not collected
${ }^{\dagger}$ Excludes 1 woman with missing information.

TABLE 2.2.2
Proportion Of All Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Know Where To Go For Treatment On A Sexual Transmitted Disease By Age Group, Socio-Economic Status And Whether Had Family Life Or Sex Education Course Compared With 2002 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | 2008 |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WOMEN |  | Men |  | WOMEN |  | Men |  |
|  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N |
| $\underline{\text { Total }}{ }^{\dagger}$ | 93.3 | (2342) | 91.2 | (2775) | $\underline{94.7}$ | (1913) | 85.4 | (1965) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 90.4 | (1229) | 87.9 | (1652) | 92.7 | (985) | 83.3 | (1025) |
| 20-24 | 96.7 | (1113) | 96.0 | (1123) | 97.1 | (928) | 87.7 | (940) |
| 15-17 | 88.8 | (758) | 84.5 | (1037) | 91.1 | (567) | 84.0 | (553) |
| 18-19 | 92.9 | (471) | 93.6 | (615) | 94.8 | (418) | 82.4 | (472) |
| 20-22 | 96.9 | (625) | 95.9 | (635) | 97.0 | (567) | 88.8 | (608) |
| 23-24 | 96.4 | (488) | 96.3 | (488) | 97.4 | (361) | 85.9 | (332) |
| $\underline{\text { Socio-Economic Status }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 91.3 | (538) | 88.6 | (632) | * |  | * |  |
| Second | 96.2 | (513) | 88.7 | (620) | * |  | * |  |
| Middle | 96.2 | (475) | 90.3 | (512) | * |  | * |  |
| Fourth | 87.3 | (473) | 94.3 | (538) | * |  | * |  |
| Highest | 95.7 | (343) | 94.3 | (473) | * |  | * |  |
| Had Family Life Or Sex Education Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 93.6 | (2112) | 93.2 | (2315) | 92.7 | (1707) | 87.8 | (1618) |
| No | 90.6 | (230) | 81.0 | (460) | 79.2 | (199) | 74.3 | (347) |

[^5]TABLE 2.2.3
Percentage Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Heard Of Various Methods Of Contraception By Whether They Have

Taken A Class Or Course On Family Life Or Sex Education That Included Information On Contraception Compared With 2002 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method Heard Of | Had Class/Course On Sex <br> Education That Included Information On Contraception |  |  |  | Did Not Have Class/Course on Sex Education That Included Information On Contraception |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  | Men |  | Women |  | Men |  |
|  | 2008 | 2002 | 2008 | 2002 | 2008 | 2002 | 2008 | 2002 |
| Condom | 100.0 | 99.6 | 99.9 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 99.2 |
| Pill | 99.4 | 99.2 | 95.4 | 98.4 | 100.0 | 97.3 | 95.1 | 95.9 |
| Female Condoms | 97.2 | * | 88.7 | * | 95.9 | * | 85.5 | * |
| Injection | 97.0 | 98.1 | 86.7 | 94.2 | 97.4 | 97.5 | 90.8 | 89.1 |
| Tubal Ligation | 92.8 | 90.6 | 73.9 | 80.2 | 94.3 | 86.0 | 58.0 | 66.7 |
| Withdrawal | 82.0 | 79.2 | 71.7 | 80.7 | 82.9 | 71.0 | 58.1 | 69.9 |
| IUD | 68.4 | 68.9 | 28.9 | 42.0 | 59.7 | 60.2 | 16.9 | 26.2 |
| Diaphragm | 61.7 | 64.9 | 35.4 | 53.0 | 55.8 | 42.3 | 20.9 | 31.1 |
| Vasectomy | 55.7 | 64.2 | 43.6 | 57.6 | 61.0 | 54.3 | 32.6 | 38.8 |
| Morning After Pill | 85.9 | 55.1 | 64.5 | 45.4 | 85.9 | 43.8 | 62.2 | 29.9 |
| Spermicides | 40.4 | 40.5 | 27.1 | 29.3 | 37.8 | 31.3 | 18.1 | 15.9 |
| Rhythm | 59.9 | 45.8 | 26.3 | 32.5 | 82.9 | 32.8 | 17.4 | 19.6 |
| Implant | 59.7 | 45.5 | 29.3 | 34.0 | 46.6 | 29.3 | 28.1 | 18.1 |
| Number Of Cases | (2167) | (1925) | (2668) | (1432) | (175) | (374) | (107) | (1005) |

* Information was not collected

TABLE 2.2.4
Percentage Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Heard Of Various Methods Of Contraception By Whether They Have

Taken A Class Or Course On Family Life Or Sex Education That Included
Information On Contraception By Age Group
Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method Heard Of | Had Class/Course On Sex <br> Education That Included Information On Contraception |  |  |  | Did Not Have Class/Course on Sex Education That Included Information On Contraception |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  | Men |  | Women |  | Men |  |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 15-19 | 20-24 |
| Condom | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Pill | 99.0 | 99.9 | 93.9 | 97.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 89.8 | 100.0 |
| Female Condom | 96.7 | 97.9 | 86.1 | 92.5 | 94.6 | 97.2 | 83.0 | 87.8 |
| Injection | 95.7 | 98.5 | 83.4 | 91.6 | 94.5 | 100.0 | 88.4 | 93.0 |
| Tubal Ligation | 89.2 | 96.8 | 66.0 | 85.4 | 89.1 | 99.2 | 50.1 | 65.2 |
| Withdrawal | 76.0 | 88.9 | 64.6 | 82.3 | 73.5 | 91.5 | 33.7 | 80.3 |
| IUD | 60.0 | 77.9 | 24.5 | 35.3 | 46.0 | 72.3 | 13.1 | 20.3 |
| Diaphragm | 56.5 | 67.7 | 31.9 | 40.5 | 59.0 | 52.9 | 24.4 | 17.6 |
| Vasectomy | 53.9 | 57.7 | 41.0 | 47.5 | 53.1 | 68.2 | 33.1 | 32.1 |
| Morning After Pill | 80.2 | 92.5 | 57.5 | 74.9 | 78.5 | 92.6 | 53.3 | 70.4 |
| Spermicides | 39.5 | 41.5 | 24.4 | 31.0 | 38.3 | 37.3 | 19.8 | 16.4 |
| Rhythm | 56.6 | 63.6 | 21.6 | 33.2 | 41.3 | 52.8 | 11.7 | 22.5 |
| Implant | 52.7 | 67.7 | 27.5 | 32.1 | 41.2 | 51.6 | 25.7 | 30.3 |
| Number Of Cases | (1142) | (1025) | (1592) | (1076) | (87) | (88) | (60) | (47) |

TABLE 2.2.5
Percent Distribution Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years By Contraceptive Method Perceived By Respondents As Most Appropriate For Young People By Age Group Compared With 2002 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method Perceived As | 2008 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Most Appropriate | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 |
|  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condom | 52.3 | 55.0 | 49.3 | 48.2 | 52.4 | 43.1 |
| Pill | 15.2 | 12.9 | 17.7 | 18.2 | 15.9 | 20.9 |
| Injection | 12.0 | 7.7 | 16.9 | 13.5 | 9.4 | 18.6 |
| Abstinence | 13.9 | 17.8 | 9.6 | 8.9 | 11.2 | 6.1 |
| Male \& Female Sterilization | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Other | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| None | * | * | * | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Don't Know / No Answer | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 5.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases ${ }^{\dagger}$ | (2342) | (1229) | (1113) | (1926) | (989) | (937) |
|  | MEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condom | 71.3 | 72.3 | 69.9 | 85.1 | 86.6 | 83.2 |
| Pill | 7.6 | 5.8 | 10.3 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 5.3 |
| Injection | 4.2 | 2.5 | 6.6 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 2.7 |
| Abstinence | 11.6 | 13.4 | 8.8 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.3 |
| Male \& Female Sterilization | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Other | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.8 |
| None | * | * | * | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Don't Know / No Answer | 3.5 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (2775) | (1652) | (1123) | (2437) | (1432) | (1005) |

[^6]TABLE 2.2.6
Percentage Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Say They Could Afford The Contraceptive Method They Perceived As Most Appropriate By Age Group 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Whether Or Not Could Afford Contraceptive Method Perceived As Most Appropriate | 2008 |  |  | $\underline{2002}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Condom | Pill | Injection | Condom | Pill | Injection |
|  | T O T A L |  |  |  |  |  |
| Could Afford | 85.0 | 86.7 | 93.6 | 96.8 | 92.1 | 92.2 |
| Could Not Afford | 6.7 | 6.7 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 3.2 |
| Don't Know | 8.4 | 6.5 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 5.7 | 4.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (1193) | (355) | (286) | (897) | (364) | (280) |
|  | 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Could Afford | 77.7 | 71.7 | 83.6 | 95.5 | 86.2 | 83.9 |
| Could Not Afford | 9.0 | 14.0 | 8.7 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 5.0 |
| Don't Know | 13.2 | 14.3 | 7.7 | 2.0 | 9.2 | 11.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (649) | (159) | (93) | (504) | (170) | (96) |
|  | 20-24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Could Afford | 94.1 | 99.1 | 98.7 | 98.9 | 97.6 | 97.3 |
| Could Not Afford | 3.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| Don't Know | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| No. Of Cases | (544) | (196) | (193) | (393) | (194) | (184) |

TABLE 2.2.7
Percentage Of Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Say They Could Afford The Contraceptive Method They Perceived As Most Appropriate By Age Group
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Whether Or Not Could Afford Contraceptive Method Perceived As Most Appropriate | 2008 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Condom | Pill | Injection | Condom | Pill | Injection |
| T O T A L |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Could Afford | 90.2 | 77.3 | 71.5 | 95.9 | 82.5 | 81.1 |
| Could Not Afford | 3.6 | 14.6 | 15.5 | 2.6 | 10.8 | 6.5 |
| Don't Know | 6.2 | 8.1 | 13.0 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 7.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| No. Of Cases | (1957) | (188) | (128) | (2091) | (88) | (34) |
|  | 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Could Afford | 87.6 | 73.1 | 55.8 | 93.8 | ** | ** |
| Could Not Afford | 5.0 | 19.1 | 18.4 | 3.8 | ** | ** |
| Don't Know | 7.4 | 7.7 | 25.8 | 2.4 | ** | ** |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | ** | ** |
| No. Of Cases | (1179) | (78) | (48) | (1237) | (38) | (9) |
|  | 20-24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Could Afford | 94.1 | 80.7 | 80.1 | 98.8 | 90.5 | 83.8 |
| Could Not Afford | 1.5 | 10.9 | 13.9 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 5.4 |
| Don't Know | 4.4 | 8.4 | 6.0 | 0.3 | 5.4 | 10.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (778) | (110) | (80) | (854) | (50) | (25) |

TABLE 2.2.8
Percent Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Taken A SchoolBased Class Or Course On Family Life Or Sex Education

That Included Information
On Contraception By Age Group At Which Course Or Class Was Taken 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age At Which Class / Course Was Taken | Percent Who HadClass/CourseOn Sex Education ThatIncludedInformation On Contraception |  | Percent Who Did Not Have Class/ Course On Sex Education That Included Information On Contraception |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WOMEN | MEN | WOMEN | MEN |
|  | $\begin{array}{lllll}\mathbf{T} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{L}\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Under 13 | 36.8 | 26.3 | 56.6 | 28.6 |
| 13-14 | 45.8 | 51.6 | 32.8 | 47.8 |
| 15-17 | 14.9 | 20.2 | 9.3 | 23.0 |
| 18-19 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unknown | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (1905) | (2181) | (173) | (106) |
|  | 15 - 19 |  |  |  |
| Under 13 | 39.1 | 27.1 | 60.3 | 29.3 |
| 13-14 | 45.8 | 52.3 | 32.2 | 48.9 |
| 15-17 | 13.7 | 19.7 | 7.0 | 21.9 |
| 18-19 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unknown | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (1029) | (1336) | (86) | (60) |
|  | $20 \quad 24$ |  |  |  |
| Under 13 | 34.1 | 24.9 | 53.3 | 27.9 |
| 13-14 | 45.7 | 50.4 | 33.3 | 46.7 |
| 15-17 | 16.4 | 20.9 | 11.4 | 24.2 |
| 18-19 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unknown | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (876) | (845) | (87) | (46) |

TABLE 2.3.1

## Degree Of Difficulty In Discussing Menstruation With Parents Or Guardians Young Women Aged 15-24 Years <br> By Socio-Demographic Characteristics 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Degree Of Difficulty |  |  |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Easy | Somewhat Difficult | Extremely Difficult | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not } \\ & \text { Sure } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Total | 72.2 | 21.5 | 6.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (1610) |
| Region $\quad 3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 79.1 | 15.1 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (484) |
|  | 72.7 | 22.0 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (342) |
|  | 63.1 | 32.0 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (486) |
|  | 64.5 | 25.7 | 9.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (298) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 80.6 | 14.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (251) |
| Other Urban | 74.3 | 19.0 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (436) |
| Rural | 66.1 | 27.2 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (923) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 71.2 | 21.5 | 7.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (928) |
| 20-24 | 73.4 | 21.6 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (682) |
| 15-17 | 69.5 | 23.0 | 7.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (592) |
| 18-19 | 74.4 | 18.9 | 6.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (336) |
| 20-22 | 74.9 | 21.5 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (398) |
| 23-24 | 71.1 | 21.9 | 6.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (284) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 76.2 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (26) |
| Common Law | 66.4 | 24.8 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (261) |
| Visiting Partner | 76.3 | 18.3 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (445) |
| Boyfriend With Sex | 66.2 | 25.8 | 7.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (141) |
| Boyfriend Without Sex | 68.6 | 26.9 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (133) |
| No steady relationship | 72.9 | 20.7 | 6.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (604) |
| Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 65.6 | 25.7 | 8.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (296) |
| 10-12 | 72.5 | 21.7 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (1157) |
| $13+$ | 79.8 | 14.6 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (157) |
| Socio Economic Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 60.9 | 31.4 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (312) |
| Second | 62.3 | 29.5 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (329) |
| Middle | 73.2 | 20.7 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (339) |
| Fourth | 78.5 | 13.3 | 8.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (365) |
| Highest | 80.5 | 17.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (265) |

TABLE 2.3.2
Degree Of Difficulty In Discussing Pregnancy With Parents Or Guardians Young Women Aged 15-24 Years By Socio-Demographic Characteristics 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Degree Of Difficulty |  |  |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Easy | Somewhat Difficult | Extremely Difficult | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not } \\ & \text { Sure } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Total | $64.5$ | 25.6 | 9.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (1570) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 68.1 | 23.2 | 8.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (457) |
| 2 | 73.8 | 19.1 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (320) |
| 3 | 55.3 | 37.1 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (501) |
| 4 | 60.1 | 21.9 | 17.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (292) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 72.2 | 16.5 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (235) |
| Other Urban | 62.8 | 29.1 | 7.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (424) |
| Rural | 61.8 | 27.4 | 10.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (911) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 65.4 | 24.2 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (900) |
| 15 | 64.6 | 24.5 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (230) |
| 16 | 66.3 | 20.3 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (185) |
| 17 | 65.7 | 23.7 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (163) |
| 18 | 59.2 | 31.3 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (165) |
| 19 | 71.0 | 22.2 | 6.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (157) |
| 20-24 | 63.2 | 27.4 | 9.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (670) |
| 20 | 65.9 | 25.3 | 7.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (118) |
| 21 | 67.7 | 25.3 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (144) |
| 22 | 62.8 | 28.9 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (131) |
| $23$ | 66.7 | 19.1 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (143) |
| 24 | 51.0 | 39.9 | 8.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (134) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 43.8 | 56.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (25) |
| Common Law | 52.0 | 32.7 | 15.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (264) |
| Visiting Partner | 64.8 | 25.3 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (450) |
| Boyfriend With Sex | 67.5 | 20.7 | 9.8 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (129) |
| Boyfriend Without Sex | 67.6 | 27.8 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (119) |
| No Steady Partner | 67.9 | 22.7 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (583) |
| Years Of Education* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 56.5 | 29.1 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (292) |
| 10-12 | 63.9 | 26.4 | 9.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (1134) |
| $13+$ | 81.6 | 13.6 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (144) |
| Socio Economic Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 54.5 | 34.1 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (305) |
| Second | 55.9 | 25.9 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (333) |
| Middle | 59.2 | 30.7 | 9.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (339) |
| Fourth | 71.1 | 20.6 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (342) |
| Highest | 77.4 | 18.9 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (251) |

TABLE 2.3.3
Degree Of Difficulty In Discussing Methods Of Birth Control With Parents Or Guardians Young Women Aged 15-24 Years

By Socio-Demographic Characteristics 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Degree Of Difficulty |  |  |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Easy | Somewhat Difficult | Extremely Difficult | Not <br> Sure |  |  |
| Total | 69.4 | 22.5 | 7.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (1043) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 74.2 | 18.0 | 7.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (309) |
| 2 | 67.5 | 27.7 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 100.0 | (190) |
| 3 | 60.6 | 33.7 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (352) |
| 4 | 69.6 | 16.0 | 14.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (192) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 72.6 | 16.8 | 9.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (148) |
| Other Urban | 73.7 | 20.6 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (274) |
| Rural | 64.5 | 26.6 | 8.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (621) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 69.4 | 21.2 | 8.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (579) |
| 20-24 | 69.4 | 24.0 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (464) |
| 15-17 | 66.2 | 23.6 | 9.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (361) |
| 18-19 | 74.2 | 17.5 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (218) |
| 20-22 | 72.5 | 24.2 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (279) |
| 23-24 | 63.5 | 23.4 | 12.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (185) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | (18) |
| Common Law | 65.5 | 23.6 | 10.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (184) |
| Visiting Partner | 72.8 | 19.6 | 6.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (303) |
| Boyfriend With Sex | 70.5 | 18.2 | 10.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (84) |
| Boyfriend Without Sex | 69.8 | 26.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (83) |
| No Steady Partner | 68.2 | 23.9 | 7.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (371) |
| Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 54.2 | 30.5 | 13.8 | 1.5 | 100.0 | (181) |
| 10-12 | 71.1 | 21.4 | 6.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (753) |
| $13+$ | 76.4 | 19.7 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (109) |
| Socio Economic Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 60.2 | 28.4 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (199) |
| Second | 63.6 | 23.2 | 11.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (208) |
| Middle | 76.2 | 18.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (219) |
| Fourth | 71.7 | 19.5 | 8.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (241) |
| Highest | 71.5 | 24.5 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (176) |

[^7]TABLE 2.3.4

## Degree Of Difficulty In Discussing Pregnancy With Parents Or Guardians <br> Young Men Aged 15-24 Years <br> By Socio-Demographic Characteristics 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Degree Of Difficulty |  |  |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Easy | Somewhat Difficult | Extremely Difficult | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not } \\ & \text { Sure } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Total | 66.6 | 25.7 | 6.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (1560) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 73.2 | 19.3 | 5.9 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (443) |
| 2 | 61.5 | 33.1 | 4.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (315) |
| 3 | 59.5 | 29.9 | 10.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (494) |
| 4 | 67.7 | 26.7 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (308) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 75.3 | 18.6 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (205) |
| Other Urban | 70.8 | 23.9 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (455) |
| Rural | 60.9 | 29.1 | 8.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (900) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 64.5 | 27.1 | 7.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (954) |
| 20-24 | 70.1 | 23.6 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (606) |
| 15-17 | 63.1 | 28.6 | 7.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (597) |
| 18-19 | 66.5 | 24.5 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (357) |
| 20-22 | 68.2 | 25.5 | 5.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (357) |
| 23-24 | 73.2 | 20.3 | 5.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (249) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | (7) |
| Common Law | 81.2 | 14.9 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (96) |
| Visiting Partner | 70.7 | 22.3 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 100.0 | (312) |
| Girlfriend With Sex | 69.5 | 23.8 | 5.7 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (471) |
| Girlfriend Without Sex | 70.3 | 24.7 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (252) |
| No Steady Partner | 53.9 | 34.4 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (422) |
| Years Of Education* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 59.8 | 27.9 | 11.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (362) |
| 10-12 | 67.8 | 25.4 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (1112) |
| $13+$ | 80.6 | 18.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (78) |
| Socio Economic Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 61.2 | 26.9 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (281) |
| Second | 58.4 | 28.1 | 10.7 | 2.8 | 100.0 | (351) |
| Middle | 68.7 | 25.1 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (296) |
| Fourth | 70.3 | 26.7 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (322) |
| Highest | 72.7 | 22.1 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (310) |

[^8]TABLE 2.3.5
Degree Of Difficulty In Discussing Condoms With Parents Or Guardians Young Men Aged 15-24 Years
By Socio-Demographic Characteristics 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Degree Of Difficulty |  |  |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Easy | Somewhat Difficult | Extremely Difficult | Not <br> Sure |  |  |
| Total | 73.1 | 21.3 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (1506) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 80.0 | 16.5 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (452) |
| 2 | 68.3 | 24.5 | 6.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (284) |
| 3 | 66.2 | 26.3 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (495) |
| 4 | 73.8 | 21.0 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (275) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 87.7 | 9.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (205) |
| Other Urban | 75.7 | 20.0 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (428) |
| Rural | 67.0 | 26.0 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (873) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 72.2 | 22.0 | 5.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (936) |
| 20-24 | 74.7 | 20.3 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (570) |
| 15-17 | 70.5 | 24.2 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (579) |
| 18-19 | 74.7 | 18.7 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (357) |
| 20-22 | 76.5 | 18.4 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (335) |
| 23-24 | 72.1 | 23.2 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (235) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | (6) |
| Common Law | 73.4 | 25.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (81) |
| Visiting Partner | 80.4 | 14.5 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (300) |
| Girlfriend With Sex | 78.0 | 18.6 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (458) |
| Girlfriend Without Sex | 77.7 | 19.5 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (221) |
| No Steady Partner | 60.0 | 29.7 | 9.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (440) |
| Years Of Education* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 69.9 | 23.2 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (343) |
| 10-12 | 73.9 | 20.7 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (1093) |
| $13+$ | 80.0 | 18.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (62) |
| Socio Economic Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 66.4 | 22.1 | 10.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (285) |
| Second | 69.9 | 24.4 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (335) |
| Middle | 70.0 | 26.6 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (289) |
| Fourth | 75.5 | 22.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (315) |
| Highest | 82.1 | 11.8 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (282) |

[^9]TABLE 2.4.1
Percentage Of Women 15-24 Years Who Have Seen/Heard Any Of The Specified NFPB Programmmes During Past Six Months By Selected Characteristics 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Percent Seeing/Hearing The Following NFPB Programmes Over The Past Six Months |  |  |  |  |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teen <br> Seen | NFPB <br> Youth <br> Forum | Marge Roper Radio Programme | "Outta Road" Radio Programme | Radio <br> Commercials | Television <br> Commercials |  |
| Total* | 47.0 | 22.0 | 11.3 | 22.2 | 74.8 | 83.1 | (2342) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 49.4 | 32.6 | 11.7 | 29.2 | 66.9 | 79.1 | (358) |
| Other Urban | 42.1 | 19.0 | 15.0 | 24.3 | 79.9 | 86.3 | (600) |
| Rural | 49.5 | 19.3 | 8.4 | 17.5 | 74.6 | 82.6 | (1384) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 49.2 | 25.0 | 13.9 | 26.0 | 71.2 | 81.7 | (687) |
| 2 | 47.8 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 18.7 | 76.5 | 83.1 | (501) |
| 3 | 46.4 | 25.4 | 9.9 | 22.0 | 84.9 | 91.1 | (687) |
| 4 | 42.0 | 20.3 | 9.3 | 16.1 | 71.3 | 78.1 | (467) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 48.9 | 20.6 | 10.9 | 21.6 | 72.1 | 82.4 | (1229) |
| 20-24 | 44.9 | 23.6 | 11.7 | 23.0 | 77.9 | 84.0 | (1113) |
| Socio-Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 44.4 | 20.3 | 9.8 | 16.9 | 73.8 | 80.7 | (538) |
| Second | 45.2 | 15.3 | 11.0 | 21.0 | 68.3 | 77.5 | (513) |
| Middle | 47.0 | 25.2 | 14.6 | 26.0 | 77.8 | 83.0 | (475) |
| Fourth | 53.8 | 25.2 | 13.3 | 23.8 | 77.8 | 89.0 | (473) |
| Highest | 44.3 | 23.3 | 7.6 | 22.9 | 75.5 | 84.7 | (343) |
| Years Of <br> Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 43.7 | 18.9 | 7.6 | 15.9 | 67.9 | 77.1 | (459) |
| 10-12 | 48.3 | 24.3 | 12.1 | 23.1 | 76.0 | 84.9 | (1674) |
| $13+$ | 43.5 | 11.2 | 11.5 | 26.7 | 77.7 | 81.1 | (209) |
| Currently Users Contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 44.6 | 21.7 | 11.5 | 18.7 | 74.3 | 82.2 | (1041) |
| No | 48.9 | 22.3 | 11.1 | 25.0 | 75.1 | 83.9 | (1301) |

[^10]TABLE 2.4.2

## Percentage Of Men 15-24 Years Who Have Seen/Heard Any Of The Specified NFPB Programmes During Past Six Months By Selected Characteristics 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY



[^11]TABLE 2.4.3
Percent Of Women 15-24 Years Who Behaved Differently After Seeing/Hearing Any Of The Specified NFPB Programmes During The Past Six Months By Selected Characteristics 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected <br> Characteristics | Percent Seeing/Hearing The Following NFPB Programmes Over The Past Six Months |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teen <br> Seen | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { NFPB } \\ & \text { Youth } \\ & \text { Forum } \end{aligned}$ | Marge Roper Radio Programme | "Outta Road" Radio Programme | Radio <br> Commercials | Television <br> Commercials |
| Total* | 23.9 | 12.9 | 19.0 | 17.3 | 9.7 | 17.9 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 25.8 | 9.4 | 7.8 | 19.8 | 9.8 | 19.1 |
| Other Urban | 20.3 | 14.7 | 28.6 | 21.6 | 9.8 | 17.9 |
| Rural | 25.3 | 14.4 | 13.5 | 10.9 | 9.7 | 17.4 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 26.2 | 11.4 | 18.9 | 20.7 | 9.9 | 20.1 |
| 2 | 17.4 | 7.1 | 10.3 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 11.8 |
| 3 | 16.3 | 16.9 | 20.9 | 13.1 | 6.7 | 12.9 |
| 4 | 28.0 | 13.8 | 21.7 | 17.2 | 14.1 | 23.1 |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 24.2 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 16.8 | 8.8 | 18.4 |
| 20-24 | 23.6 | 15.0 | 28.9 | 17.8 | 10.7 | 17.4 |
| Socio-Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 24.4 | 12.8 | 18.7 | 16.8 | 8.3 | 16.6 |
| Second | 30.1 | 9.8 | 27.7 | 16.6 | 14.0 | 20.6 |
| Middle | 27.8 | 18.5 | 24.0 | 25.1 | 10.4 | 16.3 |
| Fourth | 23.9 | 11.0 | 8.6 | 10.2 | 10.1 | 19.0 |
| Highest | 13.8 | 11.1 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 6.5 | 17.3 |
| Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 26.8 | 13.6 | 18.2 | 22.6 | 9.2 | 17.7 |
| 10-12 | 23.4 | 13.2 | 20.6 | 17.7 | 10.1 | 18.1 |
| $13+$ | 22.8 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 17.0 |
| Currently Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 21.6 | 16.8 | 30.1 | 28.8 | 11.1 | 20.9 |
| No | 25.5 | 10.0 | 9.9 | 10.5 | 8.7 | 15.6 |
| No. of Cases | (1103) | (463) | (231) | (452) | (1726) | (1929) |

[^12]TABLE 2.4.4
Percentage Of Men 15-24 Years Who Have Behaved Differently After Seeing/Hearing Any Of The Specified NFPB Programmes During Past Six Months By Selected Characteristics 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Percent Seeing/Hearing The Following NFPB Programmes Over The Past Six Months |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teen <br> Seen | NFPB Youth <br> Forum | Marge <br> Roper <br> Radio <br> Programme | "Outta <br> Road" <br> Radio <br> Programme | Radio <br> Commercials | Television <br> Commercials |
| Total | 25.6 | 18.6 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 15.6 | 22.9 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 29.1 | 17.6 | 33.0 | 12.2 | 20.7 | 24.6 |
| Other Urban | 26.5 | 16.7 | 14.7 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 21.4 |
| Rural | 24.2 | 19.9 | 12.3 | 19.1 | 16.5 | 23.2 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 28.4 | 23.3 | 23.6 | 17.8 | 16.2 | 25.1 |
| 2 | 23.9 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 12.8 | 16.3 |
| 3 | 23.2 | 13.7 | 12.0 | 17.8 | 16.4 | 23.4 |
| 4 | 24.9 | 12.2 | 11.7 | 14.7 | 15.3 | 22.2 |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 26.5 | 20.3 | 15.3 | 17.8 | 16.7 | 24.2 |
| 20-24 | 24.1 | 16.0 | 18.4 | 14.4 | 14.1 | 21.0 |
| Socio-Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 18.6 | 23.7 | 6.9 | 16.8 | 14.9 | 20.2 |
| Second | 22.0 | 15.7 | 24.6 | 16.3 | 16.2 | 24.7 |
| Middle | 29.5 | 15.7 | 21.1 | 19.8 | 17.1 | 25.6 |
| Fourth | 27.2 | 15.9 | 12.5 | 11.2 | 15.6 | 23.0 |
| Highest | 30.2 | 24.5 | 17.4 | 17.8 | 14.1 | 20.7 |
| Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 26.8 | 17.0 | 5.3 | 13.4 | 14.9 | 21.4 |
| 10-12 | 25.6 | 19.8 | 20.4 | 17.4 | 16.0 | 23.5 |
| $13+$ | 19.0 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 12.8 | 22.6 |
| Currently Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 25.6 | 18.7 | 17.9 | 16.3 | 17.1 | 24.3 |
| No | 25.6 | 18.5 | 14.8 | 16.5 | 14.2 | 21.5 |
| No. of Cases | (1005) | (236) | (128) | (573) | (2053) | (2267) |

TABLE 2.4.5

## Percent Of Young Women And Young Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Heard A Message On The JA-Style Programme By Parish And Health Region 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Geographical Area | Heard Message On 'JA-Style" Programmes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  | MEN |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | N.S | Total | N | Yes | No | N.S | Total | N |
| $\frac{\text { ALL }}{\text { JAMAICA* }}$ | 22.5 | 76.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (2342) | 26.0 | 68.5 | 5.4 | 100.0 | (2775) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 25.7 | 73.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (687) | 18.5 | 70.7 | 10.8 | 100.0 | (789) |
| 2 | 17.1 | 82.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (501) | 17.5 | 78.8 | 3.7 | 100.0 | (552) |
| 3 | 23.4 | 72.1 | 4.5 | 100.0 | (687) | 40.8 | 55.7 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (775) |
| 4 | 17.6 | 81.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (467) | 26.4 | 73.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (659) |
| Parish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston | 17.9 | 79.6 | 2.5 | 100.0 | (183) | 15.7 | 82.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | (209) |
| St. Andrew | 23.4 | 76.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (208) | 17.5 | 70.0 | 12.6 | 100.0 | (201) |
| St. Thomas | 14.6 | 84.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (155) | 13.4 | 84.7 | 1.9 | 100.0 | (212) |
| Portland | 14.0 | 83.8 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (125) | 16.3 | 81.1 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (182) |
| St. Mary | 16.5 | 83.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (158) | 8.4 | 91.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (186) |
| St. Ann | 18.4 | 81.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (218) | 25.5 | 67.4 | 7.1 | 100.0 | (184) |
| Trelawny | 18.6 | 74.7 | 6.7 | 100.0 | (129) | 13.2 | 86.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (170) |
| St. James | 9.6 | 86.6 | 3.8 | 100.0 | (191) | 37.7 | 58.2 | 4.1 | 100.0 | (215) |
| Hanover | 13.5 | 77.5 | 9.0 | 100.0 | (190) | 30.6 | 59.9 | 9.6 | 100.0 | (181) |
| Westmoreland | 49.3 | 49.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (177) | 60.3 | 39.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (209) |
| St. Elizabeth | 12.0 | 87.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (141) | 59.7 | 39.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (217) |
| Manchester | 15.0 | 84.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (160) | 9.8 | 90.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (236) |
| Clarendon | 24.0 | 73.8 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (166) | 15.7 | 83.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (206) |
| St. Catherine | 31.6 | 68.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (141) | 21.3 | 66.1 | 12.6 | 100.0 | (167) |

[^13]TABLE 2.4.6
Percent Of Young Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Heard A Message On The YOUTH.NOW Programme By Age Group By Geographical Area 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Geographical Area | Heard Message On YOUTH.NOW Programmes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  | MEN |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-22 | 23-24 | Total | N | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-22 | 23-24 | Total | N |
| ALL JAMAICA | 31.8 | 19.9 | 32.8 | 15.5 | 100.0 | (456) | 36.3 | 22.4 | 23.9 | 17.4 | 100.0 | (720) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 25.9 | 21.3 | 36.4 | 16.4 | 100.0 | (146) | 41.2 | 23.0 | 20.3 | 15.5 | 100.0 | (132) |
| 2 | 37.1 | 24.7 | 23.5 | 14.7 | 100.0 | (81) | 35.2 | 33.3 | 22.8 | 8.7 | 100.0 | (97) |
| 3 | 41.6 | 16.6 | 30.4 | 11.4 | 100.0 | (146) | 34.6 | 17.9 | 26.9 | 20.6 | 100.0 | (311) |
| 4 | 34.5 | 16.6 | 30.0 | 18.9 | 100.0 | (83) | 34.5 | 24.9 | 23.3 | 17.3 | 100.0 | (180) |
| $\underline{\text { Selected Parishes }{ }^{\dagger}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston | 16.2 | 10.1 | 63.5 | 10.2 | 100.0 | (30) | 37.8 | 26.4 | 9.3 | 26.5 | 100.0 | (32) |
| St. Andrew | 37.3 | 22.7 | 30.1 | 9.8 | 100.0 | (55) | 30.4 | 34.4 | 28.7 | 6.6 | 100.0 | (34) |
| St. Thomas | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | (20) | 39.7 | 20.0 | 13.9 | 26.5 | 100.0 | (33) |
| Portland | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | (14) | 38.1 | 40.7 | 11.8 | 9.3 | 100.0 | (32) |
| St. Mary | 39.5 | 17.1 | 27.9 | 15.6 | 100.0 | (27) | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | (18) |
| St. Ann | 38.3 | 32.3 | 17.5 | 12.0 | 100.0 | (40) | 30.6 | 32.1 | 27.5 | 9.8 | 100.0 | (47) |
| Trelawny | 47.8 | 1.8 | 33.5 | 16.8 | 100.0 | (25) | 34.5 | 18.3 | 24.8 | 22.5 | 100.0 | (28) |
| St. James | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | (17) | 33.5 | 19.9 | 27.4 | 19.3 | 100.0 | (87) |
| Hanover | 48.4 | 13.0 | 20.6 | 18.0 | 100.0 | (26) | 35.3 | 13.8 | 23.3 | 27.6 | 100.0 | (67) |
| Westmoreland | 44.2 | 21.6 | 23.0 | 11.2 | 100.0 | (78) | 35.3 | 17.5 | 27.6 | 19.5 | 100.0 | (129) |
| St. Elizabeth | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | (22) | 32.6 | 23.6 | 24.5 | 19.4 | 100.0 | (128) |
| Clarendon | 35.7 | 16.2 | 27.5 | 20.7 | 100.0 | (39) | 49.9 | 19.8 | 12.5 | 17.8 | 100.0 | (29) |
| St. Catherine | 16.4 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 23.5 | 100.0 | (41) | 51.6 | 12.8 | 15.5 | 20.1 | 100.0 | (33) |

[^14]TABLE 2.4.7
Percent Of Young Women And Men Who Have Been Involved In ExtraCurricula Activities Which Could Help Them To Prevent Early Sexual Activity

By Type Of Activity
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

|  | WOMEN |  |  |  | MEN |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Total | N | Yes | No | Total | N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19.4 | 80.6 | 100.0 | $(2342)$ | 19.4 | 80.6 | 100.0 | $(2775)$ |
| Sporting Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debating | 6.1 | 93.9 | 100.0 | $(2342)$ | 12.1 | 87.9 | 100.0 | $(2775)$ |
| Church Activities | 3.2 | 96.8 | 100.0 | $(2342)$ | 1.5 | 98.5 | 100.0 | $(2775)$ |
| Educational Activities | 10.5 | 89.5 | 100.0 | $(2342)$ | 5.3 | 94.7 | 100.0 | $(2775)$ |
| 4H Clubs | 3.8 | 96.2 | 100.0 | $(2342)$ | 2.1 | 97.9 | 100.0 | $(2775)$ |
| Youth Advocacy | 4.5 | 95.5 | 100.0 | $(2342)$ | 3.0 | 97.0 | 100.0 | $(2775)$ |
| Network |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marching Band | 2.8 | 97.2 | 100.0 | $(2342)$ | 2.4 | 97.6 | 100.0 | $(2775)$ |
| Drama or Dance Groups | 0.7 | 99.3 | 100.0 | $(2342)$ | 0.7 | 99.3 | 100.0 | $(2775)$ |
| Youth Club | 4.1 | 95.9 | 100.0 | $(2342)$ | 1.4 | 98.6 | 100.0 | $(2775)$ |
| Other | 2.3 | 97.7 | 100.0 | $(2342)$ | 2.1 | 97.9 | 100.0 | $(2775)$ |

[^15]TABLE 2.4.8
Percent Of Young Women And Men Who Have Been Involved In ExtraCurricula Activities Which Could Help Them To Prevent Early Sexual Activity By Type Of Activity And Age Group 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Type of Activity |  | WOMEN |  |  | MEN |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | Total | N | Yes | No | Total | N |
| Any Activity | 21.5 | 78.5 | 100.0 | (1229) | 19.8 | 80.2 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Sporting Activities | 6.5 | 93.5 | 100.0 | (1229) | 12.1 | 87.9 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Debating | 3.3 | 96.7 | 100.0 | (1229) | 1.5 | 98.5 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Church Activities | 11.9 | 88.1 | 100.0 | (1229) | 5.8 | 94.2 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Educational Activities | 3.5 | 96.5 | 100.0 | (1229) | 2.0 | 98.0 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| 4H Clubs | 5.8 | 94.2 | 100.0 | (1229) | 3.1 | 96.9 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Youth Advocacy Network | 3.3 | 96.7 | 100.0 | (1229) | 2.0 | 98.0 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Marching Band | 0.9 | 99.1 | 100.0 | (1229) | 0.9 | 99.1 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Drama or Dance Groups | 3.7 | 96.3 | 100.0 | (1229) | 1.7 | 98.3 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Youth Club | 2.5 | 97.5 | 100.0 | (1229) | 1.7 | 98.3 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| Other | 1.5 | 98.5 | 100.0 | (1229) | 1.5 | 98.5 | 100.0 | (1652) |
|  | 20-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of Activity | Yes | No | Total | N | Yes | No | Total | N |
| Any Activity | 17.0 | 83.0 | 100.0 | (1113) | 18.8 | 81.2 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Sporting Activities | 5.6 | 94.4 | 100.0 | (1113) | 12.2 | 87.8 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Debating | 3.1 | 96.9 | 100.0 | (1113) | 1.6 | 98.4 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Church Activities | 8.9 | 91.1 | 100.0 | (1113) | 4.6 | 95.4 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Educational Activities | 4.0 | 96.0 | 100.0 | (1113) | 2.4 | 97.6 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| 4H Clubs | 3.0 | 97.0 | 100.0 | (1113) | 2.8 | 97.2 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Youth Advocacy <br> Network | 2.2 | 97.8 | 100.0 | (1113) | 3.0 | 97.0 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Marching Band | 0.5 | 99.5 | 100.0 | (1113) | 0.4 | 99.6 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Drama or Dance Groups | 4.5 | 95.5 | 100.0 | (1113) | 0.9 | 99.1 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Youth Club | 2.0 | 98.0 | 100.0 | (1113) | 2.6 | 97.4 | 100.0 | (1123) |
| Other | 1.5 | 98.5 | 100.0 | (1113) | 0.7 | 99.3 | 100.0 | (1123) |

TABLE 2.4.9
Percent Of Young Women And Men Who Have Been Involved In ExtraCurricula Activities Which Could Help Them To Prevent Early Sexual Activity By Age Group
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Type Of Activity | A G E |  | $\begin{array}{lllll}\mathbf{G} & \mathbf{R} & \mathrm{O} & \mathbf{U} & \mathbf{P}\end{array}$ |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-22 | 23-24 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { W O } & \text { M } & \text { E }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Activity | 37.0 | 21.9 | 26.3 | 14.8 | 100.0 | (394) |
| Sporting Activities | 34.4 | 22.6 | 26.2 | 16.8 | 100.0 | (130) |
| Debating | 47.6 | 6.6 | 26.8 | 18.9 | 100.0 | (64) |
| Church Activities | 38.7 | 21.3 | 25.7 | 14.2 | 100.0 | (199) |
| Educational Activities | 32.9 | 16.9 | 24.3 | 25.8 | 100.0 | (74) |
| 4H Clubs | 42.7 | 25.8 | 14.4 | 17.1 | 100.0 | (97) |
| Youth Advocacy Network | 35.2 | 27.5 | 23.4 | 13.9 | 100.0 | (51) |
| Drama or Dance Group | 38.7 | 9.7 | 28.8 | 22.7 | 100.0 | (73) |
| Youth Club | 33.7 | 24.8 | 18.0 | 23.5 | 100.0 | (50) |
| Other | 33.3 | 19.8 | 20.9 | 25.9 | 100.0 | (37) |
|  | M E N |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Activity | 36.6 | 23.7 | 23.8 | 15.8 | 100.0 | (547) |
| Sporting Activities | 35.5 | 23.5 | 23.2 | 17.8 | 100.0 | (341) |
| Debating | 30.3 | 26.0 | 35.0 | 8.6 | 100.0 | (40) |
| Church Activities | 41.1 | 23.3 | 24.8 | 10.8 | 100.0 | (154) |
| Educational Activities | 23.7 | 30.1 | 24.2 | 22.1 | 100.0 | (61) |
| 4H Clubs | 32.9 | 28.4 | 27.7 | 10.9 | 100.0 | (84) |
| Youth Advocacy Network | 32.8 | 16.3 | 35.5 | 15.4 | 100.0 | (69) |
| Drama or Dance Group | 48.9 | 23.8 | 16.2 | 11.1 | 100.0 | (48) |
| Youth Club | 27.0 | 22.2 | 32.9 | 17.9 | 100.0 | (65) |
| Other | 39.2 | 35.6 | 13.6 | 11.6 | 100.0 | (33) |

TABLE 2.5.1
Percentage Of Young Adult Women And Men Age 15-24 Years Who Have Certain Beliefs About Specific Contraceptive Methods By Whether Respondents Have Taken A Class Or Course On Family Life Or Sex Education That Included Information On Modern Birth Control Methods Compared With 2002 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Beliefs About Method | WOMEN |  | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Had Sex Education Course | No Sex Education Course | Had Sex <br> Education <br> Course | No Sex Education Course |
|  | 2008 |  |  |  |
| If Used Correctly, Condoms Are Very Effective At Preventing STDs | 42.2 | 46.2 | 48.3 | 44.6 |
| If Used Correctly, Condoms Are Very Effective At Preventing Pregnancy | 29.7 | 31.9 | 39.4 | 32.4 |
| If Used Correctly, The Pill is very Effective At Preventing Pregnancy | 24.0 | 16.4 | 23.6 | 13.01 |
| The Pill Is Very Safe For A Woman's Health | 12.1 | 7.6 | 9.9 | 8.45 |
| It Is NOT Necessary To Used A Condom With A Steady Partner | -- | -- | -- |  |
| No. Of Cases | (2112) | (230) | (2315) | (460) |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| If Used Correctly, Condoms Are Very Effective At Preventing STDs | 37.0 | 31.0 | 44.6 | 44.8 |
| If Used Correctly, Condoms Are Very Effective At Preventing Pregnancy | 31.0 | 29.3 | 45.5 | 47.6 |
| If Used Correctly, The Pill is very Effective At Preventing Pregnancy | 28.0 | 26.6 | 24.0 | 22.6 |
| The Pill Is Very Safe For A Woman's Health | 8.5 | 13.1 | 9.4 | 11.2 |
| It Is NOT Necessary To Used A Condom With A Steady Partner | 18.6 | 20.2 | 24.3 | 25.6 |
| No. Of Cases | (1584) | (342) | (1431) | (1004) |

TABLE 2.5.2
Percentage Of Young Adult Women And Men Age 15-24 Years Who Have Certain Beliefs About Specific Contraceptive Methods By Whether Respondents Have Taken A Class Or Course On Family Life Or Sex Education That Included Information On Modern Birth Control Methods By Age Group 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Beliefs About Method | WOMEN |  | MEN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Had Sex Education Course | No Sex Education Course | Had Sex Education Course | No Sex Education Course |
|  | 15-19 |  |  |  |
| If Used Correctly, Condoms Are Very Effective At Preventing STDs | 36.9 | 43.0 | 43.87 | 41.7 |
| If Used Correctly, Condoms Are <br> Very Effective At Preventing Pregnancy | 25.8 | 28.2 | 36.8 | 29.5 |
| If Used Correctly, The Pill is very Effective At Preventing Pregnancy | 19.7 | 6.1 | 18.25 | 11.8 |
| The Pill Is Very Safe For A Woman's Health | $10.8$ | 5.7 | 8.75 | 5.5 |
| No. Of Cases | (1133) | (96) | (1408) | (244) |
|  | 20-24 |  |  |  |
| If Used Correctly, Condoms Are Very Effective At Preventing STDs | 48.4 | 48.9 | 55.2 | 47.4 |
| If Used Correctly, Condoms Are Very Effective At Preventing Pregnancy | 34.3 | 35.0 | 43.3 | 35.2 |
| If Used Correctly, The Pill is very Effective At Preventing Pregnancy | 29.0 | 25.1 | 31.9 | 14.2 |
| The Pill Is Very Safe For A Woman's Health | 13.6 | 9.1 | 11.7 | 11.4 |
| No. Of Cases | (979) | (134) | (907) | (216) |

## CHAPTER 3

## SEXUAL EXPERIENCE AND CONTRACEPTIVE USE

Chapter 3 includes information on sexual experience, contraceptive use and the age of the partner at the time of first sexual intercourse as well as current sexual activity for young adults. The last section of the chapter looks at condom use especially within unions and in relation to the number of sex partners reported by respondents.

### 3.1 SEXUAL EXPERIENCE

The 2008 RHS explored the sexual experiences of the young adult women and men as it relates to the age at which they first had sexual intercourse, contraceptive use and relationship to their first sexual partner. Table 3.1.1 shows that 66 per cent of the female respondents have ever had sexual intercourse. The table also shows that 44 per cent of the women in the 15-19 year age group have ever had sex in comparison to 92 per cent of those in the 20-24 year age group. This is expected and follows the pattern that as women gets older they are more likely to become sexually active. This is most evident as only 26 per cent of the women in the 15-17 year age group reported that they were sexually experienced. A larger proportion of women within the age group 18-19 years ( $71.5 \%$ ) and an even larger proportion within the age group 20-24 years (92.2\%) reported being sexually experienced. Table 3.1.2 displays the sexual experiences of the young adult men and showed that 75 per cent have ever had sexual intercourse. A comparison with the 2002 and the 1997 RHS show that the percentages of women and men in the 15-19 year age group who have ever had sexual intercourse have been declining. For those in the $20-24$ year age group there were no significant variations in the percentages over the years.

The frequency of attendance at religious services of the respondents who ever had sexual intercourse is shown in Table 3.1.1 and Table 3.1.2 for women and men respectively. An examination of the differential between genders shows that the largest proportion ( $84.3 \%$ ) of the men who attended church on special occasions and the largest proportion of women ( $85.8 \%$ ) who never attended church were sexually experienced.

In the 2008 RHS female respondents who were sexually experienced were asked if they have ever received money or goods in exchange for sex and if they ever tried to obtain contraceptives and were refused. The findings for both questions are presented in Table 3.1.3. The percentage of women who answered "Yes" to the questions were small (4.8 and 1.4 per cent respectively) but when compared with the 2002 survey it shows that there were some marginal increases. For those who answered "Yes" to the question on receiving money or goods for sex there was 2.5 percentage points increase. On the other hand for those who indicated that they tried to obtained contraceptives and were refused the increase was even smaller ( 0.3 percentage points).

An analysis of those who received money or goods for sex reveals that for the 15-19 and 20-24 year age group four (4) per cent and five (5) per cent respectively answered "Yes"
to the question. This may seem that the percentage increases with advancing age, but when the question is examined by single years it shows that nine (9) per cent of the 15 year olds, four (4) per cent of the 17 year olds and four (4) per cent of the 19 year olds answered positively to the question.

Unlike the 2002 survey where, according to McFarlane (2002), "the numbers increased with growing ruralization" there were no clear patterns as it relates to place of residence. Only six (6) per cent of the women residing in "Other Urban" and four (4) per cent in "Rural" answered "Yes".

An examination of the table as it relates to the socio-economic status shows that seven (7) per cent of women in quintile 1 and seven (7) per cent of women in quintile 2 answered "Yes". This result might be an indication that women in the poorer quintiles were more likely to receive money or goods for sex. A similar pattern can be established in the differentials by educational levels that women with the lowest years of education were more likely to receive money or goods for sex. The table shows that more women with 09 years of education ( $12.1 \%$ ) said that they received money or goods for sex.

In general, women in the older age group (20-24 year), those in the two lowest quintiles and those who had 13 or more years of education were more likely to answer yes to the question "Have you every received any money or goods in exchange for sex?". When the 2002 RHS is compared with that of the 2008 it shows that the pattern for all the characteristics was similar except for education. When these respondents were asked if they have ever tried to obtain contraceptives and were refused, in the 2002 survey 1.3 per cent of those who had $0-9$ years of education answered yes to the question. However in the 2008 survey 2.2 per cent of women who had 13 or more years of education said yes to the question.

### 3.2 FIRST SEXUAL INTERCOURSE

To get a more in-depth understanding of the sexual activities of both young adult women and men, sexual experienced respondents were asked numerous questions pertaining to their first sexual intercourse. Tables 3.2.1 shows the relationship of sexually experienced young adult women with their first sexual partner and the age when this first sexual intercourse took place. The vast majority (87.4\%) of these women identified "Boyfriend" as their first partner. Among different age sub-groups, 90 per cent of those in the 15-19 year age group and 87 per cent of those in the $20-24$ year age group identified "Boyfriend" as their first partner.

Table 3.2.2 shows that among the men, 57 per cent answered "Girlfriend" as their first partner. In similar vein, 57 per cent of those in the 15-19 years and 58 per cent of those in the 20-24 year age group reported "Girlfriend" as their first partner. A comparison with the 2002 survey showed that for both age groups, there was an increase in the percentage of women who answered "Boyfriend" and the percentage of men who answered "Girlfriend".

Table 3.2.3 gives the mean age at first intercourse for both women and men for the 2008, 2002 and 1997 survey. The mean age at first sex for women was higher (16.1 years) than that of men ( 14.5 years) for the 2008 survey. For both women and men the mean age at first intercourse increased with age. For women it ranged from 15 for the 15-19 year age group to 16.5 for those in the $20-24$ year age group. For men it ranged from13.9 to 15.1 years for the 15-19 and 20-24 year age group respectively.

There were considerable differences between women and men in the responses when the age at first sexual intercourse is cross tabulated with the age of their first sexual partner. The findings suggest that as the age at first sex for women increased the majority of their partners with whom they had sex were one (1) to five (5) years older. The opposite was true for men. As the age at first intercourse increased a larger percentage had partners who were either younger or of the same age. Table 3.2.4 shows that 46 per cent of the women who had their first sexual intercourse before age 13 reported having their first intercourse with a partner who was more than six years older. For those whose first intercourse occurred between 13-14 years, 39 per cent had partners who were 3-5 years older. For women who had their first intercourse between aged 18 and 24 years, 30 per cent had partners who were 1-2 years older.

For men, Table 3.2.5 shows that 31 per cent of those who had their first sexual intercourse before age 13 had it with a partner 1-2 years older. Similarly, 36 per cent of those who had their first intercourse between age 13 and 14 had partners who were 1-2 years older. Approximately 73 per cent of men who had their fisrt intercourse between the ages of 18-24 reported that their partners were younger or of the same age.

Tables 3.2.6 and 3.2.7 present information on the percentage of young adult women and men who used contraception at first intercourse according to specific socio- demographic variables such as age group, age at first sexual intercourse, relationship at first intercourse, residence, education and socio-economic status. As shown in the tables, 80 per cent of the young adult women and 57 per cent of the men used contraception at first intercourse. Interestingly, a larger per cent of the women (84.2\%) than the men (58.1\%) in the 15-19 year age group used contraception at first intercourse as against 75 per cent and 56 per cent of those in the $20-24$ year age group. The overall high level use of contraception among young adults at first intercourse may be attributed to the increase in the knowledge and ease of obtaining contraceptives.

The vast majority ( $79.8 \%$ ) of the women and 65 per cent of the men who used contraception at first intercourse reported "Boyfriend" and "Girlfriend" respectively as the relationship they had at the time. In respect to area of residence, the use of contraception at first intercourse was greater for women in the urban areas (79.5\%). The reverse was true for men as the majority ( $59.3 \%$ ) were from the rural areas.

As expected, years of education was also found to be directly associated with the decision of both young adult women and men to use contraception at first intercourse. The higher the level of education in terms of the number of years of education the greater the percentage of women and men who used contraception at their first sexual intercourse.

Just over 62 per cent of the women and one (1) half of the men with nine (9) years and under of education used contraception at first sexual intercourse. For men with 13 or more years of education 67 per cent said they used contraception at their first sexual intercourse and 88 per cent for women

Differences in contraception used at first sexual intercourse can also be seen according to the levels of socio-economic status. As shown in Tables 3.2.6 and 3.2.7, as the level of socio-economic status moved from lowest to highest so did the percentage of women and men who used contraception at first intercourse.

Table 3.2.8 looks at the contraceptive use at first sexual intercourse among young women and men and compares this usage by age group. Just over 95 per cent of the women and 98 per cent of the men reported using condoms at their first sexual intercourse. For women there were no changes in the usage of condom over 2002. However for men, condom use at first sexual intercourse increased from 96 per cent in 2002 to 98 per cent in 2008. Approximately 95 per cent of the women and 97 per cent of the men in the 1519 year age groups used condoms at their first sexual intercourse. By the time young adults reached 20-24 years the usage of condoms increased to 96 per cent for females and 98 per cent for males. It is worthwhile to note that for both females and males in the 15-19 and 20-24 year age group withdrawal was the next frequently used method although very low.

An analysis of the young adults who used condoms at first intercourse (Table 3.2.9) shows that 35 per cent of the women reported that their main source of condom was from friends/parents/relatives. On the other hand 58 per cent of the males reported that their main source for condoms was from shop/supermarket. For those in the 15-19 year age group the pattern was similar with a third of the women and 57 per cent of the men who reported friends/parents/relatives and shop/supermarket respectively. Where as 37 per cent of the women in the 20-24 year age group reported friends/parents/relatives as the main source for obtaining condoms, 59 per cent of the men said shop/supermarket was their main source.

Tables 3.2.10 and 3.2.11 summarized the responses of sexually experienced women and men who were asked if a condom was used the first time they had sexual intercourse and if yes whose decision was it. Just over two thirds of the women reported that both partners took the decision to use a condom while 22 per cent cited their partner and 12 per cent said themselves. For men, 45 per cent reported that both partners took the decision and an equal amount said that they themselves took the decision. For both women and men, as the age at first intercourse increased so did the percentage who reported that both partners took the decision to use condom. The education level for both women and men shows similar trend; the greater the years of education the higher the percentage of those who said both partners made the decision to use condom. A comparison with the 2002 survey shows that there was a marginal increase ( 2 percentage points) in the percentage of women who said that both partners made the decision. There was also a four (4) percentage points increase for those who said that their partner made the decision while there was a four (4) percentage points decrease for those who
answered self. For men there were no significant changes in the responses for those who made the decision to use a condom for both surveys.

For those who did not use a contraceptive method at first intercourse, young adults were asked to give the main reason. Table 3.2.12 shows that 48 per cent of the women and 29 per cent of the men gave their main reason as "Didn't expect to have sex". There was a decrease in the percentage of both women and men for those who gave this reason when the 2002 survey is compared with the 2008 survey. Table 3.2.13 explores the reasons why young adults in the 15-19 and 20-24 year age group did not use contraception at first intercourse. Of those in the 15-19 year age group, 56 per cent of the women and 29 per cent of the men indicated that they did not expect to have sex at the time they did. For those in the 20-24 year age group 46 per cent of the women and 28 per cent of the men gave the same reason. For the women, 17 per cent in the 15-19 year age group and 22 per cent of those in the 20-24 year age group reported negligence as the reason. Among the men 22 per cent of those in both age groups indicated that they did not know of any method.

### 3.3 CURRENT SEXUAL ACTIVITY

The types of contraception used by sexually experienced women who had sexual intercourse in the last 30 days are shown in Table 3.3.1. Overall, 78 per cent of the women used a method of contraception which has increased from 68 per cent when compared to the previous survey. For those who used a method of contraception, 69 per cent of the 15-19 year age group and 50 per cent of those in the $20-24$ year age group used a condom. This pattern is similar to that of the 2002 survey although there were significant increases in the use of condoms for each of the age groups. Apart from condoms, pills were the next most popularly used method of contraception; 16 per cent and 27 per cent were used by those in the 15-19 years and $20-24$ year age group respectively. The third most popular contraception was injectable which increased for both age groups moving from 13 per cent in 2002 for the 20-24 age groups to 16 per cent in 2008 and from five per cent (5) to 12 per cent in 2008 for the $15-19$ year age group.

The percentage of young adult women who had sexual relationship in the last 30 days of the survey and who used contraception with their last sexual partner is cross classified with their relationship status and is shown in Table 3.3.2. As in the 2002 survey, the use of condom was regarded as the most popular form of contraception for all the types of relationship status. The highest usage ( $76.9 \%$ ) was reported by women who indicated that they were in a boyfriend type relationship. The pill and injectable contraceptive were the next popular form of contraception. These were used mainly by women who indicated that they were married or in common-law relationship where 33 per cent used the pill and 25 per cent used injectable.

Age plays an important role in the type of contraceptives favoured by young adults who used contraception with their last sexual partner. As shown in Table 3.3.3 an equal percentage of women ( $76 \%$ ) in the 15-19 and 20-24 year age group used a contraceptive method in the last 30 days with their last sexual partner. As evident from the table, the
use of condoms decreased inversely with age. Just over 69 per cent of the women in the 15-19 year age group used this method of contraception. Of this age group the largest users ( $82 \%$ ) came from those who were in a relationship status classified as "Boyfriend With Sex". As the age increased to the 20-24 year age group the per cent of condom users declined to 50 per cent with the highest users ( $71 \%$ ) coming from those with no steady partner. The use of pill and injectable contraceptive is also influenced by the age groups. Approximately 16 per cent and 12 per cent of the younger respondents in the 1519 year age group used the pill and injectable contraceptive respectively. The largest users of the pill ( $23 \%$ ) and injectable contraceptive ( $27 \%$ ) in this age group were married or in common-law relationships. For the 20-24 year age group the usage of the pill and injectable contraceptive increased to 27 and 16 per cent respectively. Similarly, the largest user of these contraceptives (pill, $32 \%$ and injectable contraceptive $22 \%$ ) were married or in common-law relationship. The use of the withdrawal method of contraception continues to be low and favoured mostly by those in the older age group. For other methods of contraception such as IUD, Tubal Ligation, and natural methods, the data show that regardless of age the usage was minimal.

Information on the sexually active young adult women who were currently using contraception was cross tabulated by their current relationship status and presented in Table 3.3.4. The table shows that the percentage of those who were currently using a contraceptive method increased from 63 per cent in 2002 to 76 per cent in 2008. The most commonly used method was the condom (53\%) followed by the pill $(24 \%)$ then injectable ( $18 \%$ ). Withdrawal was the fourth most commonly used method. A focus on the individual methods show that of those who used condoms the largest usage (77\%) was among women whose relationship status was classified as Boyfriend With Sex. Among married women, the pill was the most commonly used method of contraception ( $37 \%$ ) and experienced the highest increase moving from 22 per cent in 2002. The use of injectable contraceptive was highest among adult women who were in common-law relationship, but the largest increase in usage ( 9 percentage points) was found among those in visiting partner relationship. Interestingly the withdrawal method of contraception which was prevalent mostly among married women increased from four (4) per cent in 2002 to 19 per cent in 2008.

There was a marginal increase in the percentage of sexually experienced young adult men who used contraception with their last sexual partner. As is presented in Table 3.3.5 the use of contraception for this group increased from 83 per cent in 2002 to 85 per cent in 2008. Similar to the findings for women, the condom ( $89 \%$ ) was the most prevalent method followed by the pill (6\%). Unlike the women however, withdrawal (3\%) occupied the third position followed by injectable (2\%). For all the relationships mentioned, the condom was the most commonly used method of contraceptive for those who reported that they had no steady partner. The pill (15\%) and injectable (14\%) were the most prevalent method among those who were married or in common-law relationship.

Young adult men who had sexual relations in the last 30 days were asked if a contraceptive method was used the last time they had sexual intercourse and what was
that method used. An analysis of their responses is presented in Table 3.3.6. As is evident in the table, the vast majority ( $89 \%$ ) of the men in the 15-19 year age group and those in the 20-24 year age group ( $83 \%$ ) were currently using some method of contraception. For both age groups, the usage of condom as a method of contraception was highest among those in the 15-19 year age group ( $94 \%$ ). For this age group the largest per cent $(97 \%)$ reported that they had no steady partner. The pill was the second most used contraceptive (4\%) with the highest usage reported by women who are married or in a common-law union. For those in the 20-24 year age group, 86 per cent used the condom with the highest usage $(91 \%)$ reported by those who gave their relationship status as girlfriend. The pill (7\%), injectable (3\%) and withdrawal (3\%) were the other most commonly used contraception methods used by this age group.

### 3.4 CONDOM USE

The monitoring of condom use among young people is becoming more and more critical not only for the reduction of unintended pregnancies but also for the prevention of STDs including HIV AIDS. Table 3.4.1 and 3.4.2 looks at the percentage of sexually experienced young adult women and men respectively who have ever used condoms, who used condoms at their last sexual intercourse, who used condoms at every intercourse and who used condoms at every intercourse with a non-steady partner.

The data show that there was an increase in the percentage of women and men who ever used condoms when compared with the 2002 survey. Ever use of condom increase with age for both women and men. For women ever use increased from 91 per cent for those who are in the 15-19 year age group to 95 per cent for those in the 20-24 year age group. For men the increase was similar moving from 95 to 98 per cent for the 15-19 and 20-24 year age group respectively. When compared with the 2002 survey there was a significant increase ( 32 percentage points) in the percentage of males who ever used condoms and who had two or more partners in the past three months. Increases for both women and men were also observed for all categories of union status that were specified. For women who were married or in common-law relationship ever use of condoms increased from 87 per cent in 2002 to 94 per cent in the 2008 survey.

There were no significant differences between the two surveys in the percentage of women and men who reported that they used condoms at last intercourse. For the 2002 and 2008 survey, 39 per cent and 41 per cent of the women and 68 and 69 per cent of the men respectively reported that they used condoms at their last sexual intercourse. The proportion of females within the age group 15-19 years who ever used condoms at last intercourse remained the same over the two survey period (49\%) but increased marginally for those in the 20-24 year age group (from $33.0 \%$ to $37 \%$ ). For the young men however, there was a complete reversal in the pattern that existed in the previous survey. For those in the 15-19 year age group who used condoms at their last sexual intercourse there was an increase from 61 per cent in 2002 to 71 per cent in 2008 while for those in the 20-24 year age group there was a decline from 78 per cent to 66 per cent respectively.

There was a marginal decline (1.4 percentage points) in the percentage of young adult women and an increase ( 8.7 percentage points) in the percentage of men who reported that they used condoms at every intercourse with a non-steady partner when the 2008 survey is compared with that of the 2002. In terms of the specified age groups the usage was lowest among both women and men in the 15-19 year age group but increased as they reached the 20-24 year age group. An analysis by the number of partners the respondents had in the past three months show that the highest usage at every intercourse for women (53.3\%) was among those with one non-steady partner. For men the highest usage ( $69.2 \%$ ) was among those with two or more partners. Just over one half ( $54.6 \%$ ) of the women who used a condom at every intercourse with a non-steady partner reported their relationship status as boyfriend. For men in the same category, 73 per cent were married or in common-law relationship.

Sexually active young adult women and men were asked to state the number of partners with whom they had sexual intercourse in the last three months. An analysis by relationship status is presented in Table 3.4.3 and shows that 95 per cent of the women had one partner followed by five per cent who had two partners. Most women regardless of union status reported having one sex partner ( $9.5 \%$ ). Approximately 96 per cent of those who were married or in common-law union had one sex partner. For those who had two partners, the highest proportion ( $6.7 \%$ ) came from those who were in visiting partner relationship. For men the pattern was the same where the majority ( $57.3 \%$ ) had one sex partner followed by 27 per cent who had two (2). Just over three (3) quarters of the men who were married or in common-law relationship reported one sex partner. For those who reported having two sex partners the majority (29\%) were in the union classified as Girlfriend.

A comparison with the 2002 RHS shows that there was little variation in the percentage of women and an increase in the percentage of men who had one sex partner. While in 2002, 97 per cent of the females and 56 per cent of the males reported having one sex partner in 2008 this was reduced to 95 per cent and 57 per cent respectively. There was also a reduction in the percentage of females and an increase in the proportion of males with two sex partners.

TABLE 3.1.1
Percent Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Ever Had Sexual Relations By Age Group And Church Attendance Compared With 2002 And 1997 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | 2008 |  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent | N | Per cent | N | Percent | N |
| Total | 66.4 | 2343 | 69.0 | 1926 | 49.7 | 1991 |
| 15-19 | 43.6 | 1229 | 49.2 | 989 | 51.4 | 960 |
| 15-17 | 26.1 | 758 | 32.1 | 568 | 37.7 | 567 |
| 18-19 | 71.5 | 471 | 72.5 | 421 | 71.5 | 393 |
| 20-24 | 92.2 | 1114 | 92.9 | 937 | 89.9 | 1031 |

Church Attendance*

| At Least Once Per Week | 46.1 | 771 | 48.6 | 660 | 48.8 | 508 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Least Once Per Month | 67.8 | 401 | 76.2 | 352 | 69.1 | 390 |
| Less Than Once Per <br> Month | 75.5 | 355 | 80.5 | 243 | 75.3 | 292 |
| Special Occasions | 83.0 | 478 | 81.9 | 472 | 86.2 | 478 |
| Never | 85.8 | 331 | 81.4 | 181 | 83.0 | 221 |
| D |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Data missing for 7 women in 2008, 18 in 2002 and 102 in 1997

TABLE 3.1.2
Percent Of Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Ever Had Sexual Relations By Age Group And Church Attendance Compared With 2002 And 1997 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | 2008 |  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent | N | Per cent | N | Per cent | N |
| Total | 74.9 | 2775 | 82.1 | 2437 | 84.9 | 2279 |
| 15-19 | 61.5 | 1652 | 73.0 | 1432 | 73.9 | 1027 |
| 20-24 | 94.4 | 1123 | 94.2 | 1005 | 94.6 | 1072 |
| 15-17 | 47.4 | 1037 | 62.0 | 901 | 64.4 | 779 |
| 18-19 | 85.5 | 615 | 90.1 | 531 | 90.7 | 408 |
| 20-22 | 93.1 | 635 | 93.6 | 653 | - | - |
| 23-24 | 96.2 | 488 | 95.4 | 352 | - | - |
| Church Attendance* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Once Per Week | 59.9 | 558 | 67.3 | 547 | 69.5 | 419 |
| At Least Once Per Month | 68.9 | 367 | 82.1 | 410 | 80.5 | 335 |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 72.8 | 399 | 86.6 | 329 | 83.6 | 319 |
| Special Occasions | 84.3 | 870 | 89.1 | 618 | 92.3 | 586 |
| Never | 80.2 | 566 | 86.4 | 503 | 91.5 | 610 |

* Data missing for 15 men in 2008 and 30 men in 2002
- Not calculated in 2002

TABLE 3.1.3
Percentage Of Sexually Experienced Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Received Money Or Goods In Exchange For Sex And Who Tried

To Obtain Contraceptives And Was Refused By Selected Characteristics 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Per cent Receiving <br> Money or Goods in Exchange for Sex |  | Per cent Who Tried To Obtain Contraceptives and were Refused |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | \% | N |
| Total | 4.8 | 1614 | 1.4 | 2343 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan |  |  |  |  |
| Area | 3.9 | 233 | 0.6 | 358 |
| Other Urban | 6.2 | 433 | 1.4 | 601 |
| Rural | 4.3 | 948 | 1.7 | 1384 |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 3.8 | 557 | 0.4 | 1229 |
| 15 | 8.5 | 47 | 0.2 | 294 |
| 16 | 3.3 | 60 | 0.7 | 242 |
| 17 | 3.9 | 102 | 0.4 | 222 |
| 18 | 2.5 | 163 | 0.0 | 241 |
| 19 | 3.8 | 185 | 1.0 | 230 |
| 20-24 | 5.3 | 1057 | 2.4 | 1114 |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 6.9 | 407 | 2.5 | 538 |
| Second | 6.5 | 387 | 2.0 | 514 |
| Middle | 3.4 | 325 | 0.8 | 475 |
| Fourth | 3.4 | 295 | 1.6 | 473 |
| Highest | 1.5 | 200 | 0.2 | 343 |
| Years of Education |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 12.1 | 240 | 1.8 | 459 |
| 10-12 | 3.7 | 1208 | 1.1 | 1675 |
| 13 or More | 1.8 | 166 | 2.2 | 209 |

TABLE 3.2.1
Percentage Distribution Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Are Sexually Experienced By Relationship To First Sexual Partner
By Age At First Intercourse Compared With 2002 RHS
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Relationship To First Partner |  |  |  |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spouse/ <br> Common- <br> law <br> Partner | Boy- Friend | Friend | Casual Acquaintance | Other |  |  |
|  | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women 15-24 Years* | 2.5 | 87.4 | 5.9 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 1614 |
| Women Aged 15-19 Years | 0.3 | 89.5 | 4.7 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 557 |
| Women Aged 20-24 Years | 3.6 | 87.0 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 1057 |
| Age At First Intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 14 | 0.0 | 66.8 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 20.6 | 100.0 | 136 |
| 14 | 1.7 | 82.2 | 11.1 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 195 |
| 15 | 0.5 | 90.3 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 306 |
| 16 | 2.9 | 90.4 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 362 |
| 17 | 2.6 | 91.9 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 264 |
| 18-19 | 3.2 | 91.0 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 263 |
| 20-24 | 12.8 | 83.4 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 53 |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women 15-24 Years | 1.7 | 81.5 | 13.3 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 1301 |
| Women Aged 15-19 Years | 1.0 | 83.0 | 12.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 479 |
| Women Aged 20-24 Years | 2.3 | 80.3 | 13.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 822 |
| Age At First Intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 14 | 0.8 | 65.4 | 26.1 | 1.9 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 140 |
| 14 | 0.7 | 75.1 | 17.3 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 174 |
| 15 | 2.0 | 78.3 | 16.7 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 253 |
| 16 | 1.0 | 87.5 | 9.4 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 298 |
| 17 | 0.8 | 89.3 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 220 |
| 18-19 | 3.0 | 85.9 | 10.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 175 |
| 20-24 | 10.0 | 82.2 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 41 |

* Excludes 35 women who refused or did not state their age at first intercourse

TABLE 3.2.2
Percentage Distribution Of Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Are Sexually Experienced By Relationship To First Sexual Partner By Age At First Intercourse Compared With 2002 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Relationship To First Partner |  |  |  |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spouse | Girl <br> Friend | Friend | Casual Acquaintance | Other |  |  |
|  | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men 15-24 Years* | 1.0 | 57.2 | 32.1 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 2061 |
| Men Aged 1519 Years | 0.4 | 56.7 | 35.1 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 998 |
| Men Aged 20-24 Years | 1.5 | 57.8 | 29.4 | 4.0 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 1063 |
| Age At First Intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 14 | 0.3 | 44.3 | 45.8 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 564 |
| 14 | 0.0 | 68.2 | 27.2 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 367 |
| 15 | 2.5 | 62.0 | 26.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 387 |
| 16 | 1.2 | 63.5 | 26.4 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 318 |
| 17 | 0.3 | 63.6 | 26.7 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 181 |
| 18-19 | 2.2 | 57.7 | 21.8 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 100.0 | 136 |
| 20-24 | 0.0 | 64.7 | 20.6 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 26 |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men 15-24 Years | 0.2 | 47.8 | 42.7 | 7.9 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1319 |
| Men Aged 1519 Years | 0.2 | 45.2 | 45.7 | 7.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 726 |
| Men Aged 20-24 Years | 0.1 | 50.7 | 39.2 | 8.4 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 593 |
| Age At First Intercourse* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 14 | 0.0 | 37.9 | 53.5 | 6.7 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 494 |
| 14 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 46.7 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 217 |
| 15 | 0.0 | 49.5 | 39.6 | 7.8 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 196 |
| 16 | 0.0 | 66.9 | 39.1 | 9.5 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 166 |
| 17 | 0.6 | 63.1 | 25.6 | 9.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 110 |
| 18-19 | 0.0 | 75.9 | 22.2 | 9.4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 100 |
| 20-24 | 0.2 | 47.8 | 42.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 36 |

* Excludes 72 men who refused or did not state their age at first sexual intercourse

TABLE 3.2.3
Mean Age At First Intercourse By Selected Characteristics Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Are Sexually

Experienced Compared With 2002 And 1997 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2002 | 1997 | 2008 | 2002 | 1997 |
| Total | 16.1 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 14.5 | 13.5 | 13.4 |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 15.3 | 15.2 | - | 13.9 | 13.9 | - |
| 20-24 | 16.5 | 16.2 | - | 15.1 | 15.2 | - |
| 15-17 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 14.7 | 13.3 | 12.5 | 12.4 |
| 18-19 | 15.8 | 15.6 | 15.5 | 14.6 | 13.5 | 13.3 |
| 20-22 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 15.1 | 14.0 | 14.0 |
| 23-24 | 16.6 | 16.3 | 16.6 | 15.2 | 14.7 | 14.0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 16.1 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 14.4 | 13.6 | 13.2 |
| Rural | 16.1 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 14.7 | 13.5 | 13.6 |
| Number Of Cases | 2343 | 1301 | 1352 | 2775 | 1421 | 1633 |

[^16]TABLE 3.2.4
Age Of First Partner By Age At First Sexual Intercourse
Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Are
Sexually Experienced Compared With 2002 RHS
(Percentage Distribution)
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Partner's Age | Age at First Intercourse |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 13 | 13-14 | 15-17 | 18-24 |
|  | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Younger | 4.7 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Same Age | 12.1 | 4.7 | 11.0 | 16.5 |
| 1-2 Years Older | 3.7 | 13.6 | 27.5 | 30.1 |
| 3-5 Years Older | 21.0 | 39.4 | 29.9 | 27.4 |
| 6+ Years Older | 46.0 | 29.2 | 23.2 | 21.6 |
| Not Known | 12.6 | 12.2 | 6.8 | 3.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 45 | 263 | 921 | 312 |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| Younger | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 7.3 |
| Same Age | 0.0 | 4.3 | 6.8 | 13.2 |
| 1-2 Years Older | 13.9 | 14.3 | 19.4 | 25.1 |
| 3-5 Years Older | 56.9 | 35.6 | 32.4 | 26.2 |
| 6+ Years Older | 22.2 | 36.3 | 29.8 | 23.3 |
| Not Known | 6.9 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 10.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 43 | 270 | 750 | 215 |

TABLE 3.2.5
Age Of First Partner By Age At First Sexual Intercourse
Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Are
Sexually Experienced Compared With 2002 RHS
(Percentage Distribution)
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Partner's Age | Age At First Intercourse |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 13 | 13-14 | 15-17 | 18-24 |
|  | 2008 |  |  |  |
| Younger | 7.1 | 13.7 | 31.2 | 53.5 |
| Same Age | 24.2 | 33.2 | 32.4 | 19.6 |
| 1-2 Years Older | 31.2 | 36.0 | 23.9 | 13.0 |
| 3-5 Years Older | 17.0 | 7.0 | 3.9 | 2.9 |
| 6+ Years Older | 8.4 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.5 |
| Not Known | 12.1 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 8.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 351 | 567 | 872 | 157 |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| Younger | 9.3 | 15.0 | 40.0 | 65.0 |
| Same Age | 22.1 | 35.4 | 29.0 | 11.2 |
| 1-2 Years Older | 29.5 | 34.2 | 19.6 | 8.4 |
| 3-5 Years Older | 20.2 | 9.8 | 4.2 | 8.9 |
| 6+ Years Older | 13.5 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 3.7 |
| Not Known | 5.4 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 2.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 396 | 416 | 472 | 136 |

TABLE 3.2.6
Percent Of Sexually Experienced Young Adult Women
Aged 15-24 Years Who Used Contraception At First
Intercourse By Selected Characteristics And Age At
First Intercourse Compared With 2002 And 1997 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Partner's Age | 2008 |  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N |
| Total | 77.9 | 1586 | 67.4 | 1396 | 55.6 | 1447 |
| 15-19 Years | 84.2 | 545 | 76.0 | 507 | 59.6 | 510 |
| 20-24 Years | 74.5 | 1041 | 61.8 | 889 | 53.1 | 937 |
| Age At First Intercourse* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less Than 14 | 50.4 | 121 | 46.7 | 28 | 41.1 | 171 |
| 14 | 66.8 | 187 | 71.7 | 93 | 48.1 | 186 |
| 15-17 | 81.2 | 921 | 70.7 | 643 | 57.6 | 787 |
| 18-24 | 88.1 | 312 | 72.9 | 517 | 67.4 | 226 |
| Unknown | 57.8 | 45 | 51.9 | 54 | 38.0 | 98 |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At First Intercourse** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married/Common-Law | 75.9 | 29 | 57.1 | 36 | 70.4 | 27 |
| Boyfriend | 79.8 | 1384 | 71.3 | 1096 | 59.6 | 1167 |
| Friend | 66.1 | 115 | 57.1 | 202 | 37.3 | 202 |
| Casual Acquaintance | 61.5 | 26 | *** | 21 | 20.7 | 39 |
| Other | 53.1 | 32 | *** | 22 | *** | 10 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 79.5 | 654 | 67.8 | 587 | 57.3 | 572 |
| Rural | 76.7 | 932 | 66.8 | 809 | 54.1 | 875 |
| Educational Attainment**** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 62.4 | 229 | 57.1 | 75 | 45.9 | 402 |
| 10-12 Years | 79.4 | 1193 | 65.1 | 481 | 57.4 | 889 |
| 13 Or More Years | 88.4 | 164 | 69.1 | 824 | 64.9 | 146 |
| Socio-economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 71.2 | 399 | 60.7 | 385 | 45.0 | 534 |
| Second | 74.6 | 378 | .... | .... | .... | .... |
| Middle | 77.0 | 322 | 67.6 | 658 | 53.8 | 520 |
| Fourth | 85.3 | 292 | .... | .... | .... | $\ldots$ |
| Highest | 88.2 | 195 | 72.3 | 353 | 66.0 | 393 |


| $*$ | Data missing for 61 women who did not give their age at first intercourse in 2002 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $* *$ | Data missing for 19 women in 2002 |
| $* * *$ | Fewer than 25 cases |
| $* * * *$ | Data missing for 16 women in 2002 |
| $\ldots .$. | Coded differently in 1997 and 2002 |

TABLE 3.2.7
Percentage Of Sexually Experienced Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Used Contraception At First Intercourse By Age At First Intercourse And Other Selected Characteristics

Compared With 2002 And 1997 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | 2008 |  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N |
| Total | 57.1 | 2011 | 42.9 | 1982 | 31.0 | 1917 |
| 15-19 Years* | 58.1 | 985 | 44.9 | 846 | - | - |
| 20-24 Years | 56.1 | 1026 | 40.9 | 1134 | - | - |
| Age At First Intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less Than 14 | 28.5 | 550 | 23.5 | 595 | 17.0 | 739 |
| 14 | 56.0 | 361 | 48.7 | 217 | 38.3 | 265 |
| 15-17 | 72.7 | 858 | 61.5 | 472 | 50.4 | 532 |
| 18-24 | 82.9 | 152 | 76.2 | 136 | 53.0 | 106 |
| Unknown | 43.3 | 90 | 36.1 | 562 | 29.3 | 272 |

Relationship Status
At First Intercourse

| Married/Common-Law | $* *$ | 3 | $* *$ | 2 | $* *$ | 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Girlfriend | 65.4 | 1226 | 53.3 | 859 | 44.7 | 691 |
| Friend | 45.0 | 651 | 32.8 | 914 | 22.4 | 948 |
| Casual Acquaintance | 46.6 | 73 | 46.5 | 171 | 25.6 | 247 |
| Other | 31.0 | 58 | 27.9 | 36 | 6.5 | 25 |

Area Of Residence

| Urban | 54.2 | 872 | 44.9 | 880 | 31.7 | 699 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural | 59.3 | 1139 | 40.7 | 1102 | 36.4 | 1218 |

Years Of Schooling***

| $0-9$ Years | 50.8 | 360 | 41.5 | 158 | 28.6 | 601 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10-12$ Years | 57.8 | 1530 | 40.7 | 821 | 32.4 | 1096 |
| 13 Or More Years | 67.3 | 113 | 44.8 | 987 | 30.1 | 211 |

Socio-economic Status*

| Low | 55.2 | 442 | 38.4 | 377 | 28.5 | 639 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second | 60.0 | 425 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Middle | 53.5 | 385 | 42.1 | 847 | 31.1 | 672 |
| Fourth | 53.8 | 405 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Highest | 63.6 | 354 | 45.8 | 758 | 33.2 | 606 |

- Data not Available
-- Coded differently in 1997 and 2002
* Data missing for 2 men in 2002
** Less than 25 cases
*** Data missing for 16 men in 2002

TABLE 3.2.8
Contraceptive Method Used At First Sexual Intercourse
Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Used A Method
At First Intercourse Compared With 2002 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | 2008 |  | 2002 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WOMEN | MEN | WOMEN | MEN |
| Condom | 95.1 | 97.8 | 95.4 | 96.3 |
| Withdrawal | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| Pill | 1.7 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Other | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 1235 | 1181 | 895 | 844 |
|  | WOMEN | - 2008 | MEN | 2008 |
|  | 15-19 Years | 20-24 Years | 15-19 Years | 20-24 Years |
| Condom | 94.6 | 95.5 | 97.4 | 98.2 |
| Withdrawal | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| Pill | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Other | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 459 | 776 | 581 | 600 |

TABLE 3.2.9
Percentage Distribution Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Used Condom At First Intercourse By Source Of Condom Used Compared With 2002 And 1997 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Source Of Condoms | WOMEN |  |  | MEN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2002 | 1997 | 2008 | 2002 | 1997 |
| Shop/Supermarket | 27.5 | 42.6 | 15.2 | 58.2 | 59.8 | 34.6 |
| Pharmacy | 22.7 | 25.9 | 41.6 | 17.6 | 10.0 | 22.1 |
| Government Clinic/Hospital | 1.7 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 11.3 | 5.3 | 11.0 |
| Friends/Parents/Relatives | 35.1 | - | 0.0 | 6.7 | - | 26.4 |
| Other | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 2.3 |
| Don't Know | 11.7 | 29.5 | 35.8 | 1.8 | 21.0 | 3.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. of Cases | 1175 | 852 | 670 | 924 | 809 | 586 |
|  | WOMEN 2008 |  |  | MEN 2008 |  |  |
|  | 15-19 Years | 20-24 Years | TOTAL | 15-19 Years | 20-24 Years | TOTAL |
| Shop/Supermarket | 30.4 | 25.8 | 27.5 | 57.5 | 58.8 | 58.2 |
| Pharmacy | 21.4 | 23.5 | 22.7 | 18.5 | 16.9 | 17.6 |
| Government Clinic/Hospital | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 12.2 | 10.4 | 11.3 |
| Friends/Parents/Relatives | 32.7 | 36.6 | 35.1 | 5.2 | 8.0 | 6.7 |
| Other | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 4.3 |
| Don't Know | 13.1 | 10.8 | 11.7 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. of Cases | 434 | 741 | 1175 | 426 | 498 | 924 |

TABLE 3.2.10
Partner Who Made Decision To Use Condom At First Intercourse
By Selected Characteristics Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Used Condoms Compared With 2002 RHS (Per cent Distribution) 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Decision Maker |  |  |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both | Self | Partner | Doesn't Remember |  |  |
|  | 2 O |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 67.1 | 11.7 | 22.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1175 |
| 15-19 Years | 67.3 | 9.7 | 19.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 434 |
| 20-24 Years | 67.1 | 12.8 | 20.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 741 |
| Age At First Intercourse* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 15 Years | 57.3 | 12.3 | 29.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 171 |
| 15-17 Years | 66.9 | 10.9 | 21.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 724 |
| 18-24 Years | 74.6 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 260 |
| Years Of Education** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 61.2 | 14.9 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 134 |
| 10-12 Years | 67.2 | 11.5 | 20.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 908 |
| 13+ Years | 72.9 | 9.8 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 133 |
| Socio-economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 62.6 | 12.1 | 24.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 273 |
| Second | 67.6 | 9.6 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 272 |
| Middle | 68.5 | 12.1 | 17.7 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 232 |
| Fourth | 64.4 | 12.3 | 22.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 236 |
| Highest | 75.9 | 13.0 | 10.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 162 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 O |  |  |
| Total | 64.7 | 16.0 | 17.8 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 895 |
| 15-19 Years | 62.6 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 377 |
| 20-24 Years | 66.3 | 14.8 | 18.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 518 |
| Age At First Intercourse* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 15 Years | 59.8 | 11.0 | 26.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 174 |
| 15-17 Years | 65.2 | 16.7 | 16.1 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 522 |
| 18-24 Years | 64.5 | 21.6 | 13.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 156 |
| Years Of Education** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 58.9 | 12.5 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 39 |
| 10-12 Years | 57.5 | 17.2 | 24.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 295 |
| 13+ Years | 68.6 | 15.3 | 14.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 550 |
| Socio-economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 57.2 | 17.8 | 23.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 221 |
| Second |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Middle | 66.3 | 16.3 | 16.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 425 |
| Fourth |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| Highest | 67.1 | 14.2 | 16.5 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 249 |

[^17]- Coded differently in 2002

TABLE 3.2.11
Partner Who Made Decision To Use Condom At First Intercourse By Selected Characteristics Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Used
Condoms Compared With 2002 RHS (Per cent Distribution) 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Decision Maker |  |  |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both | Self | Partner | Doesn't <br> Remember |  |  |
| $2 \begin{array}{llll}2 & 0 & 0 & 8\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 45.0 | 45.3 | 7.7 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 1155 |
| 15-19 Years | 44.9 | 46.5 | 7.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 566 |
| 20-24 Years | 45.2 | 44.1 | 8.1 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 589 |
| Age At First Intercourse * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 15 Years | 39.7 | 50.0 | 9.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 358 |
| 15-17 Years | 46.4 | 43.4 | 7.6 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 631 |
| 18-24 Years | 53.0 | 38.6 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 132 |
| Years Of Education + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 39.6 | 47.6 | 10.2 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 187 |
| 10-12 Years | 45.7 | 45.2 | 7.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 884 |
| 13+ Years | 47.5 | 42.5 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 80 |
| Unknown | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4 |
| Socio-economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 40.9 | 49.0 | 8.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 247 |
| Second | 42.8 | 45.9 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 257 |
| Middle | 45.6 | 43.1 | 9.8 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 204 |
| Fourth | 50.7 | 41.6 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 221 |
| Highest | 46.0 | 46.0 | 6.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 226 |
| 20002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 45.4 | 46.1 | 7.4 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 807 |
| 15-19 Years | 45.6 | 46.9 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 456 |
| 20-24 Years | 45.3 | 45.1 | 7.9 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 351 |
| Age At First Intercourse* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 15 Years | 37.2 | 61.3 | 8.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 231 |
| 15-17 Years | 48.8 | 42.7 | 7.4 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 265 |
| 18-24 Years | 50.3 | 39.1 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 97 |
| Years Of Education+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 26.7 | 61.3 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 66 |
| 10-12 Years | 42.0 | 48.6 | 8.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 327 |
| 13+ Years | 50.3 | 42.6 | 6.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 407 |
| Socio-economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 42.6 | 40.2 | 15.4 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 134 |
| Second | - | . | - | - | - | - |
| Middle | 45.3 | 47.6 | 6.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 351 |
| Fourth |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Highest | 46.6 | 46.6 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 322 |

[^18]TABLE 3.2.12
Reasons For Not Using Contraception At First Intercourse - Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Did Not Use Contraception At First Intercourse Compared With 2002 And 1997 RHS (Per cent Distribution) 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Reason For Not Using Contraception | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ |
| Couldn't Get Method At That Time | 47.8 | 52.5 | 46.6 | 28.7 | 37.1 | 30.7 |
| Didn't Know Of Any Methods | 3.7 | 12.3 | 6.3 | 11.0 | 12.9 | 10.6 |
| Didn't Know Where To Get Method | 1.3 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 2.0 |
| Partner Was Against | 6.7 | 13.2 | 20.9 | 21.1 | 30.2 | 32.7 |
| Too Embarrassed | 2.3 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 7.0 |
| Desired Pregnancy | 0.0 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 4.0 |
| Sex not satisfying when method is used | 0.0 | $* *$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $* *$ | 0.3 |
| Negligence | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Other | 19.8 | $* *$ | $* *$ | 10.9 | $* *$ | $* *$ |
| Don't Know | 8.7 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 17.1 | 6.5 | 5.2 |
| No Response | 9.5 | 6.0 | 10.1 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 5.1 |
| Total | 0.0 | 2.3 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.9 |
| No. Of Cases | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

** Not Available

TABLE 3.2.13
Reasons For Not Using Contraception At First Intercourse - Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Did Not Use Contraception At First Intercourse By Age Group (Per cent Distribution) 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Reason For Not Using |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contraception | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ | Total | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ |
| Didn't Expect To Have Sex | 48.6 | 55.7 | 45.6 | 28.3 | 28.9 | 27.6 |
| Couldn't Get Method At That Time | 3.9 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 11.1 | 12.3 | 10.0 |
| Didn't Know Of Any Methods | 7.0 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.7 |
| Didn't Know Where To Get Method | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 4.7 |
| Desired Pregnancy | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Partner Was Against | 2.4 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Negligence | 20.6 | 17.0 | 22.1 | 11.3 | 9.1 | 13.5 |
| Other | 8.3 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 17.4 | 16.7 | 18.2 |
| Don't Know | 7.8 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| No. Of Cases | $\mathbf{3 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 1}$ |

TABLE 3.3.1
The Percentage Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Had Sexual Relations In The Last 30 Days By Who Used Contraception With Their Last

Sexual Partner By Age Group And Method Used
Compared With 2002 And 1997 RHS
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | 2008 |  |  | 2002 |  |  | 1997 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 |
| $\frac{\text { Used Any }}{\text { Method }}$ | 77.6 | 76.3 | 76.3 | 68.2 | 69.2 | 68.1 | 70.9 | 65.0 | 74.0 |
| Condom | 56.2 | 69.3 | 49.5 | 39.4 | 49.5 | 33.0 | 27.3 | 29.6 | 26.2 |
| Pill | 23.2 | 16.0 | 26.8 | 14.7 | 11.3 | 16.9 | 26.6 | 22.3 | 28.8 |
| Injectable | 14.5 | 11.7 | 15.9 | 10.0 | 5.4 | 12.9 | 10.9 | 6.3 | 13.3 |
| Withdrawal | 4.1 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.8 |
| IUD | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 0.5 |
| Tubal Ligation | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Natural methods | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Don't <br> Know/Other | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\frac{\text { Used No }}{\text { Method }}$ | 21.5 | 21.3 | 21.6 | 31.5 | 30.8 | 31.9 | 29.1 | 35.0 | 26.0 |
| Total <br> No. Of Cases | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 1518 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 501 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 1017 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 882 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 277 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 605 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 556 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 177 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ 379 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

TABLE 3.3.2
The Percentage Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Had Sexual Relations In The Last 30 Days And Who Used Contraception With Their Last Sexual Partner By Current Relationship Status And Method Used Compared With 2002
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Married/ <br> Commonlaw Union | Visiting <br> Partner | Boyfriend | No Steady Partner |
|  | 20008 |  |  |  |  |
| Currently Using Any Method | 76.3 | 0.7 | 78.9 | 91.5 | 81.4 |
| Condom | 52.7 | 33.7 | 53.0 | 76.9 | 70.5 |
| Pill | 23.7 | 32.5 | 22.0 | 15.6 | 19.0 |
| Injectable | 17.5 | 25.2 | 19.1 | 4.0 | 7.6 |
| Withdrawal | 3.9 | 5.8 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| IUD | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| Tubal Ligation | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Natural methods | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| Not Using | 23.7 | 0.3 | 21.1 | 8.5 | 18.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 1518 | 502 | 698 | 189 | 129 |
|  |  | 02 |  |  |  |
| Currently Using Any Method | 68.2 | 64.2 | 69.4 | .... | 69.2 |
| Condom | 39.4 | 20.9 | 40.8 | $\ldots$ | 51.1 |
| Pill | 14.7 | 20.1 | 16.9 | $\ldots$ | 5.5 |
| Injectable | 10.0 | 18.3 | 8.8 | $\ldots$ | 5.9 |
| Withdrawal | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.1 | $\cdots$ | 4.4 |
| IUD | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | $\ldots$ | 0.5 |
| Tubal Ligation | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 |
| Natural methods | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | 0.0 |
| Spermicides | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | 0.7 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | .... | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | . | 0.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | $\ldots$ | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | 0.5 |
| Not Using | 31.8 | 35.8 | 30.6 | .... | 30.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | .... | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 1395 | 408 | 683 | $\ldots$ | 304 |

.... Was not asked in 2002

TABLE 3.3.3
The Percentage Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Had Sexual Relations In The Last 30 Days And Who Used Contraception With Their Last Sexual Partner By Current Relationship Status And Method Used By Age Group 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY


TABLE 3.3.4
The Percentage Of Sexually Active Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years
Who Are Currently Using Contraception By Current Relationship
Status And Method Compared With 2002 RHS (Per cent Distribution) 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method |  | Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Married | Common- <br> law <br> Union | Visiting <br> Partner | Boyfriend <br> With Sex | Boyfriend <br> Without <br> Sex | No <br> Steady <br> Partner |


| 200008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Currently Using Any |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Method | 76.3 | 57.4 | 66.4 | 78.9 | 91.5 | 84.6 | 81.0 |
| Condom | 52.7 | 33.3 | 33.8 | 53.0 | 76.9 | 81.8 | 69.1 |
| Pill | 23.7 | 37.0 | 32.1 | 22.0 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 21.3 |
| Injectable | 17.5 | 11.1 | 26.5 | 19.1 | 4.0 | 18.2 | 6.4 |
| Withdrawal | 3.9 | 18.5 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| IUD | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Tubal Ligation | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Natural methods | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Not Using | 23.7 | 42.6 | 33.6 | 21.1 | 8.5 | 15.4 | 19.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 1518 | 47 | 455 | 698 | 189 | 13 | 116 |
| 20000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently Using Any |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Method | 63.0 | 51.4 | 72.5 | 73.2 | .. | $\ldots$ | 32.8 |
| Condom | 33.4 | 10.8 | 25.9 | 42.2 | $\ldots$ | ... | 20.9 |
| Pill | 15.4 | 21.6 | 20.6 | 18.3 | ... | $\ldots$ | 3.8 |
| Injectable | 11.3 | 12.2 | 21.3 | 10.1 | ... | ... | 6.3 |
| Withdrawal | 1.8 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 1.9 | $\ldots$ | ... | 0.9 |
| IUD | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.4 |
| Tubal Ligation | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | ... | $\ldots$ | 0.0 |
| Natural methods | 0.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | ... | 0.0 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.0 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ... | ... | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.2 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 0.1 | ... | ... | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.6 |
| Not Using | 37.0 | 48.6 | 27.5 | 26.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 67.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | ... | ... | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 1342 | 64 | 335 | 668 | ... | $\ldots$ | 275 |

... Was asked in 2002 as Boyfriend

TABLE 3.3.5
The Percentage Of Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Had Sexual Relations In The Last 30 Days Who Used Contraception With Their Last Sexual Partner By Current Relationship Status and Method Used Compared with 2002 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Total | Relationship Status |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Married/ Commonlaw Union | Visiting <br> Partner | Girlfriend | No Steady Partner |
|  | 2 O |  |  |  |  |
| Currently Using Any |  |  |  |  |  |
| Method | 85.3 | 68.9 | 80.2 | 90.0 | 90.1 |
| Condom | 89.0 | 66.4 | 85.0 | 92.5 | 94.5 |
| Pill | 6.3 | 14.5 | 10.9 | 4.0 | 1.9 |
| Injectable | 1.8 | 13.6 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Withdrawal | 2.6 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| IUD | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tubal Ligation | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Natural methods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Not Using | 14.7 | 31.1 | 19.8 | 10.0 | 9.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 1857 | 111 | 441 | 721 | 311 |
| 2 O |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently Using Any |  |  |  |  |  |
| Method | 82.6 | 67.2 | 85.3 | $\ldots$ | 81.2 |
| Condom | 74.2 | 37.3 | 75.6 | $\ldots$ | 76.7 |
| Pill | 5.0 | 16.4 | 6.6 | $\ldots$ | 1.8 |
| Injectable | 1.1 | 6.7 | 1.3 | $\ldots$ | 0.2 |
| Withdrawal | 2.1 | 6.0 | 1.7 | $\ldots$ | 2.0 |
| IUD | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | 0.0 |
| Tubal Ligation | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | 0.0 |
| Natural methods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | 0.0 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | 0.0 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | 0.0 |
| Not Using | 17.4 | 32.8 | 14.7 | $\ldots$ | 18.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | ... | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 2420 | 493 | 1330 | $\ldots$ | 597 |

TABLE 3.3.6
The Percentage Of Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Had Sexual Relations In The Last 30 Days Who Used Contraception With Their Last Sexual Partner By Current Relationship Status And Method Used By Age Group 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Total | Relationship Status |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Married/ Commonlaw Union | Visiting <br> Partner | Girlfriend | No Steady Partner |
| 15 - 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently Using Any Method | 88.5 | 66.7 | 82.1 | 89.8 | 91.1 |
| Condom | 94.0 | 75.0 | 87.4 | 94.9 | 96.9 |
| Pill | 4.0 | 25.0 | 11.8 | 2.6 | 1.5 |
| Injectable | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Withdrawal | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 1.5 |
| IUD | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tubal Ligation | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Natural methods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Not Using | 11.5 | 33.3 | 17.9 | 10.2 | 8.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 850 | 8 | 119 | 431 | 194 |
| $20-24$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently Using Any Method | 82.6 | 69.1 | 79.5 | 90.3 | 88.6 |
| Condom | 86.0 | 61.5 | 86.7 | 91.1 | 89.5 |
| Pill | 7.4 | 16.2 | 9.2 | 4.2 | 3.8 |
| Injectable | 3.2 | 19.0 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| Withdrawal | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 5.3 |
| IUD | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tubal Ligation | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Natural methods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Not Using | 17.4 | 30.9 | 20.5 | 9.7 | 11.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 831 | 102 | 322 | 290 | 117 |

TABLE 3.4.1
Percentage Of Sexually Experienced Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Ever Used Condoms, Used Condoms At Last Intercourse, Used Condoms At Every Intercourse And Used Condoms At Every Intercourse With A Non-Steady Partner By Age Group, No. Of Partners In Past 3 Months And Union Status Compared With 2002 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Per cent Of All Sexually Experienced Adult Women Who: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ever Used Condoms |  | Used Condoms At Last Intercourse |  | Used Condoms At Every Intercourse |  | UsedCondoms AtEveryIntercourseWith A Non-SteadyPartner |  |
|  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N |
|  | 20008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 93.3 | 1614 | 41.4 | 1614 | 35 | 1614 | 47.8 | 155 |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 91.3 | 557 | 49.2 | 557 | 41.0 | 557 | 42.9 | 46 |
| 20-24 | 94.6 | 1057 | 37.2 | 1057 | 31.9 | 1057 | 50.6 | 109 |
| No. Of Partners In Past 3 Months ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 98.2 | 113 | 59.3 | 113 | 37.5 | 113 | *** | 9 |
| One | 94.1 | 1327 | 43.2 | 1327 | 35.9 | 1327 | 53.3 | 69 |
| Two Or More | 98.5 | 78 | 38.2 | 78 | 57.3 | 78 | 45.5 | 77 |
| Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married /Common-law | 93.8 | 505 | 23.7 | 505 | 21.0 | 505 | 47.3 | 31 |
| Visiting Relationship | 94.6 | 709 | 45.0 | 709 | 38.1 | 709 | 48.4 | 85 |
| Boyfriend | 94.9 | 198 | 63.4 | 198 | 50.9 | 198 | 54.6 | 24 |
| No Steady Partner | 85.3 | 202 | 40.6 | 202 | 35.5 | 202 | *** | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | 20 | $0 \quad 2$ |  |  |  |
| Total | 89.8 | 1394 | 39.4 | 1392 | 17.3 | 1394 | 49.2 | 151 |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 91.1 | 506 | 49.4 | 506 | 22.1 | 506 | 59.6 | 52 |
| 20-24 | 89.0 | 888 | 33.0 | 886 | 14.3 | 888 | 42.7 | 99 |
| No. Of Partners In Past 3 Months** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 30.1 | 848 | 16.1 | 848 | 56.8 | 848 | *** | 18 |
| One | 92.2 | 1044 | 37.0 | 1044 | 42.3 | 1044 | 45.7 | 109 |
| Two Or More | 100.0 | 35 | 60.4 | 35 | 11.9 | 235 | 60.5 | 24 |
| Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married / Common-law | 87.2 | 408 | 20.9 | 408 | 9.9 | 408 | 45.2 | 28 |
| Visiting Relationship | 93.2 | 682 | 40.9 | 682 | 22.8 | 682 | 52.8 | 95 |
| Boyfriend | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| No Steady Partner | 84.4 | 304 | 51.3 | 304 | 11.4 | 304 | 35.6 | 28 |

* Includes only respondents with non-steady partners
** Data on number of partners in past 3 months missing for 2 women in 2002.
*** Less than 25 cases
.. Data not Available in 2002

TABLE 3.4.2
Percentage Of Sexually Experienced Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Ever Used Condoms, Used Condoms At Last Intercourse, Used Condoms At Every Intercourse And Used Condoms At Every Intercourse With A Non-Steady Partner By Age Group, No. Of Partners In Past 3 Months And Union Status Compared With 2002 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Per cent Of All Sexually Experienced Adult Men Who: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ever Used Condoms |  | Used Condoms At Last Intercourse |  | Used Condoms At Every Intercourse |  | Used <br> Condoms At <br> Every <br> Intercourse <br> With A Non- <br> Steady <br> Partner* |  |
|  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N |
| 200008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 96.9 | 2061 | 68.5 | 2061 | 64.2 | 2061 | 68.0 | 987 |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 95.4 | 998 | 71.3 | 998 | 64.0 | 998 | 66.6 | 416 |
| 20-24 | 98.3 | 1063 | 65.9 | 1063 | 64.3 | 1063 | 69.1 | 571 |
| No. Of Partners In Past 3 Months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 98.1 | 151 | 86.7 | 151 | 65.7 | 151 | 60.6 | 39 |
| One | 97.8 | 975 | 72.8 | 975 | 69.2 | 975 | 66.6 | 239 |
| Two Or More | 99.1 | 733 | 77.3 | 733 | 73.1 | 733 | 69.2 | 709 |
| Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married / Common-law | 98.7 | 162 | 38.2 | 162 | 57.6 | 162 | 72.9 | 54 |
| Visiting Relationship | 97.9 | 554 | 65.6 | 554 | 67.5 | 554 | 68.9 | 335 |
| Girlfriend | 98.8 | 826 | 83.0 | 826 | 72.9 | 826 | 66.1 | 445 |
| No Steady Partner | 92.3 | 519 | 57.3 | 519 | 48.6 | 519 | 70.0 | 153 |
|  |  | $0 \quad 0$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 95.1 | 2437 | 67.9 | 2437 | 23.8 | 2437 | 59.3 | 1546 |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 93.6 | 1432 | 60.5 | 1432 | 24.2 | 1432 | 57.3 | 789 |
| 20-24 | 96.6 | 1005 | 77.9 | 1005 | 23.3 | 1005 | 61.4 | 757 |
| No. Of Partners In Past 3 Months*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | ** | 1 | ** | 1 | ** | 1 | ** | 0 |
| One | 97.6 | 805 | 84.3 | 805 | 32.5 | 805 | 51.6 | 569 |
| Two Or More | 66.5 | 1542 | 58.3 | 1542 | 19.6 | 1542 | 65.3 | 893 |
| Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married / Common-law | 97.0 | 96 | 67.9 | 96 | 15.7 | 96 | 53.5 | 67 |
| Visiting Relationship | 97.9 | 983 | 84.8 | 983 | 29.9 | 983 | 60.6 | 795 |
| Girlfriend | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| No Steady Partner | 61.3 | 1358 | 50.7 | 1358 | 19.6 | 1358 | 58.3 | 684 |

[^19]TABLE 3.4.3
Reported Number Of Sexual Partners In The Past Three Months Young Adult Men And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Had Sexual Relations In The Past Three Months By Relationship Status Compared With 2002 RHS (Per cent Distribution) 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| No. Of <br> Partners | Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 O |  |  |  |  | 2 O |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Married/ Commonlaw Partner | Visiting <br> Partner | Boyfriend/ Girlfriend | No <br> Steady <br> Partner | Total | Married/ Commonlaw Partner | Visiting <br> Partner | No <br> Current <br> Partner |
| W O M E N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 94.5 | 96.3 | 93.1 | 95.5 | 92.6 | 97.0 | 96.8 | 97.0 | 97.2 |
| Two | 5.0 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 2.8 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| Three | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| Four Or More | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 1404 | 490 | 667 | 179 | 68 | 1079 | 386 | 108 | 1116 |
| M E N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One | 57.3 | 76.1 | 47.6 | 57.0 | 67.2 | 55.6 | 72.8 | 53.6 | 56.0 |
| Two | 27.0 | 16.4 | 30.9 | 29.0 | 18.9 | 25.9 | 20.0 | 27.5 | 24.2 |
| Three | 10.0 | 5.0 | 13.4 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 2.4 | 10.4 | 9.0 |
| Four Or More | 5.7 | 2.5 | 8.2 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 9.1 | 4.8 | 8.6 | 10.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 1703 | 159 | 538 | 768 | 238 | 1429 | 88 | 839 | 502 |

## CHAPTER 4

## CHILDBEARING

This chapter presents information from the 2008 RHS on fertility and planning status of last (within the past 5 years) and current pregnancies. The chapter also includes a section on first pregnancy and whether or not it occurred while the respondents were still attending school as well as respondent's perception about the appropriate age for child bearing.

### 4.1 FERTILITY

Early childbearing can have serious effects on the growth and development of adolescents by hindering educational pursuits and future economic opportunities. Many individuals argue that the relationship is two-fold since a lack of education and lack of economic opportunities may also encourage early childbearing. In order to alleviate some of the possible ramifications of early child bearing, the NFPB has a number of targeted interventions, particularly through parenting education, for pregnant teens as well as their parents/guardians.

The RHS captures information on the number of females who have ever become pregnant in their lives, the outcome of each of those pregnancies as well as other related information. As shown in Table 4.1.1 the percentage of young women who have ever been pregnant continues to decline, from 43 per cent in 1997 to 38 per cent in 2002 and a further decline to 36 per cent in 2008. Of the females who have ever been pregnant, 15 per cent were between the ages of 15 and 19 years and 60 per cent within the age group, 20-24 years. The highest proportion of respondents who have ever been pregnant were married $(81.9 \%)$, lived in rural areas ( $37.5 \%$ ) and were from the lowest quintile ( $49.9 \%$ ). When analysed by educational status, the highest proportion of females who have ever been pregnant completed between 10-12 years of schooling ( $40.1 \%$ ); the reporting was lowest for the females with 13 years or more education.

Table 4.1.1 also shows information on the number of females who have ever had a live birth by specific characteristics. In general, there was a decline in the proportion of women who reported having a live birth when compared to the two (2) previous surveys. In 2008, 30 per cent of young adult women reported having had a live birth, when compared to 34 per cent in 2002, and about 39 per cent in 1997. This decrease in the reporting of live births is viewed as a positive trend and is related to the decline observed in the Total Fertility Rate (TFR). The percentage of females who reported ever having a live birth is very similar to those who have ever been pregnant across all the specified characteristics.

When disaggregated by age group, the reporting was similar for women who have ever been pregnant and those women who have ever had a live birth. The highest proportions of women who have ever been pregnant or ever had a live birth were married or in common law relationships and from the lowest socio-economic quintile, regardless of the
age group to which they belonged. However, as table 4.1 .2 shows, there was a slight difference based on area of residence with the highest proportion of women within the 15-19 year age group (for women who have ever been pregnant or have ever had a live birth) residing in urban areas outside of the KMA while the highest proportion of women between the ages of 20-24 years were living in rural areas.

## FERTILITY RATES

Based on data from the 2008 RHS presented in Table 4.1.4, fertility among young women 15-19 years ( 72 births per 1,000 women) was lower than that for women between the ages of 20-24 years ( 124 births per 1,000 women). In general, this has been the trend over the previous RHSs and CPSs. Table 4.1.4 displays the age specific fertility rates calculated for two years prior to the date of the interview. Comparing data from the 2002 RHS, there was an 8.9 percentage points decline in fertility for women between the age of $15-19$ years and absolutely no change in fertility rates for women between the ages of 20-24 years. Table 4.1 .5 shows that fertility was highest among women between the ages of 20-24 years living in rural areas ( 153 per 1,000 women) and was lowest for women 15-19 years living in the KMA ( 51 per 1,000 women).

### 4.2 PLANNING STATUS OF LAST AND CURRENT PREGNANCY

Individuals have the right to decide the number and spacing of their children and must be provided with the information and means to do so. The NFPB for many years has executed campaigns targeting fertility choices and encouraging individuals to specifically plan for a 'two-child family' (Replacement Level Fertility). In previous years the campaign was executed under the banner 'two is better than too many' for over a decade, until another campaign was launched in 2007 with the message 'planning for two is the smart thing to do'.

The RHS captures the pregnancy history of all women and enquires about the planning status of all pregnancies within the five (5) years prior to the survey period (since 2003) as well as current pregnancies. Based on the responses, the pregnancies were classified as "planned", "mistimed", "unwanted" and "not sure". There was also a category for "no response" to indicate those persons who, within their right, did not provide a response to the question

Table 4.2.1 shows the planning status of last and current pregnancies by contraceptive use and area of residence. Women living in the KMA who were not using any contraceptive method at the time of the interview reported having more planned pregnancies when compared to women who were using contraceptives. This was also true for women living in rural Areas, while the reverse was true of persons living in urban areas outside of the KMA. It raises the question, of the possibility for individuals who are not on a contraceptive method to be able to have more planned pregnancy. An important point here is that, individuals who were not on a contraceptive method at the time of the survey would also include persons who were pregnant at the time of the interview. For the purpose of the survey current users of contraception are those persons who used a
contraceptive method for the prevention of pregnancy within the 30 days preceding the interview.

Within the age group 15-19 years, women who were not using a contraceptive method at the time of the survey had a slightly higher reporting of unwanted pregnancy ( $16.1 \%$ compared to $15.7 \%$ ). Also, women within both age groups (15-19 and 20-24) who were using a contraceptive method at the time of the survey reported a slightly higher proportion of mistimed pregnancies. Overall, regardless of age group, area of residence, contraceptive use or not, young adult women were reporting more mistimed pregnancies.

Table 4.2 .3 shows the planning status of last and current pregnancies by specific characteristics. The planning status of pregnancy is an important indicator for the NFPB as outlined in its 'Strategic Framework for the Family Planning Programme: 20062010'. One of the key targets is to reduce unplanned pregnancies among women 15-49 years to below 63 per cent. Although this report only covers young adults 15-24 years of age, they too are included in this target. Based on the 2008 survey data, the highest proportion of pregnancies was said to be mistimed ( $52.2 \%$ ). This is similar but lower than the proportion of mistimed pregnancies reported in the 2002 (Table 4.2.3). On the other hand, there were more planned pregnancies reported by young adult women in 2008 ( $37.6 \%$ ) when compared to 2002 ( $28.9 \%$ ). In 2008, planned pregnancies were highest among young adult females living in urban areas outside of the KMA, married women and women who have had two (2) live births. Unwanted pregnancies were more prevalent among the youngest age group 15-17 years, women who have completed 0-9 years of education and those in the lowest socio-economic quintile.

When disaggregated by age groups, about 67 per cent of the pregnancies to women within the 15-19 age group were mistimed. Another 15 per cent of pregnancies to women within this age group were unwanted pregnancies. Only 18 per cent of the pregnancies to women within the age group 15-19 years were planned. Two out of every five or 43 per cent of pregnancies to women between the ages of 20-24 years were planned while 48 per cent were mistimed. As stated before, most pregnancies were mistimed irrespective of the area of residence. However, the highest proportion of planned pregnancies was reported by women between the ages of 20-24 years and women living in urban areas outside of the KMA.

Table 4.2.6 shows the percentage of young adult men aged 15-24 years who have ever fathered a child by selected characteristics. Approximately nine (9) per cent of the male respondents reported that they have ever fathered a child. As shown in the data from the 2008 RHS, men who have fathered a child were mostly in common law relationships, more educated, in the second highest socio-economic quintile and between the ages of 20-24 years.

### 4.3 PREGNANCY TO YOUNG ADULTS WHILE IN SCHOOL AND APPROPRIATE AGE FOR CHILDBEARING

Approximately 32 per cent of young adult females who have ever been pregnant became pregnant while in school. This is shown in Table 4.3 .1 and is the same as reported in the 2002 survey although not represented in the table. The reporting was highest for women in the middle quintile ( $40.2 \%$ ) and women living in "Other Urban Areas" (33.8\%). When disaggregated by age group, 55 per cent of young adult women between the ages of 15-19 who have ever been pregnant became pregnant for the first time while in school. These females were from the lowest socio-economic quintiles (See Table 4.3.2)

The information presented in Table 4.3 .3 shows that the majority of the young adult women who became pregnant for the first time while in school were attending secondary school $(90.1 \%)$ at the time of the pregnancy. Specifically 55 per cent of the women who became pregnant while in school were four (4) to eight (8) years within the secondary education. This is very similar to the results from the two previous surveys. The reporting was also the same for women who returned to school after the birth of their first child.

Over 90 per cent of the young adult women who became pregnant for the first time returned to school. The information presented in Table 4.3.4 shows that 44 per cent of women who became pregnant for the first time while in school returned to a 1-3 year secondary school programme and another 26 per cent returned to a four (4) year or more secondary programme.

When young adult women were asked about the age at which a woman is considered to be responsible enough to have their first child, 49 per cent of women said 20-24 years and 21 per cent said 25 years or older (See Table 4.3.5). This was very similar to the 2002 RHS results for women. The highest proportion of men (43.2\%) also reported that a woman between 20 and 24 years would be responsible enough to have her first child. The percentage was lower than the 65 per cent in 2002. As shown in Table 4.3.6 the second highest proportion reported that women could have her first child between the ages of 18 and 19 years ( $27.3 \%$ ).

In 2008, young adult men were specifically asked about the age they considered a man to be responsible enough to have their first child. As shown in Table 4.3.7, 41 per cent of young adult men said that a man is considered to be responsible enough to have his first child between the ages of 20-24 years, similar to the response given for women. Another 26 per cent considered between 18 and 19 years to be the age a man is considered responsible enough to have his first child.

TABLE 4.1.1
Percentage Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Ever Been Pregnant And Who Ever Had A Live Birth

By Age Group And Selected Characteristics
Compared With 2002 RHS And 1997 CPS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Ever Pregnant |  |  | Ever Had Live Birth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2002 | 1997 | 2008 | 2002 | 1997 |
| Total | 36.0 | 37.8 | 43.2 | 30.4 | 34.0 | 38.5 |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 14.6 | 19.2 | * | 10.8 | 15.7 | * |
| 20-24 | 60.3 | 60.3 | * | 52.4 | 56.1 | * |
| 15-17 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 15.1 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 11.2 |
| 18-19 | 28.1 | 35.4 | 37.3 | 23.0 | 29.1 | 34.4 |
| 20-22 | 53.9 | 53.0 | 58.8 | 45.5 | 49.8 | 52.8 |
| 23-24 | 70.4 | 72.8 | 73.9 | 63.3 | 67.1 | 67.7 |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 81.9 | * | * | 71.6 | * | * |
| Common Law | 77.0 | * | * | 65.2 | * | * |
| Visiting Partner | 58.0 | * | * | 47.9 | * | * |
| Boyfriend With Sex | 14.9 | * | * | 12.4 | * | * |
| Boyfriend Without Sex | 4.2 | * | * | 4.2 | * | * |
| No Steady Partner | 6.4 | * | * | 5.9 | * | * |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 33.6 | 36.7 | 36.6 | 27.2 | 34.3 | 31.9 |
| Other Urban Areas | 35.6 | 37.7 | 41.6 | 29.3 | 33.3 | 37.6 |
| Rural Areas | 37.5 | 38.5 | 48.6 | 32.6 | 34.4 | 43.5 |
| Educational Attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 29.7 | 41.7 | 50.4 | 26.7 | 37.6 | 46.8 |
| 10-12 Years | 40.1 | 42.5 | 43.0 | 33.8 | 37.5 | 37.9 |
| 13+ Years | 18.6 | 35.1 | 28.9 | 12.9 | 31.9 | 24.4 |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 49.9 | * | * | 45.9 | * | * |
| Second | 45.2 | * | * | 38.6 | * | * |
| Middle | 38.8 | * | * | 31.4 | * | * |
| Fourth | 28.9 | * | * | 23.8 | * | * |
| Highest | 19.7 | * | * | 14.4 | * | * |

[^20]TABLE 4.1.2
Percent Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Ever Been Pregnant And Who Ever Had A Live Birth

By Age Group And Selected Characteristics 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Ever Pregnant |  |  | Ever Had A Live Birth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 |
| Total | 36.0 | $14.6$ | 60.3 | 30.4 | 10.8 | 52.4 |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 81.9 | 100.0 | 81.8 | 71.6 | 100.0 | 71.4 |
| Common Law | 77.0 | 63.8 | 80.9 | 65.2 | 44.0 | 71.5 |
| Visiting Partner | 58.0 | 42.1 | 65.0 | 47.9 | 31.3 | 55.3 |
| Boyfriend With Sex | 14.9 | 8.6 | 28.9 | 12.4 | 6.4 | 25.6 |
| Boyfriend Without Sex | 4.2 | 2.2 | 13.1 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 13.1 |
| No Steady Partner | 6.4 | 2.2 | 24.2 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 22.7 |
| Area of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 33.6 | 9.2 | 63.0 | 27.2 | 5.8 | 53.1 |
| Other Urban Areas | 35.6 | 16.5 | 53.5 | 29.3 | 12.4 | 45.2 |
| Rural Areas | 37.5 | 15.7 | 64.9 | 32.6 | 12.2 | 58.4 |
| Educational Attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 29.7 | 13.5 | 79.4 | 26.7 | 10.4 | 77.1 |
| 10-12 Years | 40.1 | 15.4 | 67.4 | 33.8 | 11.2 | 58.7 |
| 13+ Years | 18.6 | 6.8 | 21.7 | 12.9 | 6.8 | 14.5 |
| $\underline{\text { Socio-Economic Status }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 49.9 | 24.5 | 75.2 | 45.9 | 18.9 | 72.9 |
| Second | 45.2 | 16.0 | 72.7 | 38.6 | 10.7 | 64.9 |
| Middle | 38.8 | 15.5 | 65.5 | 31.4 | 12.8 | 52.9 |
| Forth | 28.9 | 12.7 | 52.7 | 23.8 | 9.1 | 45.5 |
| Highest | 19.7 | 6.0 | 35.2 | 14.4 | 4.1 | 26.0 |

TABLE 4.1.3
Percent Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Ever Been Pregnant And Who Ever Had A Live Birth

By Area Of Residence And Selected Characteristics 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Ever Pregnant |  |  |  | Ever Had Live Birth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | KMA | Other <br> Urban | Rural | Total | KMA | Other <br> Urban | Rural |
| Total | 36.0 | 33.6 | 35.6 | 37.5 | 30.4 | 27.2 | 29.3 | 32.6 |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-17 | 6.1 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 8.4 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 4.9 |
| 18-19 | 28.1 | 20.9 | 33.7 | 27.2 | 23.0 | 12.8 | 28.5 | 23.4 |
| 20-22 | 53.9 | 57.8 | 46.1 | 59.6 | 45.5 | 45.6 | 37.9 | 53.0 |
| 23-24 | 70.4 | 71.7 | 67.6 | 71.9 | 63.3 | 65.4 | 59.0 | 65.5 |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 81.9 | 69.0 | 79.3 | 89.5 | 71.6 | 43.9 | 79.3 | 78.4 |
| Common Law | 77.0 | 65.9 | 74.1 | 83.8 | 65.2 | 58.1 | 63.5 | 69.5 |
| Visiting Partner | 58.0 | 57.6 | 55.1 | 60.3 | 47.9 | 45.4 | 43.0 | 53.1 |
| Boyfriend With Sex | 14.9 | 23.1 | 7.9 | 15.3 | 12.4 | 16.2 | 5.9 | 14.2 |
| Boyfriend Without Sex | 4.2 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 5.0 |
| No Steady Partner | 6.4 | 3.2 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 5.9 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 6.7 |
| Educational Attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 29.7 | 28.2 | 20.5 | 35.8 | 26.7 | 24.4 | 17.7 | 33.1 |
| $10-12 \text { Years }$ | 40.1 | 36.6 | 42.8 | 40.0 | 33.8 | 29.8 | 36.3 | 34.1 |
| 13+ Years | 18.6 | 11.7 | 21.0 | 18.1 | 12.9 | 5.6 | 11.9 | 17.6 |
| $\underline{\text { Socio-Economic Status }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 49.9 | 92.6 | 54.0 | 47.4 | 45.9 | 81.0 | 53.6 | 42.5 |
| Second | 45.2 | 52.5 | 57.6 | 38.1 | 38.6 | 46.7 | 49.8 | 31.7 |
| Middle | 38.8 | 34.9 | 44.5 | 36.1 | 31.4 | 27.9 | 34.8 | 30.5 |
| Forth | 28.9 | 30.0 | 27.4 | 29.5 | 23.8 | 28.5 | 18.3 | 25.9 |
| Highest | 19.7 | 23.2 | 17.8 | 18.4 | 14.4 | 13.4 | 14.1 | 16.9 |

TABLE 4.1.4
Age Specific Fertility Rates (ASFRS)*
Young Adult Women Age 15-24 Years
Compared With 2002 RHS And 1997, 1994 And 1988 CPS And 1992 YARHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Year And Source Of Data | Age Specific Fertility <br> Rate <br> By Age Group |  | Percentage Point <br> Movement <br> By Age Group |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 - \mathbf { 1 9 }}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - \mathbf { 1 9 }}$ | $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{- 2 4}$ |
| 2008 Reproductive Health Survey | 72 | 124 | -8.9 | 0 |
| 2002 Reproductive Health Survey | 79 | 124 | -29.5 | -23.9 |
| 1997 Contraceptive Prevalence Survey | 112 | 163 | 4.5 | 1.8 |
| 1994 Contraceptive Prevalence Survey | 107 | 160 | 4.7 | -2.5 |
| 1992 Young Adult Reproductive Health Survey | 102 | 164 | -10.8 | 0.6 |
| 1988 Young Adult Reproductive Health Survey | 113 | 163 | -7.3 | -14.2 |

* Calculated for 2 years before the date of interview.

TABLE 4.1.5
Age Specific Fertility Rates (ASFRs)*
Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years
By Area Of Residence
Compared With 2002 RHS
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Area Of Residence | MATERNAL |  | AGE GROUP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2002 |  |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 15-19 | 20-24 |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 51 | 94 | 86 | 126 |
| Other Urban Area | 83 | 106 | 69 | 99 |
| Rural Areas | 74 | 153 | 83 | 144 |

[^21]TABLE 4.2.1
Planning Status Of Last Pregnancies In The Past 5 Years And Current Pregnancies Young Adult Women Aged 1524 Years
Pregnant By Contraceptive Use And Area Of Residence (Percent Distribution) 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | PLANNING STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Planned | Mistimed | Unwanted | Not sure | No <br> Response | Total | N |
| ALL AREAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 36.5 | 51.7 | 9.2 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 100.0 | (913) |
| Contraceptive Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently Using | 35.7 | 52.8 | 9.2 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 | (560) |
| Not Currently Using | 37.9 | 49.7 | 9.2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 100.0 | (353) |
| KINGSTON METROPOLITAN AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 36.0 | 54.9 | 7.0 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | (132) |
| Contraceptive Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently Using | 32.0 | 58.8 | 7.2 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (89) |
| Not Currently Using | 45.2 | 45.9 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (43) |
| OTHER URBAN AREAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 42.3 | 47.0 | 8.4 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 100.0 | (242) |
| Contraceptive Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently Using | 44.3 | 45.8 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (144) |
| Not Currently Using | 38.6 | 49.1 | 10.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (98) |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 32.5 | 53.7 | 10.8 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 100.0 | (539) |
| Contraceptive Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently Using | 30.9 | 55.2 | 11.8 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 100.0 | (327) |
| Not Currently Using | 35.0 | 51.3 | 9.1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 100.0 | (212) |

TABLE 4.2.2
Planning Status Of Last Pregnancies In The Past 5 Years And Current Pregnancies Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years

By Contraceptive Use And Age Group (Percent Distribution) 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | PLANNING S TATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Planned | Mistimed | Unwanted | Not sure | No <br> Response | Total | N |
| 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 18.1 | 65.6 | 15.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (208) |
| Contraceptive Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently Using | 14.0 | 69.5 | 15.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (98) |
| Not Currently Using | 22.6 | 61.3 | 16.1 |  | 0.0 | 100.0 | (110) |
| 20-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 41.7 | 47.7 | 7.3 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (705) |
| Contraceptive Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently Using | 40.4 | 49.2 | 7.8 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 100.0 | (462) |
| Not Currently Using | 44.4 | 44.8 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 100.0 | (243) |

TABLE 4.2.3
Planning Status Of Last Pregnancies In The Past 5 Years And Current Pregnancies Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Compared With 2002 RHS And 1997 CPS Totals (Percent Distribution) 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | PLANNING STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Planned | Mistimed | Unwanted | Not sure | No Response | Total | N |
|  | 2 O |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 37.6 | 52.2 | 9.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (869) |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 38.7 | 53.2 | 7.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (123) |
| Other Urban Areas | 43.5 | 47.6 | 6.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (227) |
| Rural Areas | 33.1 | 55.0 | 11.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (519) |
| Number of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{0}$ | 29.1 | 58.9 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (95) |
| 1 | 38.0 | 54.7 | 5.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (519) |
| 2 | 43.2 | 42.4 | 12.9 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (189) |
| 3+ | 31.3 | 39.7 | 29.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (49) |
| 4 or more | 32.7 | 50.1 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (17) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-17 | 6.4 | 52.6 | 39.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (48) |
| 18-19 | 21.9 | 70.8 | 7.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (151) |
| 20-22 | 41.2 | 53.9 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (356) |
| 23-24 | 45.7 | 40.7 | 11.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (314) |
| Years Of Education* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 25.1 | 52.1 | 22.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (168) |
| 10-12 | 39.8 | 52.4 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (656) |
| 13+ | 36.5 | 49.0 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (45) |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 34.5 | 51.2 | 13.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (277) |
| Second | 42.1 | 45.7 | 10.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (233) |
| Middle | 40.3 | 53.3 | 6.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (169) |
| Fourth | 38.7 | 56.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (129) |
| Highest | 28.7 | 61.4 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (61) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 64.5 | 27.7 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | (33) |
| Common Law | 45.5 | 47.1 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 100 | (334) |
| Visiting | 33.9 | 55.6 | 9.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 100 | (402) |
| Boyfriend With Sex | 14.7 | 51.4 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | (25) |
| Boyfriend Without Sex | * | * | * | * | , |  | (6) |
| No Steady Partner | 28.0 | 58.6 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | (69) |

[^22]TABLE 4.2.3 Cont'd
Planning Status Of Last Pregnancies And Current Pregnancies Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Had Live Birth In Past 5 Years Or Who Are Currently Pregnant Compared With 2002 RHS And 1997 CPS Totals (Percent Distribution) 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | PLANNING S TATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Planned | Mistimed | Unwanted | Unplanned Unknown | Unknown | Total | N |
|  | 200002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 28.9 | 63.9 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 100.0 | (705) |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan | 26.8 | 66.0 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (113) |
| Other Urban Areas | 30.4 | 62.1 | 5.8 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (186) |
| Rural Areas | 28.9 | 64.2 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (406) |
| Number Of Live born Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 21.7 | 67.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 100.0 | (55) |
| 1 | 24.0 | 72.0 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (477) |
| 2 | 53.8 | 37.0 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (121) |
| $3+$ | 33.8 | 36.5 | 19.7 | 1.4 | 8.5 | 100.0 | (52) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-17 | 2.8 | 86.1 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 100.0 | (38) |
| 18-19 | 28.8 | 82.2 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 | (152) |
| 20-22 | 33.8 | 60.1 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 100.0 | (292) |
| 23-24 | 28.7 | 49.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (223) |
| Years Of Education* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0-9$ | 23.3 | 60.4 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 100.0 | (44) |
| 10-12 | 28.8 | 61.3 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 100.0 | (248) |
| 13+ | 28.7 | 65.6 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 100.0 | (407) |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 33.8 | 59.2 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (232) |
| Medium | 25.9 | 67.1 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (329) |
| High | 29.8 | 62.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 100.0 | (144) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 66.0 | 31.9 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (43) |
| Common Law | 36.6 | 53.0 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 100.0 | (248) |
| Visiting | 24.1 | 70.9 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (313) |
| No Steady Partner | 23.1 | 67.3 | 8.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (101) |

[^23]TABLE 4.2.4
Planning Status Of Last Pregnancies In Past 5 Years And Current Pregnancies Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years By Age Group And Selected Characteristics (Percent Distribution)
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | PLANNING STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Planned | Mistimed | Unwanted | Unplanned Unknown | Total | N |
|  | 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 18.3 | 66.5 | 14.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (199) |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan | 18.4 | 64.3 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (25) |
| Other Urban Areas | 20.7 | 64.3 | 13.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (56) |
| Rural Areas | 16.6 | 68.5 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (118) |
| Number Of Live born Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 18.1 | 55.5 | 26.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (50) |
| 1 | 18.3 | 69.5 | 11.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (149) |
| 2 | * | * | * | * | * | (0) |
| $3+$ | * | * | * | * | * | (0) |
| Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 16.6 | 43.6 | 39.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (46) |
| $10-12$ | 19.3 | 72.6 | 7.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | $(150)$ |
| $13+$ | * | * | * | * | * | (3) |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 23.0 | 60.4 | 15.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (68) |
| Second | 23.4 | 48.1 | 28.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (47) |
| Middle | 15.2 | 65.2 | 19.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (38) |
| Fourth | 13.0 | 84.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (35) |
| Highest | * | * |  | * | * | (11) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | * | * | * | * | * | (1) |
| Common Law | 40.7 | 54.6 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (70) |
| Visiting | 10.6 | 73.7 | 15.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (99) |
| Boyfriend With Sex | 0.0 | 37.7 | 62.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (9) |
| Boyfriend Without Sex | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (2) |
| No Steady Partner | 8.2 | 70.7 | 21.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (18) |

[^24]TABLE 4.2.4 Cont'd
Planning Status Of Last Pregnancies And Current Pregnancies Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Had Live Birth In Past 5 Years Or Who Are Currently

Pregnant By Age Group (Percent Distribution) 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | PLANNING S TATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Planned | Mistimed | Unwanted | Not sure | No <br> Response | Total | N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $20-24$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 43.2 | 48.1 | 7.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (670) |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan | 42.3 | 51.2 | 5.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (98) |
| Other Urban Areas | 50.5 | 42.5 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 100.0 | (171) |
| Rural Areas | 38.4 | 50.7 | 9.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (401) |
| Number Of Live born Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 35.4 | 56.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (53) |
| 1 | 44.1 | 47.1 | 7.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (617) |
| 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | (0) |
| $3+$ | * | * | * | * | * | * | (0) |
| Years Of Education* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 30.1 | 57.1 | 12.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (122) |
| $10-12$ | 45.1 | 47.2 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (506) |
| 13+ | 40.4 | 43.6 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (42) |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 38.4 | 48.1 | 12.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (209) |
| Second | 46.1 | 45.2 | 6.0 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (186) |
| Middle | 47.7 | 49.7 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (131) |
| Fourth | 50.4 | 42.9 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 | (94) |
| Highest | 31.7 | 56.8 | 7.6 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 100.0 | (50) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 64.2 | 28.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (32) |
| Common Law | 46.7 | 45.3 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (264) |
| Visiting | 41.1 | 50.0 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (303) |
| Boyfriend With Sex | * | * | * | * | * | * | (16) |
| Boyfriend Without Sex | * | * | * | * | * | * | (4) |
| No Steady Partner | 36.1 | 53.7 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (51) |

[^25]TABLE 4.2.5
Planning Status Of Last Pregnancies In Past 5 Years And
Current Pregnancies Of Young Adult Women
Aged 15-24 Years By Area Of Residence And Selected Characteristics
(Percent Distribution)
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | PLANNING STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Planned | Mistimed | Unwanted | Not sure | No <br> Response | Total | N |
|  | Kingston Metropolitan Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 38.7 | 53.2 | 7.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (123) |
| Number Of Live born Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | * | * | * | * | * | * | (21) |
| 1 | 38.6 | 52.8 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (102) |
| 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | (0) |
| $3+$ | * | * | * | * | * | * | (0) |
| Age Group $\quad$ L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-17 | * | * | * | * | * | * | (6) |
| 18-19 | * | * | * | * | * | * | (19) |
| 20-22 | 39.7 | 55.6 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (58) |
| 23-24 | 46.4 | 44.4 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (40) |
| Years Of Education* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | * | * | * | * | * | * | (21) |
| 10-12 | 40.9 | 53.2 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (94) |
| 13+ | * | * | * | * | * | * | (8) |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | * | * | * | * | * | * | (14) |
| Second | 26.8 | 59.9 | 11.1 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (43) |
| Middle | 59.3 | 37.7 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (27) |
| Fourth | * | * | * | * | * | * | (20) |
| Highest | * | * | * | * | * | * | (19) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | * | * | * | * | * | * | (4) |
| Common Law | 42.7 | 52.4 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (38) |
| Visiting | 34.2 | 56.7 | 8.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (72) |
| Boyfriend With Sex | * | * | * | * | * | * | (2) |
| Boyfriend Without Sex | * | * | * | * | * | * | (1) |
| No Steady Partner | * | * | * | * | * | * | (6) |

[^26]TABLE 4.2.5 Cont'd
Planning Status Of Last Pregnancies In Past 5 Years And
Current Pregnancies Of Young Adult Women

## Aged 15-24 Years By Area Of Residence And Selected Characteristics <br> (Percent Distribution) 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | PLANNING S TATUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Planned | Mistimed | Unwanted | Unplanned Unknown | Unknown | Total | N |
|  | Other Urban Areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 43.5 | 47.6 | 6.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (227) |
| Number Of Live born Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 11.7 | 63.9 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (28) |
| 1 | 48.7 | 44.9 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (199) |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 3+ \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-17 | * | * | * | * | * | * | (7) |
| 18-19 | 23.7 | 67.6 | 8.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (49) |
| 20-22 | 52.4 | 45.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (88) |
| 23-24 | 48.0 | 38.5 | 9.0 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (83) |
| Years Of Education* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 34.1 | 39.8 | 25.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (34) |
| 10-12 | 45.6 | 49.1 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (177) |
| 13+ | 33.6 | 41.9 | 24.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (16) |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 44.4 | 48.2 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (61) |
| Second | 52.6 | 32.1 | 13.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (46) |
| Middle | 45.8 | 50.2 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (49) |
| Fourth | 29.2 | 64.7 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (50) |
| Highest | * | * | * | * | * | * | (21) |
| $\underline{\text { Relationship Status }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | * | * | * | * | * | * | (7) |
| Common Law | 47.4 | 47.9 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (86) |
| Visiting | 40.2 | 49.6 | 8.5 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (106) |
| Boyfriend With Sex | * | . | * | * | * | * | (6) |
| Boyfriend Without Sex | * | * | * | * | * | * | (1) |
| No Steady Partner | * | * | * | * | * | * | (21) |

[^27]TABLE 4.2.5 Cont'd
Planning Status Of Last Pregnancies In Past 5 Years And
Current Pregnancies Of Young Adult Women
Aged 15-24 Years By Area Of Residence And Selected Characteristics
(Percent Distribution)
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Planned | Mistimed | Unwanted | Not sure | No <br> Response | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural Areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 33.1 | 55.0 | 11.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (519) |
| Number Of Live born Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 37.7 | 49.3 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (54) |
| 1 | 32.5 | 55.8 | 10.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (465) |
| 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | (0) |
| $3+$ | * | * | * | * | * | * | (0) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-17 | 6.9 | 56.2 | 36.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (35) |
| 18-19 | 21.0 | 74.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (83) |
| 20-22 | 33.7 | 59.3 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (210) |
| 23-24 | 43.9 | 40.6 | 14.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (191) |
| Years Of Education* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 23.3 | 56.0 | 20.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (113) |
| 10-12 | 35.1 | 54.5 | 9.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (385) |
| 13+ | * | * | * | * | * | * | (21) |
| $\underline{\text { Socio-Economic Status }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 31.6 | 51.9 | 16.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (202) |
| Second | 42.6 | 47.6 | 7.1 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 100.0 | (144) |
| Middle | 26.1 | 63.7 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (93) |
| Fourth | 32.4 | 59.8 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (59) |
| Highest | * | * | * | * |  | * | (21) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | * | * | * | * | * | * | (22) |
| Common Law | 44.9 | 44.7 | 8.4 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (210) |
| Visiting | 29.6 | 59.1 | 10.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (224) |
| Boyfriend With Sex | * | * | * | * | * | * | (17) |
| Boyfriend Without Sex | * | * | * | * | * | * | (4) |
| No Steady Partner | 24.2 | 62.6 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (42) |

[^28]TABLE 4.2.6

## Percentage Of Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Reported That They <br> Ever Fathered A Child By Selected Characteristics Compared With 2002 RHS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | 2008 |  | 2002 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Total | 9.3 | (2775) | 8.4 | (2437) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.6 | (1652) | 1.8 | (1432) |
| 20-24 | 16.8 | (1123) | 17.2 | (1005) |
| 15-17 | 0.3 | (1037) | 0.4 | (901) |
| 18-19 | 2.8 | (615) | 3.8 | (531) |
| 20-22 | 8.8 | (635) | 13.6 | (653) |
| 23-24 | 28.3 | (488) | 23.7 | (352) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |
| Married | ** | (8) | * | * |
| Common Law | 61.8 | (154) | * | * |
| Visiting | 15.4 | (554) | * | * |
| Girlfriend With Sex | 2.1 | (829) | * | * |
| Girlfriend Without Sex | 0.3 | (410) | * | * |
| No Steady Partner | 2.8 | (820) | * | * |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 9.3 | (380) | 8.7 | (394) |
| Other Urban Area | 9.7 | (759) | 9.3 | (680) |
| Rural Areas | 9.1 | (1636) | 7.6 | (1363) |
| Years Of Education ${ }^{\dagger}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 6.6 | (687) | 5.3 | (205) |
| 10-12 Years | 9.7 | (1944) | 8.7 | (1046) |
| 13 Years Or More | 12.0 | (130) | 8.6 | (1164) |
| Socio-Economic Index |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest | 8.0 | (632) | * | * |
| Second | 9.5 | (620) | * | * |
| Middle | 11.5 | (512) | * | * |
| Fourth | 10.7 | (538) | * | * |
| Highest | 6.4 | (473) | * | * |

[^29]TABLE 4.3.1
Percentage Of Ever Pregnant Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years
Who Became Pregnant For The First Time While Still In School
By Area Of Residence And Socio-Economic Status 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected <br> Characteristics | ALL AREA |  | KMA |  | OTHER URBAN |  | RURAL |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | N | Percent | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Socio-Economic Status |  | $\mathbf{3 1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 9 4 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 3 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 4 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 4}$ |
| (558) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Second |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle | 38.6 | $(291)$ | $* *$ | $(15)$ | 38.5 | $(62)$ | 38.6 | $(214)$ |
| Fourth | 31.7 | $(249)$ | 33.7 | $(45)$ | 29.7 | $(52)$ | 32.0 | $(152)$ |
| Highest | 40.2 | $(186)$ | 33.8 | $(33)$ | 53.7 | $(54)$ | 30.6 | $(99)$ |

** Less than 25 Cases

TABLE 4.3.2
Percentage Of Ever Pregnant Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years
Who Became Pregnant For The First Time While Still In School
By Age Group And Socio-Economic Status
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected <br> Characteristics | 15-19 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percent | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 0 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 3 4 )}$ |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |
| Lowest |  |  |  |  |
| Second | 67.8 | $(71)$ | 31.0 | $(220)$ |
| Middle | 55.5 | $(50)$ | 28.0 | $(199)$ |
| Fourth | 68.2 | $(40)$ | 32.4 | $(146)$ |
| Highest | 26.5 | $(35)$ | 17.3 | $(115)$ |

** Less than 25 Cases

TABLE 4.3.3
Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years
Who Became Pregnant For The First Time While Still In School By Educational Attainment At The Time Of That First Pregnancy

Compared With 2002 RHS And 1997 CPS 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Educational Level Attained <br> When First Became Pregnant | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 1.5 | 6.7 | 17.4 |
| Secondary 1-3 Years (include. Junior High) | 35.1 | 33.8 | 38.9 |
| Secondary 4-8 Years | 55.0 | 48.3 | 42.2 |
| Post Secondary/Tertiary | 7.3 | 11.1 | 6.5 |
| Don't Remember | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{( 2 7 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 6 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 7 3 )}$ |
| No. Of Cases |  |  |  |

* Information not collected

TABLE 4.3.4
Young Adult Women Who Became Pregnant For The First Time While In School Who Returned To School After The Birth Of Their First Child

By Educational Attainment At Time Of First Pregnancy
Compared With 2002 RHS And 1997 CPS
2008JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Educational Level At | 2008 |  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Of Pregnancy | Percent | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percent | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percent | N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Or Less | $* *$ | $(6)$ | $* *$ | $(18)$ | 35.7 | $(52)$ |
| Secondary - 1-3 Years (incl. Junior |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High) | 43.9 | $(112)$ | 36.6 | $(81)$ | 27.9 | (96) |
| Secondary - 4 Years Or More | 25.8 | $(134)$ | $* *$ | $(120)$ | 38.5 | $(125)$ |
| Post Secondary | $* *$ | $(18)$ | 58.1 | $(20)$ | n.a. | n.a. |
| Not Known | $* *$ | $(5)$ | 10.4 | $(27)$ | n.a. | n.a. |

[^30]TABLE 4.3.5
Percent Distribution Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years By Age Respondents Consider A Woman Is Responsible Enough To Have Her First Child

Compared With 2002 RHS
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Age A Woman Is Considered Responsible To Have Her First Child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less <br> Than 18 | 18-19 | 20-24 | 25+ | It <br> Depends | When <br> In Stable <br> Relationship | Other | Total | N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.7 | 16.5 | 49.3 | 20.7 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (2343) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.2 | 14.8 | 50.0 | 22.1 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 100.0 | (1229) |
| 20-24 | 1.3 | 18.4 | 48.5 | 19.1 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (1114) |
| 15-17 | 0.2 | 11.7 | 47.2 | 25.5 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 100.0 | (758) |
| 18-19 | 0.3 | 19.7 | 54.4 | 16.7 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (471) |
| 20-22 | 0.5 | 20.2 | 48.7 | 19.5 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 100.0 | (626) |
| 23-24 | 2.6 | 15.7 | 48.2 | 18.4 | 7.5 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 100.0 | (488) |
| $\underline{\text { Years Of Educational }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 0.4 | 15.1 | 45.1 | 23.6 | 7.5 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 100.0 | (459) |
| 10-12 | 0.9 | 17.2 | 50.4 | 19.5 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 100.0 | (1675) |
| 13+ | 0.0 | 14.3 | 48.5 | 24.1 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (209) |
| Frequency Of Church Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Once Per Week | 0.8 | 11.7 | 49.6 | 24.4 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 100.0 | (771) |
| At least Once Per Month | 0.3 | 19.2 | 50.0 | 19.4 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (401) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 2.1 | 15.8 | 48.9 | 20.5 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (355) |
| Special Occasions | 0.3 | 19.5 | 51.2 | 15.1 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 3.6 | 100.0 | (478) |
| Never | 0.3 | 22.5 | 45.6 | 20.4 | 6.6 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 100.0 | (331) |
| $\underline{\text { No. Of Live Births }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.4 | 12.9 | 50.1 | 23.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 100.0 | (1577) |
| 1 | 1.5 | 25.6 | 47.3 | 13.7 | 6 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 100.0 | (766) |
| 2 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | (0) |
| 3+ | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | (0) |

[^31]TABLE 4.3.5 Cont'd
Percent Distribution Of Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years By Age Respondents Consider A Woman Is Responsible Enough To Have Her First Child

Compared With 2002 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Age A Woman Is Considered Responsible To Have Her First Child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less } \\ & \text { Than } 18 \end{aligned}$ | 18-19 | 20-24 | 25+ | When <br> She Is <br> Mature | Other | Total | N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.9 | 17.7 | 43.9 | 20.8 | 5.6 | 11.1 | 100.0 | (1926) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.0 | 17.8 | 43.7 | 22.5 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 100.0 | (989) |
| 20-24 | 0.9 | 17.6 | 44.1 | 18.9 | 5.0 | 13.7 | 100.0 | (937) |
| 15-17 | 1.0 | 16.6 | 42.0 | 26.0 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 100.0 | (568) |
| 18-19 | 1.1 | 19.4 | 45.9 | 17.4 | 4.2 | 12.0 | 100.0 | (421) |
| 20-22 | 0.3 | 17.4 | 43.6 | 18.3 | 5.8 | 14.7 | 100.0 | (569) |
| 23-24 | 1.9 | 17.7 | 44.9 | 20.0 | 3.6 | 11.9 | 100.0 | (368) |
| $\underline{\text { Years Of Educational* }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 1.9 | 14.6 | 46.5 | 19.7 | 10.8 | 6.4 | 100.0 | (103) |
| 10-12 | 1.3 | 19.3 | 42.5 | 20.9 | 5.7 | 10.4 | 100.0 | (685) |
| 13+ | 0.7 | 17 | 44.8 | 20.7 | 5.1 | 11.9 | 100.0 | (1121) |
| Frequency Of Church Attendance** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Once Per Week | 0.7 | 12.4 | 43.5 | 25.3 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 100.0 | (660) |
| At least Once Per Month | 0.6 | 14.3 | 48.6 | 19.3 | 5.9 | 11.3 | 100.0 | (352) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 0.9 | 18.8 | 45.6 | 18.2 | 2.4 | 14.1 | 100.0 | (243) |
| Special Occasions | 0.7 | 21.9 | 44.5 | 17.5 | 4.3 | 11.1 | 100.0 | (472) |
| Never | 3.1 | 31.4 | 32.8 | 19.2 | 1.4 | 12.1 | 100.0 | (181) |
| No. Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.8 | 13.3 | 42.7 | 25.4 | 7.1 | 10.5 | 100.0 | (1172) |
| 1 | 1.6 | 26.2 | 44.7 | 12.4 | 2.4 | 12.7 | 100.0 | (504) |
| 2 | 0.7 | 25.5 | 47.4 | 11.3 | 4 | 10.9 | 100.0 | (183) |
| 3+ | 1.0 | 12.8 | 55 | 11 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 | (67) |

[^32]** Data missing for 18 women

TABLE 4.3.6

## Age Respondents Consider A Woman Is Responsible To Have Her First Child Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years <br> (Percent Distribution) <br> 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Age A Woman Is Considered Responsible To Have Her First Child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less <br> Than <br> 18 | 18-19 | 20-24 | 25+ | It <br> Depends | When <br> In Stable Relationship | Other | Total | N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3.7 | 27.3 | 43.2 | 11.6 | 7.8 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (2774) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 3.3 | 28.3 | 41.2 | 12.6 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| 20-24 | 4.3 | 25.9 | 46.0 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (1122) |
| 15-17 | 3.6 | 28.3 | 42.1 | 12.0 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 100.0 | (1037) |
| 18-19 | 2.7 | 28.2 | 39.8 | 13.7 | 8.7 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (615) |
| 20-22 | 4.8 | 26.2 | 47.3 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (635) |
| 23-24 | 3.4 | 25.5 | 44.0 | 11.5 | 10.1 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 100.0 | (487) |
| $\underline{\text { Years Of Educational }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 4.2 | 28.3 | 40.3 | 12.9 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 100.0 | (686) |
| 10-12 | 3.6 | 27.2 | 44.0 | 11.4 | 8.2 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 100.0 | (1944) |
| 13+ | 2.6 | 23.6 | 45.7 | 7.2 | 13.5 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (130) |
| Frequency Of Church Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Once Per Week | 2.1 | 27.8 | 40.2 | 15.2 | 7.0 | 5.5 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (558) |
| At least Once Per Month | 3.4 | 29.3 | 40.2 | 12.1 | 7.6 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (367) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 5.4 | 27.6 | 44.6 | 9.6 | 7.8 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (399) |
| Special Occasions | 4.0 | 26.3 | 43.9 | 10.7 | 8.6 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (870) |
| Never | 3.8 | 26.6 | 45.7 | 10.4 | 7.5 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 100.0 | (565) |
| No. Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 3.7 | 27.1 | 43.0 | 11.9 | 7.6 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (2582) |
| 1 | 3.5 | 24.0 | 51.8 | 6.1 | 10.1 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 100.0 | (154) |
| $2+$ | 2.2 | 51.1 | 25.3 | 8.0 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (38) |

TABLE 4.3.6 Cont'd
Age Respondents Consider A Woman Is Responsible To Have Her First Child Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years
(Percent Distribution)
2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Age A Woman Is Considered Responsible To Have Her First Child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less <br> Than 18 | 18-19 | 20-24 | 25+ | When She Is Mature | Other | Total | N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.5 | 23.6 | 64.6 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 100.0 | (2437) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.7 | 23.8 | 65.5 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 100.0 | (1432) |
| 20-24 | 1.4 | 23.4 | 63.3 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 100.0 | (1005) |
| 15-17 | 1.6 | 23.0 | 65.9 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 100.0 | (901) |
| 18-19 | 1.8 | 24.9 | 64.8 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 6.0 | 100.0 | (531) |
| 20-22 | 1.1 | 22.2 | 65.8 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 100.0 | (653) |
| 23-24 | 1.8 | 25.5 | 59.2 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 7.0 | 100.0 | (352) |
| $\underline{\text { Years Of Educational* }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 1.2 | 23.5 | 61.7 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 10.3 | 100.0 | (205) |
| 10-12 | 2.0 | 24.0 | 63.6 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 6.6 | 100.0 | (1046) |
| 13+ | 1.3 | 23.3 | 66.0 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 100.0 | (1164) |
| Frequency Of Church Attendance** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Once Per Week | 1.3 | 17.8 | 70.0 | 5.9 | 0.4 | 4.6 | 100.0 | (547) |
| At least Once Per Month | 2.2 | 19.8 | 67.0 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 6.1 | 100.0 | (410) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 0.9 | 26.8 | 62.9 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 6.5 | 100.0 | (329) |
| Special Occasions | 1.3 | 25.6 | 63.6 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 100.0 | (618) |
| Never | 2.1 | 28.5 | 58.4 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 8.2 | 100.0 | (503) |
| $\underline{\text { No. Of Children Fathered }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1.5 | 23.0 | 65.0 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 6.1 | 100.0 | (2232) |
| 1 | 2.3 | 27.3 | 61.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 100.0 | (159) |
| $2+$ | 1.7 | 40.0 | 53.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 100.0 | (46) |

[^33]TABLE 4.3.7

## Age Respondents Consider A Man Responsible To Have His First Child Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years <br> (Percent Distribution) <br> 2008 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Age A Man Is Considered Responsible To Have His First Child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less <br> Than <br> 18 | 18-19 | 20-24 | 25+ | It <br> Depends | When <br> In Stable Relationship | Other | Total | N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2.9 | 25.9 | 40.6 | 14.4 | 9.5 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (2774) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 2.7 | 26.6 | 38.9 | 16.3 | 8.2 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (1652) |
| 20-24 | 3.1 | 24.9 | 43.1 | 11.6 | 11.5 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (1122) |
| 15-17 | 2.8 | 26.8 | 41.2 | 14.8 | 6.9 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 100.0 | (1037) |
| 18-19 | 2.5 | 26.2 | 35.0 | 18.8 | 10.3 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (615) |
| 20-22 | 4.0 | 23.8 | 44.1 | 10.2 | 12.9 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (635) |
| 23-24 | 1.7 | 26.5 | 41.5 | 13.6 | 9.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 100.0 | (488) |
| $\underline{\text { Years Of Educational }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 2.9 | 26.0 | 42.1 | 14.7 | 7.1 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 100.0 | (687) |
| 10-12 | 3.1 | 25.9 | 40.1 | 14.7 | 9.8 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 100.0 | (1944) |
| 13+ | 0.0 | 24.5 | 41.8 | 7.1 | 17.8 | 7.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (130) |
| Frequency Of Church Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Once Per Week | 1.8 | 27.1 | 37.0 | 18.5 | 8.8 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (558) |
| At least Once Per Month | 2.6 | 24.1 | 40.4 | 17.5 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (367) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 3.8 | 24.1 | 43.1 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (399) |
| Special Occasions | 2.9 | 26.4 | 41.8 | 12.9 | 8.5 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 100.0 | (870) |
| Never | 3.3 | 26.3 | 40.5 | 12.3 | 11.3 | 4.6 | 1.8 | 100.0 | (565) |
| $\underline{\text { No. Of Live Births }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 2.8 | 25.7 | 40.9 | 14.8 | 9.1 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 100.0 | (2583) |
| 1 | 5.6 | 19.1 | 41.8 | 10.3 | 17.1 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (154) |
| $2+$ | 0.0 | 61.3 | 21.8 | 3.5 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | (38) |
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[^0]:    * Excludes 1 woman with missing information.
    $\dagger$ Excludes 7 women with missing information on church attendance.

[^1]:    * Excludes 1 woman with missing information.

[^2]:    * Excludes 1 woman with missing information.

[^3]:    * Excludes 1 woman with missing information.

[^4]:    * Excludes 1 woman with missing information.

[^5]:    * Information was not collected
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Excludes 1 woman with missing information.

[^6]:    * Information was not collected
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Excludes 1 woman with missing information.

[^7]:    ** Less than 25 Cases

[^8]:    * Excludes 8 men with missing information
    ** Less than 25 Cases

[^9]:    * Excludes 8 men with missing information
    ** Less than 25 Cases

[^10]:    * Excludes 1 woman with missing information.

[^11]:    * Excludes 14 men with missing information

[^12]:    * Excludes 1 woman with missing information

[^13]:    * Excludes 1 woman with missing information.

[^14]:    * Less than 25 cases
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Parishes selected are those with 25 or more cases

[^15]:    * Excludes 1 woman with missing information.

[^16]:    - Not available

[^17]:    * Data missing for 43 women in 2002 who did not give their age at first intercourse
    ** Data missing for 11 men in 2002

[^18]:    * Data missing for 203 men in 2002 and 34 in 2008 who did not give their age at first sex
    $+\quad$ Data missing for 7 men in 2002

[^19]:    * Includes only respondents with non-steady partners
    ** Less than 25 cases
    *** Data on no. of partners in past 3 months missing for 85 men in 2002.

[^20]:    * Information was not collected

[^21]:    * Calculated for 2 years before the date of interview.

[^22]:    *Less than 25 Cases

[^23]:    * Data missing for 6 women

[^24]:    * Less than 25 Cases

[^25]:    * Less than 25 Cases

[^26]:    * Less than 25 Cases

[^27]:    * Less than 25 Cases

[^28]:    * Less than 25 Cases

[^29]:    * Information not collected
    ** Less than 25 Cases
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Data missing for 22 men in 2002

[^30]:    ** Less than 25 Cases

[^31]:    ** Less than 25 Cases

[^32]:    *Data missing for 17 women

[^33]:    * Data missing for 22 men
    ** Data missing for 30 men

